SOCIAL MOVEMENTS

AN INTRODUCTION

DONATELLA DELLA PORTA
AND MARIO DIANI

SOCIAL MOVEMENTS

For Wladimiro della Porta and Vittorio Diani, in memoriam

SECOND EDITION **SOCIAL MOVEMENTS**

AN INTRODUCTION

**DONATELLA DELLA PORTA
AND MARIO DIANI**

Blackwell
Publishing

CONTENTS

COLLECTIVE ACTION AND IDENTITY

I think it's made me stronger. I think it's made me really clear about who I am . . . I almost feel my life has a theme. It's not just like I'm this little ant out there living and working with all the other ants on the anthill. There are things that I care really, really deeply about, and that sort of infuses my whole life with meaning. And I've retained that, and I think I always will.

–Radical feminist activist, Columbus, Ohio, USA, quoted in Whittier (1995: 95)

Until two years ago, I was a woman who belonged to a man. Then I met the women of the collective, and slowly I have acquired the ability to develop new and different relationships with people. Today, I feel myself to be equal in my relationship with this man and in my relationships with the women of the collective.

–Martina, member of a women's collective, Milan, Italy, quoted in Bianchi and Mormino (1984: 160)

After Greenham I realized how in fact I was putting myself down on occasions. Simply because there were men around I wasn't verbalizing my thoughts enough. I wasn't coming forward . . . the men were dominating, and I was allowing them to dominate me.

–Carola Addington, Greenham Common activist, UK, quoted in Roseneil (1995: 146)

We are not a unionist movement, nor do we have anything to do with unionism. They have their organizations and we have ours. We are offering an alternative by and for women.

–Laura, worker and activist, Managua, Nicaragua, quoted in Bandy and Bickam-Mendez (2003: 179)

If someone asks me, "Who are you?" I'm a radical feminist . . . And I see radical feminism as my life's work, even though I'm spending most of my days, most of my weeks, most of my years, doing something else.

–Employee of a public interest organization, Columbus, Ohio, USA, quoted in Whittier (1995: 95)

For me, being part of a women's group is an essential influence not only on my way of life but also on my thinking. It is important to know yourself. The collective has died and been reborn many times over, along with my own aspirations. Wherever I go, I will always find a women's group.

–Irma, Member of a women's collective, Milan, Italy, quoted in Bianchi and Mormino (1984: 159)

There was the miners' strike and a lot of miners' wives used to come down . . . And there was the American Indian from the Indian reservation . . . And there were delegations from South Africa. And we were just dead ordinary working-class women from the inner cities and we were talking to people who were directly involved in struggles from all over the world.

–Trisha, Greenham Common activist, UK, quoted in Roseneil (1995: 149)

This [visit] gives us more confidence to continue with our struggle, for we see that we're not alone. . . . We hope each of you [North American labor and community organizers on a visit to Mexico] will continue forward with love, for your brothers and sisters, for communities like ours. This is the same struggle all around the world.

–Hortensia, worker and activist, Tijuana, Mexico, quoted in Bandy and Bickam-Mendez (2003: 179)

Irma and Martina were part of the Ticinese Collective, a group of women active in Milan around the end of the 1970s and the beginning of the 1980s (Bianchi and Mormino 1984). Trisha and Carola were among the women who took part in the occupation of the Greenham Common area, where cruise missiles where located in Britain between 1983 and 1991 (Roseneil 1995). Hortensia and Laura were active in the mobilization of female workers of *maquilas*, small industrial units producing all sort of goods for export, usually under appalling working

conditions, in Central America (Bandy and Bickam-Mendez 2003). The two anonymous quotes[1] belong to women who were involved in the radical feminist movement in the American city of Columbus, Ohio, between the 1970s and the early 1990s (Whittier 1995, 1997). The characteristics of these movements were different, and so was the political and cultural context in which they developed. And yet, for all the differences, these quotations reveal more than random commonalities. They all appear representative, in their own ways, of the relationship between collective and individual experience in social movements. In particular, they tell us about the intersection of collective involvement and personal engagement which characterizes so much collective action (Melucci 1989, 1995; Rupp and Taylor 1987; della Porta 1992; Calhoun 1994a; Goodwin, Jasper, and Polletta 2001; Downton and Wehr 1997; Passerini 1988).

On the one hand, these stories are about personal change: they testify to the new sense of empowerment, and to the strengthening of the self, which originate from collective action. Fighting the cruise missiles in the context of a "women only" campaign, Carola became aware of how much she had undervalued her potential in the past, especially in situations with a strong male presence. For Trisha, being at Greenham Common opened up spaces for contacts and experiences that her working-class origins would have denied her otherwise. For Laura, action in the workplace means developing an original experience of interest representation from a gender perspective, thus reaffirming her autonomy from the male models reflected in unions' practice. For Martina, joining a self-awareness group signified transforming her private life, without developing a strong commitment to public engagement. Even in her case, however, it was the nature of collective experience which made her personal growth possible.

On the other hand, these stories are about the continuity in one's life that a sense of collective belonging provides. For Irma as well as for the Columbus women, being a feminist provided a linkage between different life stages and different types of experiences. The linkage is not necessarily between different points in time; it is also – as Trisha's and Hortensia's quotations suggest – between people acting in different localities and on different specific issues, but united by a common set of values and aspirations.

These stories are, in other words, about identity: in particular, about the relationship between identity and collective action (Pizzorno 1978; Cohen 1985; Melucci 1989; Calhoun 1991, 1994a; Mach 1993; Stryker, Owen, and Whyte, 2000; Horton 2004; Hunt and Benford 2004). In speaking of identity we are not referring to an autonomous object, nor to a property of social actors; we mean, rather, the process by which social actors recognize themselves – and are recognized by other actors – as part of broader groupings, and develop emotional attachments to them (Melucci 1989, 1996; Polletta and Jasper 2001; Goodwin et al. 2001: 8–9). These "groupings" need not be defined in reference to specific social traits such as class, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, or the like, nor in reference to

specific organizations (although they often get defined in those terms). Collective identities may also be based on shared orientations, values, attitudes, worldviews, and lifestyles, as well as on shared experiences of action (e.g., individuals may feel close to people holding similar postmaterialist views, or similarly approving of direct action, without expressing any strong sense of class, ethnic, or gender proximity). At times, identities may be exclusive, and rule out other possible forms of identification (as in the case of religious sects expressing a wholesale rejection of the mundane world). Other times (actually, most of the time), however, they may be inclusive and multiple, as individuals may feel close to several types of collectivities at the same time.

Building or reproducing identities is an important component of the processes through which individuals give meaning to their own experiences and to their transformations over time. It is worth noting the complexity of the relationship between the individual dimension and the collective dimension in identity-building. On the one hand, through the production, maintenance, and revitalization of identities, individuals define and redefine individual projects, and possibilities for action open and close. The individual stories we just reported show us precisely that "identities are often personal and political projects in which we participate" (Calhoun 1994a: 28). On the other hand, the construction of identity and the rediscovery of one's self cannot be reduced simply to psychological mechanisms; they are social processes (Berger and Luckmann 1966; Moscovici 1981; Billig 1995).

In the following pages we discuss some characteristics of identity construction. We show, first, that identity production is an essential component of collective action, through the identification of actors involved in conflict, the facilitation of trusting relationships among them, and the establishment of connections linking events from different periods. Consistent with what has long been asserted by both sociological (Touraine 1981; Pizzorno 1978; Melucci 1989, 1996) and sociopsychological (Moscovici 1979; Drury and Reicher 2000; Howard 2000) perspectives on collective action, we regard identity as neither a thing one can own, nor a property of actors, but as the process through which individual and/or collective actors, in interaction with other social actors, attribute a specific meaning to their traits, their life occurrences, and the systems of social relations in which they are embedded.

Subsequently, we confront some of the paradoxes which a concept as fleeting as that of identity inevitably creates. First, social identification is simultaneously static and dynamic. On the one hand, reference to identity evokes the continuity and the solidity of allegiances over time. On the other, identity is also open to constant redefinitions. Links postulated by social actors with certain historical experiences and with certain groups appear, in fact, always to be contingent. They are the fruit of symbolic reinterpretations of the world which are inevitably selective and partial (Calhoun 1994a; Melucci 1996). Moreover, identi-

ties are forged and adapted in the course of conflict, and their boundaries can be modified quite drastically in the process (Bernstein 1997; Drury and Reicher 2000; Drury, Reicher, and Stott 2003). As a result, in spite of their relative stability, even feelings of identification can be – and in fact are – subject to recurring modifications.

A second paradox is represented by the presence of multiple identities – or, in other words, individuals' feelings of belonging to several different collectives, sometimes defined in reference to very diverse criteria. From a certain point of view, identity operates as an organizing principle in relation to individual and collective experience: for example, it helps actors to identify their allies and their adversaries. At the same time, however, the definition of lines of solidarity and of opposition is often anything but clear: the rise of feminist movements has created, for example, new lines of identification which have often revealed themselves to be in contrast with those which preceded them (for example, those of class). Rather than uprooting these older lines of identity, new identities co-exist with them, generating tensions among actors' different self-representations,[2] or between activists who identify with the same movement yet belong to different generations (Whittier 1995, 1997; Schnittker, Freese, and Powell 2003). Although an idea of similarity is surely behind the concept of collective identity (e.g. Berezin 2001: 84), this homogeneity is rarely if ever multidimensional. Actors who are similar in some traits/attitudes/experiences may differ substantially in other dimensions (Simmel 1955, Diani 2000a). One has also to note, though, that multiple identities need not necessarily be in a tense relation to each other.

4.1 How Does Identity Work?

Identity construction should not be regarded simply as a precondition for collective action. It is certainly true that social actors' identities in a given period guide their subsequent conduct. Action occurs, in fact, when actors develop the ability to define themselves, other social actors, and the "enjeu" (stake) of their mutual relationship (Touraine 1981). At the same time, however, identity is not an immutable characteristic, preexisting action. On the contrary, it is through action that certain feelings of belonging come to be either reinforced or weakened. In other words, the evolution of collective action produces and encourages continuous redefinitions of identity (Fantasia 1988; Hirsch 1990; Melucci 1995; Bernstein 1997; Goodwin et al. 2001; Drury et al. 2003).

Let us look more closely at the mechanisms by which action "constitutes" identity. This happens, first, through the definition of boundaries between actors engaged in a conflict. In contrast to macrostructural approaches to the analysis of social conflicts, the sociology of action has drawn attention to the problematic nature of the structure–action nexus, stressing that conflict cannot be

explained exclusively in the light of structural relationships and the contrasting interests which these have determined. It originates, rather, in the interaction between structural tensions and the emergence of a collective actor that defines itself and its adversaries on the basis of certain values and/or interests (Touraine 1981). Collective action cannot occur in the absence of a "we" characterized by common traits and a specific solidarity. Equally indispensable is the identification of the "other" defined as responsible for the actor's condition and against which the mobilization is called (Gamson 1992b). The construction of identity therefore implies both a positive definition of those participating in a certain group, and a negative identification of those who are not only excluded but actively opposed (Touraine 1981; Melucci 1996; Taylor and Whittier 1992; Robnett 2002; Tilly 2004a). It also includes a relationship with those who find themselves in a neutral position. It is with reference to "protagonists, antagonists, and audiences" (Hunt, Benford, and Snow 1994) that movement identities are formed and come to life.

In the second place, the production of identities corresponds to the emergence of new networks of relationships of trust among movement actors, operating within complex social environments.[3] Those relationships guarantee movements a range of opportunities (see chapter 5 below). They are the basis for the development of informal communication networks, interaction, and, when necessary, mutual support. They seem to be an essential replacement for the scarcity of organizational resources; furthermore, information circulates rapidly via interpersonal networks, compensating at least in part for limited access to the media; trust between those who identify with the same political and cultural endeavor enables those concerned to face with greater efficacy the costs and the risks linked to repression; finally, identifying themselves – and being identified – as part of a movement also means being able to count on help and solidarity from its activists (Gerlach and Hine 1970; Gerlach 1971).

The presence of feelings of identity and of collective solidarity makes it easier to face the risks and uncertainties related to collective action. In the case of the workers' movement, close proximity of workplaces and living spaces facilitated the activation and the reproduction of solidarity (see chapter 2 above). Socialist subcultures constructed "areas of equalities" where participants recognized themselves as equal, and felt they belonged in a common destiny (Pizzorno 1996). In postindustrial society, however, direct social relationships founded on territorial proximity have become weaker. While this has not necessarily meant the disappearance of community relations, on the whole, systems of social relations are more distantly connected than they were in the past to a defined territorial space. Their borders extend now to encompass entire national and supranational communities (Wellman et al. 1988; Giddens 1990; Castells 1996; Sassen 1998; Vertovec and Cohen 2003). As a result, collective actors are now less likely than in the past to identify themselves in reference to locality. Collective identity is less depend-

ent on direct, face-to-face interactions which develop in the local community and in everyday spaces. Phenomena of this type had already signaled the shift from premodernity to modernity, and the emergence of public opinion integrated via the printed word (Anderson 1983; Tarrow 1998). But they have undergone a further acceleration with the expansion of the media system and the electronic revolution (Calhoun 1992; Wasko and Mosco 1992; Bennett 2003; Wellman and Haythornwhyte 2002).

To identify with a movement also entails feelings of solidarity towards people to whom one is not usually linked by direct personal contacts, but with whom one nonetheless shares aspirations and values. Activists and movement sympathizers are aware of participating in realities which are much vaster and more complex than those of which they have direct experience. It is in reference to this wider community that the actor draws motivation and encouragement to action, even when the field of concrete opportunities seems limited and there is a strong sense of isolation. It is of course an open issue the extent to which the spread of computer mediated communication may facilitate the diffusion of identities disentangled from references to any specific time and space (see chapter 5, section 5.4 below).

Third, collective identity connects and assigns some common meaning to experiences of collective action dislocated over time and space (see e.g. Lumley 1990; Farrell 1997). At times this takes the form of linking together events associated with a specific struggle in order to show the continuity of the effort behind the current instances of collective action. Let us look for example at the "Call of the European Social Movements," issued before the European Social Forum in Florence in November 2002: "We have come together from the social and citizens movements from all the regions of Europe, East and West, North and South. We have come together through a long process: the demonstrations of Amsterdam, Seattle, Prague, Nice, Genoa, Brussels, Barcelona, the big mobilisations against the neoliberalism as well as the general strikes for the defense of social rights and all the mobilisations against war, show the will to build an other Europe. At the global level we recognise the Charter of Principles of WSF and the call of social movements of Porto Alegre" (cited in Andretta 2003). Here, occurrences which took place at different points in time are brought together as the background of the 2002 meeting, to show continuity between them. Likewise there is an obvious attempt to connect across space mobilizations taking place in all corners of Europe, and to relate them as well to recent developments of collective action on a global scale.

The issue of continuity over time is also important because social movements characteristically alternate between "visible" and "latent" phases (Melucci 1996). In the former, the public dimension of action prevails, in the form of demonstrations, public initiatives, media interventions, and so on, with high levels of cooperation and interaction among the various mobilized actors. In the latter,

action within the organizations and cultural production dominate. Contacts between organizations and militant groups are, on the whole, limited to inter-personal, informal relationships, or to interorganizational relationships which do not generally produce the capacity for mass mobilization. In these cases, collec-tive solidarity and the sense of belonging to a cause are not as obvious as they are in periods of intense mobilization. Identity is nurtured by the hidden actions of a limited number of actors. And it is precisely the ability of these small groups to reproduce certain representations and models of solidarity over time which creates the conditions for the revival of collective action and allows those con-cerned to trace the origins of new waves of public action to preceding mobi-lizations (Melucci 1996; Rupp and Taylor 1987; Johnston 1991b; Mueller 1994; Whittier 1995).[4]

This linking function of identity does not operate only on the level of col-lective representations and socially widespread perceptions of certain social phe-nomena. It also relates the latter to individual experience. In constructing their own identity, individuals attribute coherence and meaning to the various phases of their own public and private history. This is often reflected in their life histo-ries and biographies, i.e., the "[i]ndividual constellations of cultural meanings, personalities, sense of self, derived from biographical experiences" (Jasper 1997: 44). Long-lasting militant careers develop with a constant commitment to a cause, even if articulated in different ways at different times (Downton and Wehr 1997). It is true that any wave of mobilization attracts to social movements people with no previous experience of collective action – at least for biographical reasons. Still, continuity in militancy – the fact that those who have already par-ticipated in the past are more likely to become active once again than those who have never done so – has been confirmed by a large number of studies, devoted to both contemporary (McAdam 1988b; Whittier 1995 and 1997; Klandermans 1997: ch. 4; Robnett 2002) and "historic" examples of collective action (Thomp-son 1963; Gould 1995; Catanzaro and Manconi 1995; Passerini 1988). The "1968 generation," for example, has remobilized in various waves of protest – latest in the global justice campaigns (della Porta 2005e).

Speaking of continuity over time does not necessarily mean assuming that identity persists, let alone that it is fixed. Reference to the past is, in fact, always selective. "Continuity" in this case means rather the active re-elaboration of ele-ments of one's own biography and their reorganization in a new context. In this way, it becomes possible to keep together personal and collective occurrences which might otherwise appear to be incompatible and contradictory. As an example, let us look at a case of radical collective action which would seem to presuppose a drastic personal transformation at the moment of mobilization – that of terrorism. Biographies of Italian terrorists of the 1970s (della Porta 1990) show that they had in many cases moved from militancy in Catholic organiza-tions to armed struggle. In this case, there was clearly a marked break in forms

of action and political programs. Nevertheless, there were also elements of coherence in these histories which seem, on the surface, to be so lacking in continuity. One of these was the aspiration to construct social relationships which went beyond the inequalities and the distortions of the present. Also common to both biographical phases was a conception of collective action as the proclamation of absolute truths and the concrete testimony of one's own ideal (and ideological) principles, no matter how distorted.

On the other hand, the outset of each new experience of collective action inevitably means also breaking with the past to some degree. In some cases, the decision to engage in collective action, or join an organization or a project, which is clearly different from what individuals have done up to that point, results in a radical personal transformation. In these cases, people experience genuine conversions, which often mean breaking with their previous social bonds. The transformation of identity can be much more profound in these cases. It will affect not only the political leanings of individuals and their levels of involvement in collective action, but also global life choices and even the organization of everyday life.

The same phenomena are often found among those who join religious movements (Robbins 1988: ch. 3; Snow et al. 1980; Wilson 1982; Wallis and Bruce 1986).[5] Conversion to a cult or a sect often implies a more or less radical transformation of one's identity and loyalties, and this is deeper the more demanding membership criteria in the new group are. For example, joining a group like Hare Krishna implies the acceptance of a highly ritualized lifestyle in which everything has to be in accordance with the sect's precepts (Rochford 1985). Furthermore, the history of conflicts typical of industrial society documents the force of "traditional" political identities and the often exclusive and sectarian nature of collective action. In the century of great ideologies, abandoning political and/or class positions – that is, giving up a certain system of social relationships and of affective identifications in order to adopt another – was always costly. A good example of this is provided in the segmentation of Northern Ireland along religious lines (one could also think of the Israeli–Palestinian conflict for another obvious illustration of this pattern). In Northern Ireland, religious identities have provided criteria for the organization of social relations at all levels, including community and family linkages. Ties cutting across sectarian barriers are infrequent and people involved in them regularly meet with ostracism from their own communities (Bew et al. 1979; McAllister 1983; O'Sullivan See 1986; Maguire 1993). This has rendered the activation of ties with members of the opposing group a very costly and often very dangerous exercise. This applies to social movement organizations too, and has often resulted in failure to cut across sectarian divides. Although many attempts have been made over the last two decades by different types of organizations, from environmentalist to women's (Connolly 2002; Cinalli 2002), to develop new intercultural forms of political

participation, even after the Good Friday agreement of 1998, social segregation in Northern Ireland is still high (McGarry 2001).

4.2   Multiple Identities

In modern society, social movements are often represented as "characters" with a strategic capacity for action and bearing a specific cultural role. For these reasons, they are also seen as having a homogeneous and integrated identity. Little attention has been given to the systems of relationships in which actors are involved, and this has prevented the multiplicity of identities and allegiances among militants and movement groups from being recognized. Rather, it has favored the tendency to see identity as the mirror of an underlying objective reality.[6]

In fact, however, collective identification is rarely expressed through the integrated and homogeneous identities which these visions of movements presuppose.[7] As identity is, first, a social process and not a static property, feelings of belonging among groups and collectives which originated from these are, to a certain extent, fluid. A less rigid approach to the question of identity leads us to recognize that it does not always presuppose a strong "collective we" (Lemert 1994; Billig 1995). Identifying with a movement does not necessarily mean sharing a systematic and coherent vision of the world; nor does it prevent similar feelings being directed to other groups and movements as well. Forms of allegiance which are not particularly intense or exclusive can, in certain contexts, guarantee continuity of collective action (Melucci 1984a; Diani 1995a). In reality, it is rare that a dominant identity is able to integrate all the others. More usually, identities have a polycentric rather than a hierarchical structure.[8] But excessive insistence on the role of identity as a source of coherence often leads to neglecting the importance of forms of multiple identity (Calhoun 1994a).

Tensions among various types of identification have to do, first, with the fact that the motivations and expectations behind individuals participating in social movements are, in fact, much richer and more diversified than the public images of those movements, as produced by their leaders, would suggest. By taking part in the life of a movement people often seek answers to their own specific aspirations and concerns. The Milanese women studied by Melucci and his collaborators in the early 1980s, for example, saw their involvement in feminism in many different ways. Some gave pride of place to personal reflection, others gave greater relevance to external intervention. Some valued group action, above all solidarity and affective elements, while others insisted on the importance of developing new forms of interpreting the world (Bianchi and Mormino 1984). Along similar lines, Reger (2002) shows that it is possible to accommodate different positions within the same organization. Her example is the New York chapter

of the National Organization for Women (NOW), which included both feminists oriented to political advocacy and those privileging practices of personal empowerment. Even the identity of a single group can therefore be seen as a meeting point for histories, personal needs, and heterogeneous representations.

Similar mechanisms are to be found in the relationship between single organizations and movements, in the broad sense. On the one hand, organizations aim to affirm their own specific formulation of their collective identity as the global identity of the movement. On the other hand, the reinforcement of an organizational identity allows, at the same time, for differentiation from the rest of the movement (Taylor 1989). Therefore, one identifies with an organization not only to feel part of a wider collective effort but also in order to be a particular, autonomous, distinctive component of such an effort. In this way it becomes possible to anchor identity to organizational forms, which are more structured and solid than those constituted by networks of informal relationships among the various components of a movement. What is cursorily termed "movement identity" is, in reality, largely a contingent product of negotiations between collective images produced by various actors and various organizations. Moreover, even small groups can experience the multiple orientations which characterize the identity of a movement in its entirety (Melucci 1984a). In Milan, for example, analysis of the experience of the Ticinese Collective facilitated the identification of two basic tensions in the way in which feminist practice was perceived (Bianchi and Mormino 1984). The first distinguished between action aimed at society beyond the movement and that which was inwardly directed, towards small groups; the second between action which was purely affective and solidaristic and action which aimed to value women's competences and professional qualities. The same dichotomies offered a useful key to reading the identity of the movement as a whole. There were, in fact, consciousness-raising groups, or lesbian groups which were virtually unconcerned with public action and concentrated on the affective-solidaristic side of action. On the other hand, writers' groups and those concerned with reflection on intellectual issues from a women's perspective associated a low level of external intervention with their goal of calling attention to women's intellectual and professional capacities. Among the groups concerned with external intervention, some placed a high value on the solidaristic element, such as feminist collectives in squatter communes; others were concerned with consolidating women's social presence, both on the economic level and on that of cultural production (Bianchi and Mormino 1984: 147).

It must be remembered that movement identities can be shared by individuals, detached from every organizational allegiance. In fact, it is possible to feel part of a movement without identifying with any specific organization and, indeed, even express an explicit dissent towards the notion of organization in general. In particularly effervescent conditions, simply to participate in meetings and demonstrations gives the sensation of being able to count on the definition

of strategies and on goals, even without having passed through the filter of specific organizations. In fact, when identification mechanisms tend to shift mainly towards specific organized actors, this is an indicator of a movement demise. One of the characteristic traits of the wave of working-class protest which crossed Italy between 1968 and 1972 was the modification of the relationship between militancy in specific trade-union organizations and militancy in the workers' movement in its broad sense (Pizzorno et al. 1978). New forms of representation were introduced in factories (factory councils). They offered ample opportunities for participation even to those who were not enrolled in any of the traditional unions. The push towards trade-union unity and to overcome preexisting group allegiances was also strong in those years. Group allegiances came to dominate once more only when mobilization was in decline and movement identity was weak. Analysis of grassroots working-class action in the USA also supports this claim: phases of rising conflict tend to strengthen broader collective solidarities rather than identification with specific unions (Fantasia 1988).

In some cases, collective identities expressed by different movements or different movement organizations can be mutually incompatible. The rise of feminism has revealed the persistent subordination of women within workers' movement organizations or in many of the "new movements" themselves. In this way, they have shown the deep contradictions in actors' identities which, nevertheless, can generally be explained with reference to the same area of "progressive" movements. From another point of view, the salience of religious or ethnonationalist identities has often left actors facing dramatic dilemmas, in view of the difficulty of integrating these and other sources of identification. For example, allegiance to a radical nationalist ideology such as that of the Serbs, or to a religious movement such as Algerian fundamentalism, places considerable difficulties in the path of those women who want both to maintain and affirm their gender identity (Calhoun 1994a; see also Fantasia and Hirsch 1995). On the other hand, global justice activists have so far displayed a great tolerance towards each other's combinations of multiple identities (della Porta 2005e; Bennett 2004c).

4.3 Does Identity Facilitate Participation?

Reference to identity is essential – even if, as we shall see, controversial – in order to understand the mechanisms underlying individuals' decisions to become involved in collective action. The debate started in the 1960s with Mancur Olson's (1963) provocative thesis on the irrationality of collective action. Olson's argument is well known and can therefore be reviewed in a few sentences. The starting point for his reflection is the concept of collective action as concerned with the production of collective goods. These derive their nature from the fact that,

once obtained, they may be enjoyed by any member of a social group, regardless of his/her contribution to the cause. Sometimes the "social group" consists of people living in a given territory. For example, once a local environmental coalition has had stricter controls on car emissions implemented in its community, the collective good "cleaner air" is accessible to all the residents, no matter whether they supported the campaign or not. At other times, the "social group" may consist of a collectivity defined by specific characteristics. For example, once voting rights were extended to women, any woman was entitled to them, again irrespective of her contribution to the suffrage movement. Or, if a regional business association successfully pressures the government to launch a plan of massive investment in public communications in the area, all single-business operators will profit from it, including those who are not members of the association. The properties of collective goods determine the fundamental irrationality of collective action, if valued on the basis of criteria of instrumental, individualistic rationality. It would not be at all rational for individual actors to invest resources in an undertaking – the production of a collective good – if they had to bear all the costs of failure but could enjoy all the fruits of success without having contributed directly to the production of the good.

For collective action to occur, political entrepreneurs or organizations have either to coerce prospective participants or to distribute selective incentives – thus enabling participants to receive greater benefits than those who do not participate. This problem applies above all to large groups – or in other words to those groups in which no individual contribution is so relevant as to affect the final result of a collective undertaking. Two factors increase the difficulties of mobilization for large groups: first, their great size implies high coordination costs; second, certain social incentives – prestige, respect, or friendship – which can work with small groups become more difficult to activate as the dimensions of the group increase.

Not surprisingly, reactions to Olson's model have been very different.[9] Some scholars have extended the notion of selective incentives in such a way as to include rewards of a solidaristic and normative type. These can, in their turn, be divided into at least two categories. External selective incentives consist of expectations which individuals have of the group to which they refer; these are seen, more generally, as rewards and as sanctions which this group and other social actors can make use of when facing a decision or else a refusal to become involved collectively. Internal selective incentives cover the internal mechanisms which bring individuals to attribute to collective action a certain normative value, or else to derive from this an intrinsic pleasure or to experience a cathartic transformation (Opp 1989: 58–9). According to numerous pieces of research on individual participation, among the "selective incentives" it is references to the values and the solidarity bonds shared within the group, rather than motivations of a material kind, which have emerged as the best predictors of collective action

(Marwell and Ames 1979; Walsh and Warland 1983; Oliver 1984; Opp 1988, 1989; Passy 2003).

The incorporation of normative and symbolic elements into selective incentives does not, however, resolve one of the main problems of Olson's model, namely its lack of attention to the diachronic dimension. The microeconomic rationality on which Olson's argument is based unravels itself in a short period of time. In contrast, collective action is a process which develops over time, in particular when considering the achievement of goals. It is difficult, if not impossible, to determine costs and benefits appropriately, therefore. On the one hand, there is the certainty of engagement and of the acceptance of risk in the short term; on the other, the unknown territory of results which are not only difficult to calculate from the point of view of the individual but whose achievement also seems a distant prospect. Collective identity reduces these difficulties. Olson's approach assumes a short-term timeframe for the maximization of individual utility. In contrast, as we have seen, collective identity takes a longer time perspective. Even the definition of actors' interests is a social process which requires a definition of a "we" and a "them," and thus is inextricably linked to identity construction. As a result, feeling part of a shared endeavor and identifying one's own interests not only at the individual level but also at the collective level makes costs and risks more acceptable than they would otherwise have been. Physical risks and material deprivation, hardly rational from an individualistic, short-term perspective, may be justified if looked at as the costs attached to carrying on a longer-term historical project (Pizzorno 1978, 1983, 1986).

Moreover, the intensity and, above all, the exclusiveness of collective identity in defining actors' limits can vary. A key question is therefore whether various identity traits influence decisions to move to action, and if so how. Some have hypothesized a link, in inverse proportion, between the level of inclusiveness and openness of a particular definition of identity and the capacity for mobilization: "Some groups attempt to mobilize their constituents with an all-inclusive we. . . . Such an aggregate frame turns the 'we' into a pool of individuals rather than a potential collective actor . . . Collective action frames, in contrast, are adversarial" (Gamson 1992b: 85). This does not mean that all social movements at all times develop exclusive identities: a wealth of examples, recently including environmental and global justice movements, actually suggests the opposite. However, the lack of explicit adversaries for environmentalism has long been pointed out as a source of weakness for that movement (e.g. Diani 1995a), and some have raised doubts about the global justice movement's capacity to mobilize its constituency beyond the most visible events on very similar grounds (Tarrow 2005).

The problem is therefore how to achieve a balance between reach and selectivity (Marwell and Oliver 1993: 157–79); namely, how to define identity to include as many people as possible in a movement's potential constituency, while

continuing to provide strong incentives to the movement's core supporters. An inclusive and flexible identity will not associate a movement with a particular social group, a specific ideology, lifestyle, or symbolic code. In this way, it will facilitate communication among movement activists and the outside world, as well as their capacity to speak to different cultural and political contexts. An exclusive identity which defines the profile of a particular movement with some force will instead tend to stress isolation in relation to the outside world: but will probably be able to provide more notable (selective) incentives for action, making the definition of both the actor and of its adversaries more precise in the process (Friedman and McAdam 1992).

One should be aware, though, that how to combine these two contradictory demands effectively is only partially under actors' control. Collective identity is surely affected by actors' deliberate attempts to craft and manipulate identifying symbols. It is, in other words, partly a result of strategic action. But it also depends – and in all likelihood, much more heavily – on mental attitudes and collective memories, consolidated over time, and over which movement activists have little control. Not to mention the fact that other social actors may be capable of manipulating in varying degrees the image which a particular collective has of itself (for example, the media: Gitlin 1980; Gamson and Wolfsfeld 1993; van Zoonen 1996; Gamson 2004; Earl, Martin, McCarthy, and Soule 2004; Myers and Caniglia 2004).

Furthermore, it is important to distinguish in this regard between the mobilization of people and the mobilization of other resources, for example organizational or financial (Oliver and Marwell 1992). Exclusive identities appear to be more effective in motivating direct participation. Inclusive identities, however, seem more useful, in principle, to the mobilization of the second type of resource (Diani and Donati 1996). Attempts to mobilize resources on the part of movement organizations are increasingly conducted by traditional marketing techniques and strategies like direct mail (McFarland 1984; Donati 1996; Jordan and Maloney 1997). Although messages of this type are often carefully tailored to specific sectors of the public and specific market niches, still their contents tend to be far more inclusive and all-embracing than those passed by movement activists through their personal networks (Snow et al. 1980). Access to the general public is therefore globally easier for movement actors who are bearers of an inclusive identity. Conversely, organizations with a more clear-cut cultural and political identity will have easy access only to the most sympathetic sectors of public opinion.

While they recognize both the limits of a strictly economic reading of collective action, and the opportunity to take into account nonmaterial incentives, the positions we have just presented are compatible with a rationalist paradigm. Other movement scholars have, however, expressed serious reservations about the opportunity to apply to the analysis of collective action concepts which were originally developed with reference to individual action of a utilitarian type

(Fireman and Gamson 1979; Ferree 1992; Melucci 1989). Speaking of nonmaterial incentives, or looking at identity as a criterion, enabling the costs and benefits of action to be calculated over time, is inappropriate for various reasons. First and foremost, the assumption that social actors always move on the basis of rational principles is debatable. On the contrary, nonrational elements, such as emotions, affections, and feelings, are also very important (Melucci 1989; Flam 1990; Taylor and Whittier 1995; Scheff 1994a, 1994b; Jasper and Poulsen 1995; Jasper 1997; Goodwin et al. 2001). The predominance of rationalist perspectives since the 1960s is easily explainable in the light of the need to challenge those analyses which reduced movements to a show of irrationality, the mere product of gaps in socialization processes (Taylor and Whittier: 179–80). However, this does not authorize support for the notion that emotions and reason are irreconcilable (Turner and Killian 1987; Goodwin et al. 2001: 2–16; Kim 2002).

Critics also charge the rationalist approach with overlooking the fact that social actors act and make choices within a system of interdependence with other actors. The decision to participate in action is, in reality, conditioned by the actor's expectations of those to which it is linked. The actor's capacity for autonomous choice varies according to the social class to which it belongs, and is limited by asymmetries in the distribution of power and social resources (Ferree 1992). When one recognizes that even economic action is governed by networks of relationships and by actor-binding social norms (for example, White 1988; DiMaggio and Powell 1991; Granovetter 1985), recourse to the concept of a rational actor for the analysis of collective phenomena appears to critics even more debatable.

A further problem derives from the fact that the goods among which the actor has to choose would naturally be different from those to which models of economic derivation normally refer (Fireman and Gamson 1979: 23–7). The legitimacy of the analogies between individual and collective interests should not be discounted. Many of the "goods" for which movements mobilize owe their very existence to collective action. Let us think for example of the reinforcement of women's identity and the transformations in women's private and public lifestyles. This particular "good" comes into existence also because of the very fact that women's collective action takes place. This is not to deny that many "goods" for women (e.g., those originating from policy change) may be treated within the boundaries of Olsonian models. But we want to point out that the collective action dilemma may also be seen in terms not necessarily compatible with Olson's approach to public goods.

Finally, even the last presupposition of rationalist paradigms – that of the stability of the structures of preference on which individual decisions to act are based – seems very unlikely in the case of collective action. The matter would be broadly plausible if the problem of collective action were one of decisions

limited to a single moment, such as those relating to whether or not to participate in a particular demonstration. However, collective action is often a process which develops over time, in which the motivations which lead to action, and the underlying concerns, are modified through relationships with other actors, and where decisions to remain involved are continually renewed. In particular, many participants in collective action do not necessarily mobilize on the basis of solid preexisting identities, but these may develop in the course of action (Hirsch 1990; Fantasia 1988). This makes it difficult to support the idea that a structure of preferences exists.

To summarize, the model of the rational actor proposes a vision of action which, according to its critics, is fairly unrealistic and fails to take account either of the dynamic nature of action or of the importance of processes of identity creation. Furthermore, the adoption of a rational-choice perspective, paradoxically, ends up by obscuring even the role of interests: actors do not mobilize on behalf or in support of specific concerns or demands. Rather, they tend to become involved in those forms of collective action for which the greatest incentives are available. Finally, extending the model to normative and solidaristic incentives would imply broadening the concept of incentive to the point of tautology (Fireman and Gamson 1979).

As this is a controversy which covers the whole gamut of the social sciences, it would be unrealistic to dream of an appropriate synthesis of the two perspectives which we have reviewed here (Cohen 1985). Suffice to recall that supporters of rational-choice approaches have attempted to confront criticism directed at them by those upholding the identity paradigm. In particular, they have tried to analyze the location of actors in complex interdependent relationships, developing a vision of action which is more realistic and further away from the original hypothesis of the independent actor (Marwell and Oliver 1993; Gould 1993b; Opp and Gern 1993; Oberschall and Kim 1996; Heckathorn 1996).

4.4   How Is Identity Generated and Reproduced?

4.4.1   Self- and hetero-definitions of identity

If identity is a social process rather than a property of social actors, then feelings of belongingness and solidarity in relation to a certain group, the recognition of elements of continuity and discontinuity in the history of individuals, and the identification of one's own adversaries, may all be subject to recurring reelaboration. Identity emerges from the processes of self-identification and external recognition. Actors' self-representations are, in fact, continuously confronted with images which institutions, sympathetic and hostile social groups, public

opinion, and the media produce of them (Melucci 1996; Drury and Dreicher 2000; Howard 2000).

The construction of identity at the same time contains an aspiration to differentiate oneself from the rest of the world and to be recognized by it (Melucci 1982; Calhoun 1994a). A collective actor cannot exist without reference to experiences, symbols, and myths which can form the basis of its individuality. At the same time, however, symbolic production cannot count solely on self-legitimacy. It is necessary for certain representations of self to find recognition in the image which other actors have of the subject. Movements do indeed struggle for the recognition of their identity. It is only in the context of mutual recognition among actors that conflict and, more generally, social relationships can exist (Simmel 1955; Touraine 1981). Without this, self-affirmed identity on the part of a group will inevitably lead to its marginalization and its reduction to a deviant phenomenon.

The story of movements is therefore also the story of their members' ability to impose certain images of themselves, and to counter attempts by dominant groups to denigrate their aspirations to be recognized as different. A major example comes from the conflicts related to the construction of the modern nation-state. The development of vast, highly centralized political units led to an emphasis on cultural homogenization, through the affirmation of one "national" language and one "national" culture. Assimilationist policies often followed from this, in view of the multicultural nature of the territories coming under the dominion of new state formations. Cultural traditions different from those of the social groups, promoting the construction of the new nation-states, were stigmatized as relics of the past. For example, the construction of the French national identity led to the marginalization of the Provençal and Breton cultures. These became mere residues of a backward, premodern society, whose survival represented an unwelcome obstacle to the spread of the positive values of progress of which the French state made itself the bearer (Beer 1977, 1980; Safran 1989; Canciani and De La Pierre 1993).

The power to impose negative and stigmatized definitions of the identity of other groups constitutes, in fact, a fundamental mechanism of social domination. Especially at the early stages of mobilization, social movement activists are routinely described by powerholders as depraved, morally weak, corrupted people, unable to adapt to society's basic values. This applies to the early nineteenth-century's reactionaries facing massive social change (Tilly 1984a: ch. 1) as well as to the establishment's attempts to delegitimize protestors following the 2001 anti-G8 mobilization in Genoa. In the period between August 2001 and November 2002, when the Florence European Social Forum took place peacefully, the Italian government and sympathetic media waged a massive campaign portraying the movement as an unruly bunch, and invoking severe restrictions on rights to demonstrate (Andretta et al. 2002; see also chapter 7 below). As the

accounts of protagonists of the post-Genoa phase suggest (Agnoletto 2003: ch. 3), a great effort had to be put into counterframing activity by movement activists.

At the same time, definitions of movement identity by movement opponents are not necessarily of the dismissive type. For example, over the last few years representatives of business have repeatedly attempted to portray global justice protestors as good-faith carriers of worthy sentiments and orientations, who despite their often unacceptable means should be taken seriously (think e.g. of George Soros's quotation, reported in the case history at the beginning of chapter 3 above). It has been suggested that big business should actively engage with protestors in order to find common grounds and create a space for dialogue (Callinicos 2001: 391).

Social movements challenging forms of domination deeply embedded in cultural practices, lifestyles, mental habits, and inbred stereotypes offer a particularly fitting illustration of these dynamics. Stigmatization from the outside often ends up blocking the development of a strong autonomous identity and limiting the possibilities for collective action. This is very clear, for instance, in the case of gay and lesbian movements (Armstrong 2002; Bernstein 1997; Valocchi 1999) as well as in less controversial movements like those acting on behalf of animal rights (e.g., Einwhoner 2002). In all cases, challenging negative stereotyping is a major component of movements' cultural production. A most blatant example is the stereotyping of women as uninterested in the public and political dimensions of social life, inclined towards the private sphere, most particularly family life, and as lacking the rational abilities which are held to be essential in order to act in the public sphere (Taylor 1996; Ferree and McClurg Mueller 2004: 596). Alongside creating practical opportunities to facilitate women's participation, political feminism has long attempted to overturn such images in places as diverse as the affluent postindustrial West (e.g., Taylor and Whittier 1995; Taylor 1996; Ferree and Roth 1998) or deprived South America (Auyero 2004; Bandy and Bickham-Mendez 2003) or India (Ray 1999). "I did not accept being beaten and staying quiet . . . any more. I didn't accept him [controlling] my body. . . . If I painted my nails, he would say, 'I'm going to crush them with a hammer,' and I didn't accept that any more" (quoted in Thayer 2001: 250). This sentence comes from a community organizer from one of the extreme peripheries of the world, the Brazilian *sertão*, but it could have come from women anywhere in the world.

4.4.2 Production of identity: symbols, practices, rituals

Among contemporary movements, nationalist movements are probably those most explicitly rooted in historical experience. Even students of nationalism,

however, are skeptical of essentialist views of identity. Differences run in the historical foundations of the symbols and myths used to fabricate modern national identities. Some argue that modern national identities draw upon events, institutions, myths, and narrations which precede by a long period of time the existence of the nation-state (Smith 1981, 1986). Others object that large parts of the myths on which these are based do not have any historical foundation, and that one should rather talk of "invention of tradition" (Hobsbawm and Ranger 1983; see also Anderson 1983; Hobsbawm 1991).

Even where identity appeals to the history of the group and to its territorial and cultural roots, symbolic re-elaboration is always present. Studies of collective memory have shown that actors reappropriate social experiences and history, manipulating them and transforming them creatively, forging new myths and new institutions (Swidler and Arditi 1994: 308–10; Franzosi 2004). In fact, it is not necessary to attribute "objective" foundations to identity in order to recognize its continuity over time. A national sense of belonging, for example, is not reproduced solely at times of great patriotic fervor. On the contrary, its revitalization over time also depends – perhaps most importantly of all – on preconscious practices and on the persistence of mental forms and consolidated lifestyles (Billig 1995). But if this is the case, then it becomes important to look at the forms through which identity is developed and sustained, beyond intellectual and doctrinal production.

It would be dangerous to hazard a complete classification, but it is nevertheless possible to identify some basic manifestations.[10] The identity of a movement is, first, reinforced by reference to models of behavior which define in various ways the specificity of its activists in relation to "ordinary people" or their adversaries. In adopting certain styles of behavior or certain rituals, movement militants directly express their difference. Think for example of the Black Block and the Tute Bianche (literally, "white overalls") in the global justice movement (Andretta et al. 2002, 2003). They also refer to a series of objects, associated in various ways with their experience. Among these are a series of identifiers which enable supporters of a particular cause to be instantly recognizable (such as the smiling sun of antinuclear protesters, or the Palestinian *keffiyeh*, or the tattoos and shaven heads of right-wing movements [Blee 2002]); characters who have played an important role in the action of a movement or in the development of its ideology (M. L. King and Malcom X in the 1960s black mobilizations in the US , Ronald Laing and Franco Basaglia of the radical mental health movements in the 1970s and 1980s [Crossley 1998, 1999]); artifacts, including books or visual documents which help people to reconstruct the history of the movement and its origins in time, or to identify its stakes (Carson's *Silent Spring* [1962], Klein's *No Logo* [1999], or even Lenin's *What Is To Be Done?* [1961/1902]); and events or places of a particular symbolic significance (the Seattle anti-WTO demonstrations in 1999 [Smith 2001], the killing of Carlo Giuliani during the anti-G8

demonstrations in Genoa in 2001 [Andretta et al. 2002, 2003], the Tiananmen square massacre of 1989 in Beijing [Calhoun 1994c]). These elements are merged into stories or narratives (Somers 1994) which circulate among members of a movement, reflecting their vision of the world and reinforcing solidarity.

Combining these elements often produces identities that are difficult to associate strongly with any specific social trait or historical experience. For example, it has been observed that in societies characterized by multiple cultures and traditions, as in the USA, conditions exist for the development of forms of "symbolic ethnicity" (Gans 1979). These forms of identification have no foundation in the historic and cultural heritage of a given group, but mix together symbols and references deriving from diverse social groups to form a new synthesis. For example, collective identities such as Rastafarianism are founded only partly on specific cultural models and religious allegiances. They are also the product of choices made by individuals who come from a range of backgrounds but derive feelings of belonging and incentives for action through reference to a particular culture. It is therefore possible to be a "Rasta" without having historical roots in this group (Kuumba and Ajanaku 1998).

Models of behavior, objects, and narratives are often merged in specific ritual forms. The ritual component fulfills an important role in movement practice, and above all in the production of identities. In general, rituals represent forms of symbolic expression by which communications concerning social relationships are passed on, in stylized and dramatized ways (Whutnow 1987; Kertzer 1988). These consist, in particular, of procedures which are more or less codified, through which a vision of the world is communicated, a basic historical experience is reproduced, a symbolic code overthrown (Sassoon 1984a, 1984b). They contribute to the reinforcement of identity and of collective feelings of belonging; and at the same time, they enable movement actors to give free rein to their emotions (Goodwin et al. 2001).

Recurrences of particularly significant events in the history of opposition movements or their constituency are often marked by ritual practices (Kertzer 1996). By demonstrating on May 1st or March 8th, workers' and women's movements remind themselves and society at large of their roots, thus revitalizing their identity. On a more modest scale, protest movements across the world have promoted demonstrations on the anniversaries of crucial events in their development, from the assassinations of black American leaders Martin Luther King and Malcolm X, to the Chernobyl nuclear accident, to the Milan bombings which, in 1969, marked the beginning of a particularly dramatic period in Italy's life. Rituals remain important even in those cases where movements have succeeded in gaining power. The French revolutionary government celebrated the advent of "new man" in ceremonies at the Champs de Mars; the Italian Fascist regime, for its part, stressed its continuity with Italy's glorious past by celebrating the anniversary of the foundation of Rome (Hunt 1984; Berezin 2001).

Religion, especially but not only in authoritarian regimes, offers many contexts for the production of identity (Smith 1996). Opposition to the communist regime in Poland heavily relied on religious symbols and practices to reinforce identity and commitment to the cause (Osa 2003a, 2003b). Religious celebrations provided the context for the production and spread of nationalist interpretative frames in the Baltic republics at the time of their enforced association with the Soviet Union. The Catalan and Basque churches played a similar role during Franco's dictatorship in Spain (Johnston 1991a, 1991b, 1994). The legitimization of religious rituals creates opportunities for collective gatherings, and therefore for the strengthening and the diffusion of alternative messages, in repressive regimes. The funeral of the Abbot of Montserrat monastery, a well-known Catalan nationalist and opponent of the Franco regime, in 1968, represented an opportunity for different sectors of Catalan opposition to get together and reinforce their collective solidarity (Johnston 1991b: 156–8). Likewise, religious functions in Reza Pahlevi's Iran not only supported the emergence of opposition cultures in that country, but also ensured that these cultures developed a marked theocratic character, paving the way for the advent of the ayatollahs' regime (Moaddel 1992).

Ritual practices cannot, however, be reduced simply to public demonstrations of a celebratory nature. All protest events promoted by movements have a ritual dimension, which often assumes a powerfully dramatic and spectacular quality. The forms which demonstrations take, the type of slogans shouted, the banners or placards waved, even the conduct of marshal bodies, are all elements which, potentially, render the practice of a movement distinctive. Opponents of nuclear energy have often acted out, in the course of their demonstrations, the catastrophic consequences of an atomic explosion. Similarly, women's, ethnonationalist, and youth movements have included theatrical-type performances in their repertoire of collective action, alongside political demonstrations (see also chapter 7 below). Through rituals, traditional symbolic codes are overturned and the rules which habitually determine appropriate social behavior are denied. For example, by recounting in public their experiences of sexual abuse, many American women have transformed episodes, which might otherwise have produced only feelings of shame and personal isolation, into a source of pride (Taylor and Whittier 1995).

Identities are often created and reproduced in specific social and/or communitarian settings. Over 20 years ago, Melucci (1984a) spoke of "movement areas" to identify the actors involved in various forms of identity politics in Milan, and the relationships which linked them, not only through participation in associations but also and most importantly through the involvement in cultural activities, the patronage of specific cafes, bookshops, meditation centers, etc. In doing so, he referred to a form of social organization that was far less rigid and exclusive than world-rejecting alternative communities or sects, but still provided the

social context to experiment with new lifestyles. Over the last decades, the concepts of subculture and counterculture have often been used to characterize sectors of the population sharing similar cultural orientations (see also chapter 3 above), yet with varying degrees of hostility and open challenges to cultural power and dominant lifestyles (e.g. the gay and lesbian scene: Duyvendak 1995; Rupp and Taylor 2003). Some have spoken of "social movement scenes" to stress the association of these sub- and counter-cultures to specific physical space, normally city neighborhoods (Haunss and Leach 2004). Others (Kaplan and Lööw 2002) have used the concept of "cultic milieu" to characterize the collection of organized labor and environmentalist groups, anarchists and progressive Christians, gay and lesbian organizations, and Catholics involved in the recent global justice campaigns and stress analogies to the cultural underground of the 1960s.

Rituals which relate to the internal life of a group and are not in public view should not be forgotten. Procedures signaling the admission of new members into movement organizations often take on the form of genuine "rites of passage" (van Gennep 1983; Sassoon 1984a, 1984b). The fact that membership entails – to a degree, at least – the death and rebirth of one's personality, is of particular relevance in the case of neoreligious movements (Berger and Luckmann 1966). Furthermore, procedures which signal some form of transformation of the position of militants, at times when their involvement seems to have increased, are found in virtually every type of organization. In radical extra-parliamentary groups, becoming a member of marshal bodies was usually preceded by other forms of militancy which were less demanding and less risky, such as distributing leaflets. These duties also fulfilled the task of determining the trustworthiness and firmness of the political passion of the new militant (della Porta 1990). In many feminist groups, behavioral rituals support the action of consciousness-raising and personal transformation (Taylor and Whittier 1995); the same may be found in white supremacist organizations (Blee 2002).

4.4.3   Identity and the political process

For political movements, the construction of identity is often conditioned by variables of a strictly political nature. The criteria by which social groups identify themselves and are identified externally echo characteristics of the political system and of the political culture of a given country. It seems that the development of collective identity can be explained by reference to a reformulated version of the well-known argument that forms of policymaking determine forms of political action, and not vice versa (Lowi 1971). Social actors, in fact, tend to structure their action and establish alliances in different ways on different policy issues, with large interest groups dominating distributive policies and more pluralistic networks characterizing regulatory policies.

Other peculiarities of policy areas have also been singled out for their impact on the structure of contentious politics in those areas (Bartholomew and Mayer 1992; Jenson 1995). For example, the emergence in the USA of a specific identity linking Asian-Americans, and the development of "pan-ethnic collective action" (Okamoto 2003) at that level, have been put down to the fact that, in crucial areas such as those of immigration policy and the rights of minority groups, public agencies tended to treat ethnic groups as homogeneous. This despite their seeing each other as profoundly different, such as the Vietnamese or the Koreans. In this case, the adoption of a certain political/administrative criterion has produced interests and identities which enable different groups to act collectively on a number of issues (Omi and Winant 1994).

On another level, actors' identities are defined also in the context of dominant political divisions/cleavages in a given society. Movements develop in political systems which already have a structure: they try to modify it and to activate processes of political realignment (Tilly 1978; Dalton et al. 1984; Bartolini and Mair 1990). When established political identities are salient, i.e. still capable of shaping political behavior and solidarities (Kriesi et al. 1995: ch. 1), emerging social movements have to produce identities which are sufficiently specific to provide the foundations for the diversity of the movement in relation to its adversaries; but at the same time, sufficiently close to traditional collective identities in order to make it possible for movement actors to communicate with those who continue to recognize themselves in consolidated identities. Under those conditions, opportunities for genuinely "new" movements, i.e. movements cutting across established cleavages, will be relatively limited (Diani 2000a).

Interactions with authorities often represent important sources of identity. It has long been noticed how "encounters with unjust authority" (Gamson, Fireman, and Rytina 1982) may facilitate the consolidation of both motivations to act and hostility towards powerholders and their representatives (see also chapter 8 below). For example, accounts of Italian terrorists of the 1970s often mentioned mistreatment by police or by the judiciary as one of the driving forces behind their radicalization (della Porta 1990; Catanzaro and Manconi 1995). In much broader – and milder – terms, we can view interactions with state agents who do not behave according to expectations or political representatives who fail to recognize people's genuine needs as facilitators of the development of political identity. For example, Drury et al. (2003) analyzed how the identities of local residents, participating in an antiroad protest in England in 1993–4, evolved during the conflict. They found that the role of the police in supporting the bailiffs in the eviction of protestors from the area contributed to enlarge participants' feelings of identification from the boundaries of the local communities towards a global social movement. Investigating the relation between everyday life and protest in 1990s Argentina, Auyero (2004) showed that the transformation of an unemployed, divorced woman with no tradition of political interest

whatsoever into a prominent community organizer depended in no small measure on the sense of outrage that she experienced at her interactions with two types of "unjust authorities": "political authority," in the form of the local governor, who portrayed hungry protestors as a mob; and "social authority," in the shape of a fellow male protestor who reproduced gender stereotypes by dismissing the role of women in the struggle.

4.5 Summary

Identity construction is an essential component of collective action. It enables actors engaged in conflict to see themselves as people linked by interests, values, common histories – or else as divided by these same factors. Although identity feelings are frequently elaborated in reference to specific social traits such as class, gender, territory, or ethnicity, the process of collective identity does not necessarily imply homogeneity of the actors sharing that identity, or their identification with a distinct social group. Nor are feelings of belonging always mutually exclusive. On the contrary, actors frequently identify with heterogeneous collectives who are not always compatible among themselves on fundamental issues. To reconstruct the tensions through the different versions of identity of a movement, and how these versions are negotiated, represents, according to some scholars, a central problem for the analysis of collective action.

Identity plays an important role in the explanation of collective action even for those who see in collective action a peculiar form of rational behavior. Those who perceive in collective identity certain criteria for evaluating, in the medium and long terms, the costs and benefits of action, are numerous. However, those who hold that this use of the concept of identity cannot be proposed are equally numerous. Because of its strongly emotive and affective components, as well as its controversial and constructed nature, it is difficult to associate identity with behavior of a strategic type. Identity develops and is renegotiated via various processes. These include conflicts between auto- and hetero-definitions of reality; various forms of symbolic production, collective practices, and rituals. It is important, furthermore, to bear in mind the characteristics of the political process, which can influence definitions of identity.

REFERENCES

Abramson, Paul R. and Inglehart, Ronald 1992: Generational Replacement and Value Change in Eight West European Societies. *British Journal of Political Science*, 22, 183–228.

Agger, Ben 1991: Critical Theory, Poststructuralism, Postmodernism: Their Sociological Relevance. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 17, 105–31.

Agnoletto, Vittorio 2003: *Prima persone. Le nostre ragioni contro questa globalizzazione*. Roma: Laterza.

Aguiton, Christophe 2001: *Le monde nous appartient*. Paris: Plon.

Alberoni, Francesco 1984: *Movement and Institution*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Allegretti, Giovanni 2003: *L'insegnamento di Porto Alegre. Autoprogettualità come paradigma urbano*. Firenze: Alinea.

Amenta, Edwin and Neal, Caren 2004: The Legislative, Organizational, and Beneficiary Consequencesof State Oriented Challengers. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*, Oxford, Blackwell, 461–88.

Amenta, Edwin and Zylan, Yvonne 1991: It Happened Here: Political Opportunity, the New Institutionalism, and the Townsend Movement. *American Sociological Review*, 56, 250–65.

Amenta, Edwin, and Young, Michael P. 1999: Democratic States and Social Movements. Theoretical Arguments and Hypotheses. *Social Problems*, 46, 153–72.

Amin, Ash (ed.) 1994: *Post-Fordism*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Aminzade, Ronald 1995: Between Movements and Party: The Transformation of Mid-nineteenth-century French Republicanism. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest. Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 39–62.

Ancelovici, Marcos 2002: Organizing against Globalization: The Case of ATTAC in France. *Politics and Society*, 30, 427–63.

Anderson, Benedict 1983: *Imagined Communities*. London: Verso.

Andretta, Massimiliano 2003: Making transnational social movements work. Master, sectorial and individual frames and identitification. Paper for the Seminar *Social Movements and Contentious Politics in a Democratizing World*, Villa Serbelloni, Bellagio, July (forthcoming as ch. 3 in della Porta, Andretta, Mosca, and Reiter, *Global*, *Noglobal*, *New Global*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2005).

Andretta, Massimiliano, della Porta, Donatella, Mosca, Lorenzo, and Reiter, Herbert 2002: *Global, Noglobal, New Global. La protesta contro il G8 a Genova*. Roma: Laterza.

Andretta, Massimiliano, della Porta, Donatella, Mosca, Lorenzo, and Reiter, Herbert 2003: *Global – new global. Identität und Strategien der Antiglobalisierungsbewegung*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus Verlag.

Andrews, Kenneth and Edwards, Bob 2004: Advocacy Organizations in the US Political Process. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 30, 479–506.

Andrews, Kenneth and Edwards, Bob 2005: The Structure of Local Environmentalism. Unpublished paper.

Anheier, Helmut 2003: Movement Development and Organizational Networks: The Role of "Single Members" in the German Nazi Party, 1925–1930. In M. Diani and D. McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Anheier, Helmut and Themudo, Nuno 2002: Organizational Forms of Global Civil Society: Implications of Going Global. In Marlies Glasius, Mary Kaldor and Helmut Ahheier (eds.), *Global Civil Society 2002*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 191–216.

Anheier, Helmut, Glasius, Marlies, and Kaldor, Mary 2001: Introducing Global Civil Society. In Helmut Ahheier, Marlies Glasius, and Mary Kaldor (eds.), *Global Civil Society 2001*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 3–22.

Ansell, Christopher 1997: Symbolic Networks: The Realignment of the French Working Class, 1887–1894. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103, 359–90.

Ansell, Christopher 2001: *Schism and Solidarity in Social Movements. The Politics of Labor in the French Third Republic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ansell, Christopher 2003: Community Embeddedness and Collaborative Governance in the San Francisco Bay Area Environmental Movement. In M. Diani and D. McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Armstrong, Elizabeth 2002: *Forging Gay Identities: Organizing Sexuality in San Francisco, 1950–1994*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Arrighi, Giovanni and Silver, Beverly 1999: *Chaos and Governance in the Modern World System*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Arrighi, Giovanni, Hopkins, Therence K., and Wallerstein, Immanuel 1989: *Antisystemic Movements*. London: Verso.

Auyero, Javier 2001: Glocal Riots. *International Sociology*, 16, 33–53.

Auyero, Javier 2004: When Everyday Life, Routine Politics, and Protest Meet. *Theory and Society*, 33, 417–41.

Ayres, Jeffrey M. 1998: *Defying Conventional Wisdom: Political Movements and Popular Contention against North American Free Trade*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Ayres, Jeffrey 2004: Framing Collective Action Against Neoliberalism: The Case of the Anti-Globalization Movement. *Journal of World Systems Research*, 10, 11–34.

Bachrach, Peter and Baratz, Morton S. 1970: *Power and Poverty: Theory and Action*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Bagguley, Paul 1991: *From Protest to Acquiescence: Political Movements of the Unemployed*. London: Macmillan.

Bagguley, Paul 1992: Social Change, the Middle Class and the Emergence of "New Social Movements": A Critical Analysis. *Sociological Review*, 40, 26–48.

Bagguley, Paul 1994: Prisoners of the Beveridge Dream? The Political Mobilization of the Poor against Contemporary Welfare Regimes. In R. Burrows and B. Loader (eds.), *Towards a Post-Fordist State?* London: Macmillan.

Bagguley, Paul 1995a: Middle Class Radicalism Revisited. In T. Butler and M. Savage (eds.), *Social Change and the Middle Classes*. London: UCL Press.

Bagguley, Paul 1995b: Protest, Poverty and Power: A Case Study of the Anti-Poll Tax Movement. *Sociological Review*, 43, 693–719.

Baiocchi, Gianpaolo 2001: Participation, Activism, and Politics: The Porto Alegre Experiment and Deliberative Democratic Theory. *Politics and Society*, 29, 43–72.

Baiocchi, Gianpaolo 2002a: Synergizing Civil Society: State–Civil Society Regimes in Porto Alegre, Brazil. *Political Power and Social Theory*, 15, 3–52.

Baiocchi, Gianpaolo 2002b: *From Militance to Citizenship: The Workers' Party, Civil Society, and the Politics of Participatory Governance in Porto Alegre, Brazil*. Dissertation Abstracts International, A: The Humanities and Social Sciences; Available from UMI, Ann Arbor, MI. Order No. DA3020749.

Balme, Richard, Chabanet, Didier, and Wright, Vincent (eds.) 2002: *L'action collective en Europe*. Paris, Presses de Sciences Po.

Balser, Deborah B. 1997: The Impact of Environmental Factors on Factionalism and Schism in Social Movement Organizations. *Social Forces*, 76, 199–228.

Banaszac, Lee A. and Plutzer, Eric 1993: The Social Bases of Feminism in the European Community. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 57, 29–53.

Banaszak, Lee Ann, Beckwith, Karen, and Rucht, Dieter 2003: *When Power Relocates: Interactive Changes in Women's Movements and the State*. In Lee Ann Banaszak, Karen Beckwith, and Dieter Rucht (eds.), *Women's Movements Facing the Reconfigured State*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1–29.

Bandy, Joe and Bickham-Mendez, Jennifer 2003: A Place of Their Own? Women Organizers in the Maquilas of Nicaragua and Mexico. *Mobilization*, 8, 173–88.

Bandy, Joe and Smith, Jackie (eds.) 2004: *Coalitions Across Borders: Negotiating Difference and Unity in Transnational Struggles Against Neoliberalism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Barbalet, Jack M. 1988: *Citizenship*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Barcena, Inaki, Ibarra, Pedro and Zubiaga, Mario 1995: *Nacionalismo y ecologia. Conflicto e institucionalizacion en el movimiento ecologista vasco*. Madrid: Libros de la Catarata.

Barkan, Steven E., Cohn, Steven F., and Whitbaker, William H. 1995: Beyond Recruitment: Predictors of Differential Participation in a National Antihunger Organization. *Sociological Forum*, 10, 113–33.

Barker, Colin 2001: Fear, Laughter, and Collective Power: The Making of Solidarity at the Lenin Shipyard in Gdnask, Poland, August 1980. In J. Goodwin, J. M. Jasper, and F. Polletta (eds.), *Passionate Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 175–94.

Barker, Colin and Dale, Gareth 1999: Protest Waves in Western Europe: A Critique of New Social Movement Theory. *Critical Sociology*, 24, 65–104.

Barker, Colin, Johnson, Alan, and Lavalette, Michael (eds.) 2001: *Leadership in Social Movements*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Barnes, Barry and Edge, David (eds.) 1982: *Science in Context*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Barnes, Samuel H., Kaase, Max, Allerbeck, Klaus, Farah, Barbara, Heunks, Felix, Ingle-hart, Ronald, Jennings, M. Kent, Klingemann, Hans D., Marsh Alan, and Rosenmayr, Leopold 1979: *Political Action*. London/Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Bartholomew, Amy and Mayer, Margit 1992: Nomads of the Present: Melucci's Contri-bution to "New Social Movement" Theory. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 9, 141–59.

Bartolini, Stefano 2000: *The Political Mobilization of the European Left*. Cambridge, Cam-bridge University Press.

Bartolini, Stefano 2004: Trasformazione e trascendenza dei confini. Integrazione europea e stato-nazione. *Rivista italiana di scienza politica*, 34, 167–95.

Bartolini, Stefano and Mair, Peter 1990: *Identity, Competition and Electoral Availability. The Stabilization of European Electorates*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Battistelli, Fabrizio (ed.) 1990: *Rapporto di ricerca su: I movimenti pacifisti in Italia*. Gaeta: Rivista Militare.

Bauss, Gerhard 1977: *Die Studentenbewegung der sechziger Jahre*. Köln: Pahl-Rugenstein Verlag.

Bearman, Peter S. and Everett, Kevin D. 1993: The Structure of Social Protest, 1961–1983. *Social Networks*, 15, 171–200.

Bechofer, Frank and Elliott, Brian 1985: The Petite Bourgeoisie in Late Capitalism. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 11, 181–207.

Beck, John 1999: Makeover or Takeover? The Strange Death of Educational Autonomy in Neo-liberal England, *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 20, 223–38.

Beck, Ulrich 1999: *Che cos'è la globalizzazione: Rischi e prospettive della società planetaria*. Roma: Carocci.

Becker, Penny E. and Dhingra, Pawan 2001: Religious Involvement and Volunteering: Implications for Civil Society. *Sociology of Religion*, 62, 315–35.

Beer, William R. 1977: The Social Class of Ethnic Activists in Contemporary France. In M. J. Esman (ed.), *Ethnic Conflict in the Western World*. Ithaca/London: Cornell Univer-sity Press, 143–58.

Beer, William R. 1980: *The Unexpected Rebellion: Ethnic Activism in Contemporary France*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Beissinger, Mark R. 2002: *Nationalist Mobilization and the Collapse of the Soviet Union*. Cam-bridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bell, Daniel 1973: *The Coming of Post-Industrial Society*. New York: Basic Books.

Benford, Robert D. 1993: Frame Disputes within the Nuclear Disarmament Movement. *Social Forces*, 71, 677–701.

Benford, Robert D. 1997: An Insider's Critique of the Social Movement Framing Per-spective. *Sociological Inquiry*, 67, 409–30.

Benford, Robert D. and Hunt, Scott A. 1992: Dramaturgy and Social Movements: The Social Construction and Communication of Power. *Sociological Inquiry*, 62, 36–55.

Benford, Robert D. and Snow, David 2000: Framing Processes and Social Movements. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26, 611–39.

Bennani-Chraïbi, Mounia and Fillieule, Olivier 2003: *Résistances et protestations dans les sociétés musulmanes*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.

Bennett, W. Lance 2003: Communicating Global Activism: Strengths and Vulnerabilities of Networked Politics. *Information, Communication & Society*, 6 (2), 143–68.

Bennett, W. Lance 2004a: Communicating Global Activism: Strength and Vulnerabilities of Networked Politics. In W. van de Donk, B. Loader, P. Nixon, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest*. London: Routledge.

Bennett, W. Lance 2004b: Social Movements beyond Borders: Understanding Two Eras of Transnational Activism. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 203–26.

Bennett, W. Lance, Givens, Terry E., and Willnat, Larse 2004: Crossing Political Divide: Internet Use and Political Identification in Transnational Anti-War and Social Justice Activists in Eight Nations. Paper presented at the ECPR Joint Sessions, Uppsala.

Berezin, Mabel 2001: Emotions and Political Identity. In J. Goodwin, J. M. Jasper, and F. Polletta (eds.), *Passionate Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 83–91.

Berger, Peter and Luckmann, Thomas 1966: *The Social Construction of Reality. A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge*. Garden City, NY: Anchor Books.

Bergmann, Werner 2002: Exclusionary Riots: Some Theoretical Considerations. In C. Hoffmann et al. (eds.), *Exclusionary Violence. Antisemitic Riots in Modern German History*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 161–83.

Berkowitz, Steve D. 1982: *An Introduction to Structural Analysis: The Network Approach to Social Research*. Toronto: Butterworths.

Bernstein, Mary 1997: Celebrations and Suppression: The Strategic Use of Identity by the Lesbian and Gay Movement. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103, 531–65.

Béroud, Sophie, Mouriaux, René, and Vakaloulis, Michel 1998: *Le movement social en France. Essai de sociologie politique*. Paris: La Dispute.

Best, Joel (ed.) 1989: *Images of Issues: Typifying Contemporary Social Problems*. New York: de Gruyter.

Betz, Hans-Georg 1993: The New Politics of Resentment. Radical Right-wing Populist Parties in Western Europe. *Comparative Politics*, 25, 413–27.

Betz, Hans-Georg 1994: *Radical Right-wing Populism in Western Europe*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.

Bew, Paul, Gibbon, Peter, and Patterson, Henry 1979: *The State in Northern Ireland, 1921–72: Political Forces and Social Classes*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Bianchi, Marina and Mormino, Maria 1984: Militanti di se stesse. Il movimento delle donne a Milano. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici*. Bologna: il Mulino, 127–74.

Billig, Michael 1995: Rhetorical Psychology, Ideological Thinking, and Imagining Nationhood. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 64–81.

Biorcio, Roberto 1991: La lega come attore politico: Dal federalismo al populismo regionalista. In R. Mannheimer et al. *la Lega Lombarda*, Milan: Feltrinelli, 34–82.

Biorcio, Roberto 1992: The Rebirth of Populism in Italy and France. *Telos*, 90, 43–56.

Bircham, Emma and Charlton, John (eds.) 2001: *Anti-Capitalism. A Guide to the Movement*. London/Sydney: Bookmarks Publications.

Blee, Kathleen M. 2002: *Inside Organized Racism: Women in the Hate Movement*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Blumer, Herbert 1951: Social Movements. In A. McClung Lee (ed.), *Principles of Sociology*. New York: Barnes & Nobles, 199–220.

Blumer, Herbert 1971: Social Problems as Collective Behavior. *Social Problems*, 18, 298–306.

Bobbio, L., and Zeppetella, A. (eds.) 1999: *Perché proprio qui? Grandi opere e opposizioni locali*. Milano, Franco Angeli.

Bock, Hans-Manfred 1976: *Geschichte des linken Radikalismus in Deutschland. Ein Versuch*. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp Verlag.

Bohman, James 1997: Deliberative Democracy and Effective Social Freedom: Capabilities, Resources, and Opportunities. In James Bohman and William Rehg (eds.), *Deliberative Democracy: Essays on Reason and Politics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 321–48.

Boles, Janet K. 1991: Form Follows Function: The Evolution of Feminist Strategies. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 515, 38–49.

Boli, John 1999: Conclusion: World Authority Structures and Legitimations. In John Boli and George Thomas (eds.), *Constructing World Culture. International Nongovernmental Organizations since 1875*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 267–300.

Boli, John and Thomas, George (eds.) 1999: *Constructing World Culture: International Nongovernmental Organizations Since 1875*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Boltken, Ferdinand and Jagodzinski, Wolfgang 1985: In an Environment of Insecurity. *Comparative Political Studies*, 17, 453–84.

Bolton, Charles D. 1972: Alienation and Action: A Study of Peace Group Members. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78, 537–61.

Bonazzi, Tiziano and Dunne, Michael (eds.) 1994: *Cittadinanza e diritti nelle società multiculturali*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Bontadini, Paolo 1978: *Manuale di Organizzazione*. Milan: Isedi.

Booth, Alan and Babchuk, Nicholas 1969: Personal Influence Networks and Voluntary Association Affiliation. *Sociological Inquiry*, 39, 179–88.

Bosi, Lorenzo 2003: The New Social Movement Approach: The Case of the Civil Rights Movement in Northern Ireland. Paper for the Social Movements Stream, European Sociological Association Conference, Murcia, September.

Bourdieau, Pierre 1992: *The Practice of Reflexive Sociology*. In Pierre Bourdieu and Loïc J. D. Wacquant, *An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 218–60.

Bourdieu, Pierre 1977: *Outline of a Theory of Practice*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Bourdieu, Pierre 1980: *Le sens pratique*. Paris: Minuit.

Bourdieu, Pierre 1984: *Distinction*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Bourdieu, Pierre 1990: *The Logic of Practice*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Bourneau, François, and Martin, Virginie 1993: *Organiser les sans emploi? L'expérience de l'Apeis dans le Val-de-Marne*. In O. Fillieule (ed.), *Sociologie de la protestation*. Paris: L'Harmattan, pp. 157–80.

Brand, Karl-Werner 1985: Vergleichendes Resümee. In Karl-Werner Brand (ed.), *Neue soziale Bewegungen in Westeuropa und den USA. Ein internationaler Vergleich*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus, 306–34.

Brand, Karl-Werner 1990: Cyclical Aspects of New Social Movements: Waves of Cultural Criticism and Mobilization Cycles of New Middle-class Radicalism. In R. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 23–42.

Brand, Ulrich, and Wissen, Markus 2002: Ambivalenzen praktischer Globalisierungskritik: Das Bispiel Attac. *Kurswechsel*, 3, 102–13.

Braungart, Richard G. and Braungart, Margaret M. 1986: Life-course and Generational Politics. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 12, 205–31.

Braungart, Richard G. and Braungart, Margaret M. 1992: Historical Generations and Citizenship: 200 Years of Youth Movements. In P. C. Wasburn (ed.), *Research in Political Sociology*, vol. 6. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 139–74.

Brecher, Jeremy and Costello, Tim (eds.) 1990: *Building Bridges: The Emerging Grassroots Coalition of Labor and Community*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Brecher, Jeremy, Costello, Tim, and Smith, Brendan 2000: *Globalization from Below: The Power of Solidarity*. Boston: South End Press.

Breiger, Ronald L. 1974. The Duality of Persons and Groups. *Social Forces*, 53, 181–90.

Breiger, Ronald L. 1988: The Duality of Persons and Groups. In B. Wellman and S. D. Berkowitz (eds.), *Social Structures: A Network Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 83–98.

Breines, Wini 1989: *Community and Organization in the New Left. 1962–1968: The Great Refusal*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Breuilly, John 1993: *Nationalism and the State*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Brint, Steven 1984: New Class and Cumulative Trend Explanations of the Liberal Political Attitudes of Professionals. *American Journal of Sociology*, 90, 30–71.

Brint, Steven 1994: *In an Age of Experts: The Changing Role of Professionals in Politics and Public Life*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Brissette, Martha B. 1988: Tax Protest and Tax Reform: A Chapter in The History of The American Political Process. *Journal of Law and Politics*, 5, 187–208.

Broadbent, Jeffrey 1998: *Environmental Politics in Japan*: *Networks of Power and Protest*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Brokett, Charles D., 1995: A Protest-Cycle Resolution of the Repression/Popular-Protest Paradox. In Mark Traugott (ed.), *Repertoires and Cycles of Collective Action*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 117–44.

Brooks, Clem and Manza, Jeff 1994: Do Changing Values Explain the New Politics? A Critical Assessment of the Postmaterialist Thesis. *Sociological Quarterly*, 35, 541–70.

Brown, Cliff and Boswell, Terry 1995: Strikebreaking or Solidarity in the Great Steel Strike of 1919: A Split Labor Market, Game-theoretic, and QCA Analysis. *American Journal of Sociology*, 100, 1479–1519.

Brown, Helen M. 1989. Organizing Activity in the Women's Movement. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *Organizing for Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Bruce, Steve 1988: *The Rise and Fall of the New Christian Right*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Brysk, Alison 2000: *From Tribal Village to Global Village: Indian Rights and International Relations in Latin America*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Buchanan, James 1965: An Economic Theory of Clubs. *Economica*, 32 (125), 1–14.

Buechler, Steven M., 2004: The Strange Career of Strain and Breakdown Theories of Collective Action. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 47–66.

Bukowski, Jeannie, Piattoni, Simona, and Smyrl, Marc (eds.) 2003: *Between Europeanization and Local Societies: The Space for Territorial Governance*. Boulder, CO: Rowman & Littlefield.

Burstein, Paul 1999: Social Movements and Public Policy. In M. Giugni, D. McAdam, and C. Tilly (eds.), *How Social Movements Matter*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Burstein, Paul and Linton, April 2002: The Impact of Political parties, Interest Groups, and Social Movement Organizations on Public Policy. *Social Forces*, 75, 135–69.

Burstein, Paul, Einwohner, Rachel L. and Hollander, Jocelyn A. 1995: The Success of Political Movements: A Bargaining Perspective. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 275–95.

Burt, Ronald S. 1980: Models of Network Structure. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 6, 79–141.

Burt, Ronald S. and Minor, Michael J. (eds.) 1983: *Applied Network Analysis: A Methodological Introduction*. Beverly Hills/London: Sage.

Button, James W. 1978: *Black Violence: Political Impacts of the 1960s Riots*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Bystydzienski, Jill M. and Schacht, Steven (eds.) 2001: *Forging Radical Alliances Across Difference: Coalition Politics for the New Millennium*. New York: Rowman and Littlefield.

Cadena-Roa, Jorge 2002: Strategic Framing, Emotions, and Superbarrio – Mexico City's Masked Crusader. *Mobilization*, 7, 201–16.

Calhoun, Craig 1982: *The Question of Class Struggle: Social Foundations of Popular Radicalism during the Industrial Revolution*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Calhoun, Craig 1991: The Problem of Identity in Collective Action. In J. Huber (ed.), *Macro–Micro Linkages in Sociology*. London/Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, 51–75.

Calhoun Craig (ed.) 1992: *Habermas and the Public Sphere*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Calhoun, Craig 1993: New Social Movements of the Early 19th Century. *Social Science Journal*, 17, 385–427.

Calhoun, Craig (ed.) 1994a: *Social Theory and the Politics of Identity*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Calhoun, Craig 1994b: Nationalism and Civil Society: Democracy, Diversity, and Self-Determination. In C. Calhoun (ed.), *Social Theory and the Politics of Identity*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 304–35.

Calhoun, Craig 1994c: *Neither Gods Nor Emperors: Students and the Struggle for Democracy in China*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Callinicos, Alex 2001: Where now? In E. Bircham and J. Charlton (eds.), *Anti-Capitalism: A Guide to the Movement*. London/Sydney: Bookmarks Publications, 387–99.

Canciani, Domenico and De La Pierre, Sergio 1993: *Le ragioni di Babele. Le etnie tra vecchi nazionalismi e nuove identità*. Milano: Angeli.

Caniglia, Elisabeth Schafer 2001: Informal Alliances vs Institutional Ties: The Effects of Elite Alliances on Environmental TSMO Networks. *Mobilization*, 6, 37–54.

Capek, Stella 2003: The "Environmental Justice" Frame: A Conceptual Discussion and Application. *Social Problems*, 40, 5–24.

Cardon, Domenique, and Granjou, Fabien 2003: Peut-on se liberer des formats mediatiques? Le mouvement alter-mondialisation et l'Internet. *Mouvements*, 25, 67–73.

Carroll, William K. and Ratner, R. S. 1996: Master Framing and Cross-Movement Networking in Contemporary Social Movements. *Sociological Quarterly*, 37, 601–25.

Carson, Rachel 1962: *Silent Spring*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Cartuyvels, Yves et al. 1997: *L'Affaire Dutroux*. Brussels: Editions Complexe.

Carty, Victoria, 2002: Technology and Counter-hegemonic Movements: The Case of Nike Corporation. *Social Movement Studies*, 1, 129–46.

Castells 2001: *The Internet Galaxy: Reflections on the Internet, Business and Society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Castells, Manuel 1977: *La Question Urbaine*. Paris: Maspero.

Castells, Manuel 1983: *The City and the Grass-Roots*. London: E. Arnold.

Castells, Manuel 1996: *The Information Age. Vol. I: The Rise of the Network Society*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Castells, Manuel 1997: *The Information Age. Vol. II: The Power of Identity*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Catanzaro, Raimondo and Manconi, Luigi (eds.) 1995: *Storie di lotta armata*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Cerulo, Karen and Ruane, Janet M. 1998: Coming Together: New Taxonomies for the Analysis of Social Relations. *Sociological Inquiry*, 68, 398–425.

Cerulo, Karen. 1997. Reframing Sociological Concepts for a Brave New (Virtual?) World. *Sociological Inquiry*, 67, 48–58.

Cesarani, David and Fulbrick, Mary (eds.) 1996: *Citizenship, Nationality and Migration in Europe*. London: Routledge.

Chabanet, Didier 2002: Les marches européennes contre le chômage, la précarité et les exclusions. In Richard Balme, Didier Chabanet, and Vincent Wright (eds.), *L'action collective en Europe*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.

Chabot, Sean 2002: Transnational Diffusion and the African-American Reinvention of the Gandhian Repertoire. In Doug Imig and Sidny Tarrow (eds.), *Contentious Europeans: Protest and Politics in an Emerging Polity*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Chandhoke, Neera 2002: The Limits of Global Civil Society. In Marlies Glasius, Mary Kaldor, and Helmut Ahheier (eds.), *Global Civil Society 2002*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 35–53.

Chatfield, Charles, Pagnucco, Ron, and Smith, Jackie (eds.) 1996: *Solidarity Beyond the State: The Dynamics of Transnational Social Movements*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

Chesler, Mark 1991: Mobilizing Consumer Activism in Health Care: The Role of Self-help Groups. *Research in Social Movements, Conflicts and Change*, 13, 275–305.

Chiriboga, Manuel 2001: Constructing Southern Constituency for Global Advocacy: The Experience of Latin American NGOs and the World Bank. In Michael Edwards and John Gaventa, eds., *Global Citizen Action*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienne, 73–86.

Chong, Dennis 1991: *Collective Action and the Civil Rights Movement*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Cinalli, Manlio 2002: Environmental Campaigns and Socio-Political Cleavages in Divided Societies. *Environmental Politics*, 11, 163–71.

Clark, Martin 1984: *Modern Italy, 1871–1982*. London: Longman.

Clark, Robert 1989: Spanish Democracy and Regional Autonomy. In J. Rudolph and R. J. Thompson (eds.), *Ethnoterritorial Politics, Policy, and the Western World*. Boulder, CO/London: Lynne Rienner, 15–44.

Clark, Terry N. and Inglehart, Ronald 1998: The New Political Culture: Changing Dynamics of Support for the Welfare State and other Policies in Postindustrial Societies. In Terry N. Clark and Vincent Hoffmann-Martinot (eds.), *The New Political Culture*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 9–72.

Clark, Terry N. and Lipset, Seymour M. 1991: Are Social Classes Dying? *International Sociology*, 4, 397–410.

Clark, Terry N. and Rempel, Michael (eds.) 1997: *Citizen Politics in Post-Industrial Societies*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Clemens, Elisabeth S. 1996: Organizational Form as Frame: Collective Identity and Political Strategy in the American Labor Movement. In Doug McAdam, John McCarthy, and Mayer N. Zald (eds.), *Comparative Perspectives on Social Movements: Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 205–25.

Clemens, Elisabeth S. and Minkoff, Debra 2004: Beyond the Iron Law: Rethinking the Place of Organizations in Social Movement Research. In David A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 155–70.

Cleveland, John W. 2003: Does the New Middle Class Lead Today's Social Movements? *Critical Sociology*, 29, 163–88.

Cohen, J. 1989: *Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy*. In A. Hamlin and P. Pettit (eds.), *The Good Polity*. Oxford: Blackwell, 17–34.

Cohen, Jean L. 1985: Strategy and Identity: New Theoretical Paradigms and Contemporary Social Movements. *Social Research*, 52, 663–716.

Coleman, James 1990: *Foundations of Social Theory*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap.

Collins, Carole J. L., Gariyo, Zie, and Burdon, Tony 2001: Jubilee 2000: Citizen Action Across the North–South Divide. In Michael Edards and John Gaventa (eds.), *Global Citizen Action*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Riener, 135–48.

Connolly, Linda 2002: *The Irish Women's Movement From Revolution to Devolution*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.

Connor, Walker 1994: *Ethnonationalism: The Quest for Understanding*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Cook, Karen S. and Whitmeyer, J. M. 1992: Two Approaches to Social Structure: Exchange Theory and Network Analysis. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 18, 109–27.

Cortright, David 1991: Assessing Peace Movement Effectiveness in the 1980s. *Peace and Change*, 16, 46–63.

Cortright, David 1993: *Peace Works: The Citizen's Role in Ending the Cold War*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Cotgrove, Stephen and Duff, Andrew 1980: Environmentalism, Middle-class Radicalism and Politics. *Sociological Review*, 28, 333–51.

Couldry, Nick 1999: *The Place of Media Power: Pilgrims and Witnesses in the Media Age*. London: Routledge.

Crenshaw, Martha 1997: *Encyclopedia of World Terrorism*. Armonk, NY: Sharpe Reference.

Cress, Daniel and Snow, David 1996: Mobilization at the Margins: Resources, Benefactors, and the Viability of Homeless Social Movement Organizations. *American Sociological Review*, 61, 1089–1109.

Cress, Daniel M. and David A. Snow 2000: The Outcomes of Homeless Mobilization: The Influence of Organization, Disruption, Political Mediation, and Framing. *American Journal of Sociology*, 105, 1065–1104.

Cristante, Stefano (ed.) 2003: *Violenza mediata. Il ruolo dell'informazione nel G8 di Genova*. Roma: Editori Riuniti.

Crompton, Rosemary 1993: *Class and Stratification: An Introduction to Current Debates*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Crook, Stephen, Pakulski, Jan, and Waters, Malcolm 1992: *Postmodernization: Change in Advanced Society*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Crossley, Nick 1998: R. D. Laing and the British Anti-Psychiatric Movement: A Socio-Historical Analysis. *Social Science and Medicine*, 47, 877–89.

Crossley, Nick 1999: Working Utopias and Social Movements: An Investigation Using Case Study Materials from Radical Mental Health Movements in Britain. *Sociology*, 33, 809–30.

Crossley, Nick 2002: *Making Sense of Social Movements*. Buckingham: Open University Press.

Croteau, David 1995: *Politics and the Class Divide: Working People and the Middle Class Left*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Crouch, Colin 1999: *Social Change in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crouch, Colin 2004: *Post Democracy*. London: Polity.

Cuminetti, Mario 1983: *Il dissenso cattolico in Italia*. Milan: Rizzoli.

Curtis, Russel L. and Zurcher, Louis A. 1974: Social Movements: An Analytical Exploration of Organizational Forms. *Social Problems*, 11, 356–70.

Curtis, Russell L. and Zurcher, Louis A., Jr. 1973: Stable Resources of Protest Movements: The Multi-organizational Field. *Social Forces*, 52, 53–61.

D'Anieri, Paul, Ernst, Claire, and Kier, Elizabeth 1990: New Social Movements in Historical Perspective. *Comparative Politics*, 22, 445–58.

D'Anjou, Leo 1996: *Social Movements and Cultural Change: The First Abolition Campaign Revisited*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

D'Anjou, Leo and van Male, John 1998: Between Old and New: Social Movement and Cultural Change. *Mobilization*, 3, 297–26.

Dahl, Robert 1961: *Who Governs? Democracy and Power in an American City*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Dahl, Robert 1967: *Pluralist Democracy in the United States: Conflict and Consent*. Chicago: Rand McNally.

Dahrendorf, Ralf 1988: *The Modern Social Conflicts*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

Dahrendorf, Ralf 1995: *Quadrare il cerchio. Benessere economico, coesione sociale e libertà politica*. Roma, Bari: Laterza.

dalla Chiesa, Nando 1987: *Il Giano bifronte. Società corta e colletti bianchi*. Milano: Etas Libri.

Dalton, Russell (ed.) 1993: Citizens, Protest and Democracy. Special issue of *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences*, 528.

Dalton, Russell 1988: *Citizen Politics in Western Democracies*. Chatham, NJ: Chatham House.

Dalton, Russell 1994: *The Green Rainbow: Environmental Groups in Western Europe*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Dalton, Russell 1995: Strategies of Partisan Influence: West European Environmental Movements. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest: Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 296–323.

Dalton, Russell 1996: *Citizen Politics in Western Democracies*. Chatham, NJ: Chatham House.

Dalton, Russell J. and Kuechler, Manfred (eds.) 1990: *Challenging the Political Order: New Social and Political Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Dalton, Russell J., Flanagan, Scott C., and Beck, Paul A. (eds.) 1984: *Electoral Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies: Dealignment or Realignment?* Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Daniels, Cynthia and Brooks, Rachelle (eds.) 1997: *Feminists Negotiate the State: The Politics of Domestic Violence*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.

Davies, James 1969: The J-Curve of Rising and Declining Satisfactions as Cause of Some Great Revolutions and a Contained Rebellion. In H. D. Graham and T. Gurr (eds.), *Violence in America*. New York: Praeger, 690–730.

Davis, Gerald, McAdam, Doug, Scott, Richard, and Zald, Mayor N. (eds.) 2005: *Social Movements and Organization Theory*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Dawson, Jane 1996: *Eco-nationalism. Anti-nuclear Activism and National Identity in Russia, Lithuania, and Ukraine*. Durham/London: Duke University Press.

de Graaf, Nan Dirk and Evans, Geoffrey 1996: Why are the Young More Postmaterialist? A Cross-National Analysis of Individual and Contextual Influences on Postmaterial Values. *Comparative Political Studies*, 28, 608–35.

Delgado, Gary 1986: *Organizing the Movement: The Roots and Growth of ACORN*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

della Porta, Donatella 1988: Recruitment Processes in Clandestine Political Organizations: Italian Left-wing Terrorism. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi and S. Tarrow (eds.), *International Social Movement Research*, Vol. 1, *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 155–72.

della Porta, Donatella 1990: *Il terrorismo di sinistra*. Bologna: il Mulino.

della Porta, Donatella 1992: Lige Histories in the Analysis of Social Movement Activists. In M. Diani and R. Eyerman (eds.), *Studying Collective Action*, London: Sage, 168–93.

della Porta, Donatella 1995: *Social Movements, Political Violence and the State*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

della Porta, Donatella 1996a: *Movimenti collettivi e sistema politico in Italia, 1960–1995*. Bari: Laterza.

della Porta, Donatella 1996b: Il terrorismo. In *Enciclopedia Treccani*. Rome: Treccani.

della Porta, Donatella 1996c: Social Movements and the State: Thoughts on the Policing of Protest. In D. McAdam, J. McCarthy, and M. N. Zald (eds.), *Comparative Perspectives on Social Movements. Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 62–92.

della Porta, Donatella 1996d: Movimenti sociali. *Rassegna Italiana di Sociologia*, 37, 313–31.

della Porta, Donatella 1998a: Police Knowledge and the Public Order in Italy. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1–32.

della Porta, Donatella 1998b: The Political Discourse on Protest Policing. In M. Giugni, D. McAdam, and C. Tilly (eds.), *How Movements Matter*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

della Porta, Donatella 2001: *I partiti politici*. Bologna: Il Mulino.

della Porta, Donatella 2003a: *The Women's Movement, the Left and the State: Continuities and Changes in the Italian Case*. In L. A. Banaszak, K. Beckwith, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Women's Movements Facing the Reconfigured State*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 48–68.

della Porta, Donatella 2003b: Social Movements and Democracy at the Turn of the Millennium. In P. Ibarra (ed.), *Social Movements and Democracy*, 105–36. New York: Palgrave Macmillan Press.

della Porta, Donatella 2004b: *Europeanization and Social Movements*. In Gianfranco Bettin (ed.), *Sociology of Europe*. Bologna: Monduzzi.

della Porta, Donatella (ed.) 2004c: *Comitati di cittadini e democrazia urbana*. Cosenza: Rubbettino.

della Porta, Donatella 2004d: Démocratie en mouvement: les manifestants du Forum Social Européen, des liens aux réseaux. In *Politix*, 1.

della Porta, Donatella 2005a: Paths of Global Activism: Experiences of Political Participation and the Participant to the International Day for Peace. In D. Rucht and S. Waalgrave (eds.), *Protest Politics: Antiwar Mobilization in Advanced Industrial Democracies* (forthcoming).

della Porta, Donatella 2005b: Making the Polis: Social Forums and Democracy in the Global Justice Movement. *Mobilization*, 10.

della Porta, Donatella 2005c: From Corporatist Unions to Protest Unions? On the (Difficult) Relations between Labour and New Social Movements. In C. Crouch and W. Streek (eds.), *The Diversity of Democracy: A Tribute to Philippe C. Schmitter*, forthcoming.

della Porta, Donatella 2005d: Deliberation in Movement: Why and How to Study Deliberative Democracy and Social Movements. *Acta politica*, forthcoming.

della Porta, Donatella 2005e: Multiple Belongings, Flexible Identities and the Construction of Another Politics: Between the European Social Forum and the Local Social Fora. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 175–202.

della Porta, Donatella and Andretta, Massimiliano 2002: Changing Forms of Environmentalism in Italy: The Protest Campaign against the High-Speed Railway System. *Mobilization*, 1, 59–77.

della Porta, Donatella, Andretta, Massimiliano, Mosca, Lorenzo, and Reiter, Herbert 2005: *Transnational Movements*. Minneapolis, The University of Minnesota Press.

della Porta, Donatella and Caiani, Manuela 2005: Quale Europa? Discorso pubblico e europeizzazione. Bologna, il Mulino.

della Porta, Donatella and Diani, Mario 2004: *Movimenti senza protesta? L'ambientalismo in Italia*. Bologna: il Mulino (with the collaboration of Massimiliano Andretta).

della Porta, Donatella and Diani, Mario 2005: "No to the War With No Ifs or Buts": Protests Against the War in Iraq. In S. Fabbrini and V. Della Sala (eds.), *Italian Politics Yearbook 2004*. New York: Berghahn.

della Porta, Donatella and Fillieule, Olivier 2004: Policing Social Protest. In David A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 217–41.

della Porta, Donatella, Kousis, Maria, and Valiente, Celia 1996: Women and Politics in Southern Europe: Paths to Women's Rights in Italy, Greece, Spain and Portugal. Paper presented at the SSRC Conference on Democratic Consolidation and Culture in Southern Europe, Palma de Mallorca, July.

della Porta, Donatella and Kriesi, Hanspeter 1998: Social Movements in A Globalizing World: An Introduction. In D. della Porta, H. Kriesi and D. Rucht (eds.), *Social Movements in a Globalizing World*. New York/London: Macmillan.

della Porta, Donatella and Mosca, Lorenzo 2005: Global-net for Global Movements? A Network of Networks for a Movement of Movements. *Journal of Public Policy*, forthcoming.

della Porta, Donatella and Reiter, Herbert 1997: Police du gouvernement ou des citoyens? *Les Cahiers de la sécurité intérieure*, 27, 36–57.

della Porta, Donatella and Reiter, Herbert (eds.) 1998a: *Policing protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

della Porta, Donatella and Reiter, Herbert 1998b: Introduction: The Policing of Protest in Western Democracies. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*, 1–32. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

della Porta, Donatella and Reiter, Herbert 2004a: *Polizia e protesta*. Bologna, Il Mulino.

della Porta, Donatella and Reiter, Herbert, 2004b: *La protesta e il controllo. Movimenti e forze dell'ordine nell'era della globalizzazione*. Milano: Berti/Altreconomia, 2004.

della Porta, Donatella and Rucht, Dieter 1995: Left-libertarian Movements in Context: Comparing Italy and West Germany, 1965–1990. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest. Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 229–72.

della Porta, Donatella and Rucht, Dieter (eds.) 2002a: Special Issue: Comparative Environmental Campaigns. *Mobilization*, 7, 1–98.

della Porta, Donatella, and Rucht, Dieter 2002b: The Dynamics of Environmental Campaigns. *Mobilization*, 7, 1–14.

della Porta, Donatella and Tarrow, Sidney 1987: Unwanted Children: Political Violence and the Cycle of Protest in Italy, 1966–1973. *European Journal of Political Research*, 14, 607–32.

della Porta, Donatella and Tarrow, Sidney (eds.) 2005: *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

DeNardo, James 1985: *Power in Numbers: The Political Strategy of Protest and Rebellion*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Derloshon, Gerald B. and Potter, James E. 1982: *The Success Merchants: A Guide to Major Influences and People in the Human Potential Movement*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Desai, Manisha 1996: Informal Organizations as Agents of Change: Notes from the Contemporary Women's Movement in India. *Mobilization*, 1, 159–74.

Desario, Jack 1988: Consumers and Health Planning: Mobilization of Bias? In J. Desario and S. Langton (eds.), *Citizen Participation in Public Decision Making*. New York/Westport, CT/London: Greenwood Press, 133–51.

Devine, Fiona 1997: *Social Class in America and Britain*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Di Maggio, Paul 1986: Structural Analysis of Interorganizational Fields. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 8, 335–70.

Di Maggio, Paul and Powell, Walter 1983: The Iron Cage Revisited. International Isomorphism and Collective Rationality. *American Sociological Review*, 48, 147–60.

Di Maggio, Paul J. and Powell, Walter W. 1991: Introduction. In W. W. Powell and P. J. DiMaggio (eds.), *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1–38.

Diamanti, Ilvo 1993: *La Lega. Geografia, storia e sociologia di un nuovo soggetto politico*. Roma: Donzelli.

Diamanti, Ilvo 1994: Geopolitica del bluff federalista. *Limes*, 4, 37–44.

Diamanti, Ilvo 1996: The Northern League: From Regional Party to Party of Government. In S. Gundle, and S. Parker (eds.), *The New Italian Republic*. London/New York: Routledge, 113–29.

Diani, Mario 1984: L'area della "Nuova Coscienza" tra ricerca individuale ed impegno civile. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici*. Bologna: il Mulino, 223–66.

Diani, Mario 1986: Dimensione simbolica e dimensione sociale nelle esperienze di "Nuova Coscienza". Il caso dell'area milanese. *Rassegna italiana di sociologia*, 27, 89–115.

Diani, Mario 1988: *Isole nell'arcipelago. Il movimento ecologista in Italia*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Diani, Mario 1990: The Network Structure of the Italian Ecology Movement. *Social Science Information*, 29, 5–31.

Diani, Mario 1992a: Analysing Social Movement Networks. In M. Diani and R. Eyerman (eds.), *Studying Collective Action*. Newbury Park/London: Sage, 107–35.

Diani, Mario 1992b: Dalla ritualita' delle subculture alla liberta' dei reticoli sociali. *Democrazia e diritto*, 32, 199–221.

Diani, Mario 1992c: The Concept of Social Movement. *Sociological Review*, 40, 1–25.

Diani, Mario 1994: The Conflict over Nuclear Energy in Italy. In H. Flam (ed.), *States and Anti-nuclear Movements*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Diani, Mario 1995a: *Green Networks: A Structural Analysis of the Italian Environmental Movement*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Diani, Mario 1995b: Le reti di movimento: Prospettive di analisi. *Rassegna italiana di sociologia*, 36, 341–72.

Diani, Mario 1996: Linking Mobilization Frames and Political Opportunities: Insights from Regional Populism in Italy. *American Sociological Review*, 61, 1053–69.

Diani, Mario 1997: Social Movements and Social Capital: A Network Perspective on Movement Outcomes. *Mobilization*, 2, 129–47.

Diani, Mario 2000a: Simmel to Rokkan and Beyond: Elements for a Network Theory of (New) Social Movements. *European Journal of Social Theory*, 3, 387–406.

Diani, Mario 2000b: Social Movement Networks Virtual and Real. *Information, Communication and Society*, 3, 386–401.

Diani, Mario 2003a: Networks and Social Movements: A Research Programme. In Mario Diani and Doug McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 299–318.

Diani, Mario 2003b: Leaders or Brokers? In Mario Diani and Doug McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 105–22.

Diani, Mario 2004a: Do We Still Need SMOs? Paper for the ECPR Annual Sessions of Workshops, Upssala, April 13–18.

Diani, Mario 2004b: Networks and participation. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 339–59.

Diani, Mario 2004c: Demonstrators and Organizations in the 15th Feb. Protests. International Peace Protests Survey, unpublished report, Trento, March.

Diani, Mario 2005a: Cities in the World: Local Civil Society and Global Issues in Britain. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 45–67.

Diani, Mario 2005b: The Structural Bases of Movement Coalitions. Multiple Memberships and Networks in the February 15th 2003 Peace Demonstrations. Paper for the American Sociological Association Centenary Meeting, Philadelphia, August 13–16.

Diani, Mario and Bison, Ivano 2004: Organization, Coalitions, and Movements. *Theory and Society*, 33, 281–309.

Diani, Mario and Donati, Paolo R. 1984: L'Oscuro oggetto del desiderio: Leadership e potere nelle aree dil movimento. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici. Aree di movimento nella metropoli*. Bologna: il Mulino, 315–44.

Diani, Mario and Donati, Paolo R. 1996: Rappresentare l'interesse pubblico: La comunicazione dei gruppi di pressione e dei movimenti. *Quaderni di scienza politica*, 4, 1–42.

Diani, Mario and Donati, Paolo R. 1999: Organizational Change in Western European Environmental Groups: A Framework for Analysis. *Environmental Politics*, 8, 13–34.

Diani, Mario and Eyerman, Ron (eds.) 1992: *Studying Collective Action*. London / Beverly Hills: Sage.

Diani, Mario and Forno, Francesca 2003: Italy. In C. Rootes (ed.) *Environmental Protest in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 135–65.

Diani, Mario and Lodi, Giovanni 1988: Three in One: Currents in the Milan Ecology Movement. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 103–24.

Diani, Mario and McAdam, Doug (eds.) 2003: *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford / New York: Oxford University Press.

Diani, Mario and van der Heijden, Hein-Anton 1994: Anti-nuclear Movements across Nations: Explaining Patterns of Development. In H. Flam (ed.), *States and Anti-nuclear Movements*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 355–82.

Diani, Mario, Rucht, Dieter, Koopmans, Ruud, Oliver, Pamela, Taylor, Verta, McAdam, Doug, and Tarrow, Sidney 2003: Book Symposium. Focus on: Dynamics of Contention. *Mobilization*, 8, 109–41.

Diez Medrano, Juan 1995: *Divided Nations: Class, Politics, and Nationalism in the Basque Country and Catalonia*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Dines, Nicholas 1999: Centri sociali: occupazioni autogestite a Napoli negli omni novanta. *Quaderni di Sociologia*, 90–111.

Dixon, Marc and Roscigno, Vincent 2003: Status, Networks, and Social Movement Participation: The Case of Striking Workers. *American Journal of Sociology*, 108, 1292–1327.

Dobson, Andrew 1990: *Green Political Thought*. London: Unwin Hyman.

Doherty, Brian 1998: Opposition to Road-Building. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 51, 370–83.

Doherty, Brian 1999: Manufactured Vulnerability: Eco-Activism in Britain, *Mobilization*, 4, 75–89.

Doherty, Brian 2002: *Ideas and Action in the Green Movement*. London: Routledge.

Doherty, Brian, Plows, Alex, and Wall, Derek 2003: The Preferred Way of Doing Things: The British Direct Action Movement. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 56, 669–86.

Donati, Paolo R. 1989: Dalla politica al consumo. La questione ecologica e i movimenti degli anni settanta. *Rassegna italiana di sociologia*, 30, 321–46.

Donati, Paolo R. 1992: Political Discourse Analysis. In M. Diani and R. Eyerman (eds.), *Studying Collective Action*. Newbury Park/London: Sage, 136–67.

Donati, Paolo R. 1996: Building a Unified Movement: Resource Mobilization, Media Work, and Organizational Transformation in the Italian Environmentalist Movement. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 19. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 125–57.

Donati, Paolo R. and Mormino, Maria 1984: Il Potere della definizione: Le forme organizzative dell'antagonismo metropolitano. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici. Aree di movimento nella metropoli*. Bologna: il Mulino, 349–84.

Donati, Pierpaolo 1993: *La cittadinanza societaria*. Roma-Bari: Laterza.

Downing, D. H. 2001: *Radical Media: Rebellious Communication and Social Movements*. London: Sage.

Downs, Anthony 1972: Up and Down with Ecology: The Issue Attention Cycle. *Public Interest*, 28, 38–50.

Downtown, James 1973: *Rebel Leadership: Commitment and Charisma in the Revolutionary Process*. New York: Free Press.

Downtown, James and Wehr, Paul Ernest 1997: *The Persistent Activist*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Doyle, Timothy 2002: Environmental Campaigns against Mining in Australia and the Philippines, *Mobilization*, 7, 29–42.

Drury, John and Reicher, Steve 2000: Collective Action and Psychological Change: The Emergence of New Social Identities. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 39, 579–604.

Drury, John, Reicher, Steve, and Stott, Clifford 2003: Transforming the Boundaries of Collective Identity: From the "Local" Anti-road Campaign to "Global" Resistance? *Social Movement Studies*, 2, 191–212.

Dryzek, John S. 2000: *Deliberative Democracy and Beyond*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Duch, R. M. and Taylor, M. A. 1993: Postmaterialism and the Economic Condition. *American Journal of Political Science*, 37, 747–79.

Duffhues, Ton and Felling, Albert 1989: The Development, Change, and Decline of the Dutch Catholic Movement. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *International Social Movement Research*, Vol. 2, *Organizing for Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 95–116.

Dunleavy, Patrick 1980: *Urban Political Analysis: The Politics of Collective Consumption*. London: Macmillan.

Duyvendak, Jan Willem 1995: *The Power of Politics: New Social Movements in an Old Polity, France 1965–1989*. Boulder, CO: Westview.

Earl, Jennifer, Martin, Andrew, McCarthy, John, and Soule, Sarah 2004: The Use of Newspaper Data in the Study of Collective Action. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 30, 65–80.

Earl, Jennifer, Soule, Sarah, and McCarthy, John 2003: Protest Under Fire? Explaining the Policing of Protest. *American Sociological Review*, 68, 581–606.

Eckstein, Susan (ed.) 2001: *Power and Popular Protest: Latin American Social Movements*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Eder, Klaus 1985: The "New Social Movements": Moral Crusades, Political Pressure Groups, or Social Movements? *Social Research*, 52, 869–901.

Eder, Klaus 1993: *The New Politics of Class. Social Movements and Cultural Dynamics in Advanced Societies*. Newbury Park/London: Sage.

Eder, Klaus 1995: Does Social Class Matter in the Study of Social Movements? A Theory of Middle Class Radicalism. In L. Maheu (ed.), *Social Movements and Social Classes*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage, 21–54.

Eder, Klaus 2003: Identity Mobilization and Democracy: An Ambivalent Relation. In Pedro Ibarra (ed.), *Social Movements and Democracy*. New York: Palgrave, 61–80.

Edwards, Bob and Foley, Michael 2003: Social Movement Organizations Beyond the Beltway: Understanding the Diversity of One Social Movement Industry. *Mobilization*, 8, 85–105.

Edwards, Bob and Marullo, Sam 1996: Organizational Mortality in a Declining Movement: The Demise of Peace Movement Organizations in the End of the Cold War Era. *American Sociological Review*, 60, 908–27.

Edwards, Bob and McCarthy, John 2004: Resources and Social Movement Mobilization. In David A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 116–52.

Edwards, Bob, Foley, Michael W., and Diani, Mario (eds.) 2001: *Beyond Tocqueville: Social Capital, Civil Society, and Political Process in Comparative Perspective*. Hanover: University Press of New England.

Einwohner, Rachel 2002: Bringing the Outsiders In: Opponents' Claims and the Construction of Animal Rights Activists' Identity. *Mobilization*, 7, 253–68.

Einwohner, Rachel 2003: Opportunity, Honor, and Action the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising of 1943. *American Journal of Sociology*, 109, 650–75.

Eisinger, Peter K. 1973: The Conditions of Protest Behavior in American Cities. *American Political Science Review*, 67, 11–28.

Elkington, John and Hailes, Julia 1988: *The Green Consumer Guide*. London: Gollancz.

Ellingson, Stephen 1995: Understanding the Dialectic of Discourse and Collective Action: Public Debate and Rioting in Antebellum Cincinnati. *American Journal of Sociology*, 101, 100–44.

Elster, Jon 1998: Deliberation and Constitution Making. In J. Elster (ed.), *Deliberative Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 97–122.

Emirbayer, Mustafa 1997: A Manifesto for a Relational Sociology. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103, 281–317.

Emirbayer, Mustafa and Goodwin, Jeff 1994: Network Analysis, Culture, and the Problem of Agency. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99, 1411–54.

Emirbayer, Mustafa and Mische, Ann 1998: What is Agency? *American Journal of Sociology*, 103, 962–1023.

Ennis, James G. and Schreuer, Richard 1987: Mobilizing Weak Support for Social Movements: The Role of Grievance, Efficacy, and Cost. *Social Forces*, 66, 390–409.

Epstein, Barbara 1991: *Political Protest and Cultural Revolution: Nonviolent Direct Action in the 1970s and 1980s*. Berkeley: University of California.

Epstein, Barbara 2000: Not Your Parents' Protest. *Dissent*, 47 (2), 8–11.

Epstein, Barbara 2001: Anarchism and the Anti-Globalization Movement. *Monthly Review*, 53(4), 1–14.

Erickson, Bonnie 1982: Networks, Ideologies, and Belief Systems. In P. Marsden and N. Lin (eds.), *Social Structure and Network Analysis*. Beverly Hills/London: Sage, 159–72.

Escobar, Arturo and Alvarez, Sonia (eds.) 1992: *The Making of Social Movements in Latin America: Identity, Strategy, and Democracy*. Boulder, CO/Oxford: Westview Press.

Escobar, Edward, J. 1993: The Dialectic of Repression: The Los Angeles Police Department and the Chicano Movement, 1968–1971. *The Journal of American History*, March, 1483–1514.

Esping-Andersen, Gosta (ed.) 1993: *Changing Classes: Stratification and Mobility in Postindustrial Societies*. Thousand Oaks/London: Sage.

Etzioni, Amitai 1975: *A Comparative Analysis of Complex Organizations*. New York: Free Press.

Etzioni, Amitai 1985: Special Interest Groups versus Constituency Representation. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 8. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 171–95.

Evans, Geoffrey (ed.) 1999: *The End of Class Politics?* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Evans, Peter 2000: Fighting Marginalization with Transnational Networks. *Contemporary Sociology*, 29, 230–41.

Evans, Sara M. and Boyte, Harry C. 1986: *Free Spaces*. New York: Harper & Row.

Eyerman, Ron 1994: *Between Culture and Politics: Intellectuals and Modern Society*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Eyerman, Ron and Jamison, Andrew 1991: *Social Movements: A Cognitive Approach*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Eyerman, Ron and Jamison, Andrew 1994: Social Movements and Cultural Transformation: Popular Music in the 1960s. *Media, Culture and Society*, 17, 449–68.

Eyerman, Ron and Jamison, Andrew 1997: *Music and Social Movements*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Fabbrini, Sergio 1986: *Neo-conservatorismo e politica americana*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Fabbrini, Sergio 1988: *Politica e mutamenti sociali*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Faber, Daniel 2004: Building a Transnational Environmental Justice Movement. In J. Bandy and J. Smith (eds.), *Coalitions Across Borders*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Fantasia, Rick 1988: *Cultures of Solidarity: Consciousness, Action, and Contemporary American Workers*. Berkeley/London: University of California Press.

Fantasia, Rick and Hirsch, Eric 1995: Culture in Rebellion: The Appropriation and Transformation of the Veil in the Algerian Revolution. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 144–59.

Fantasia, Rick and Stepan-Norris, Judith 2004: The Labor Movement in Motion. In David A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 555–75.

Fantasia, Rick and Voss, Kim 2004: *Hard Work: Remaking the American Labor Movement*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Farrell, James J. 1997: *The Spirit of the Sixties: The Making of Postwar Radicalism*. New York: Routledge.

Farro, Antimo 1986: *Conflitti sociali e città*. Milano: Angeli.

Farro, Antimo 1991: *La lente verde*. Milano: Angeli.

Farro, Antimo 2003: Le tournant italien. In M. Wieviorka (ed.), *Un autre monde . . .* Paris: Balland, 177–94.

Favre Pierre (ed.) 1990: *La Manifestation*. Paris: Presses de la Fondation Nationale des Sciences Politiques.

Feagin, Joe R. and Capek, Stella M. 1991: Grassroots Movements in a Class Perspective. In P. C. Wasburn (ed.), *Research in Political Sociology*, vol. 5. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 27–53.

Featherstone, Mike (ed.) 1990: *Global Culture: Nationalism, Globalization and Modernity*. London: Sage.

Featherstone, Mike 1987: Lifestyle and Consumer Culture. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 4, 54–70.

Featherstone, Mike 1995: *Undoing Culture: Globalization, Postmodernism and Identity*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Fedel, Giorgio 1989: Cultura e simboli politici. In A. Panebianco (ed.), *L'Analisi della politica*. Bologna: il Mulino, 365–90.

Fernandez, Roberto and McAdam, Doug 1988: Social Networks and Social Movements: Multiorganizational Fields and Recruitment to Mississippi Freedom Summer. *Sociological Forum*, 3, 357–82.

Fernandez, Roberto and McAdam, Doug 1989: Multiorganizational Fields and Recruitment to Social Movements. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *Organizing for Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 315–44.

Ferree, Myra Marx 1992: The Political Context of Rationality: Rational Choice Theory and Resource Mobilization. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 29–52.

Ferree, Myra Marx and Roth, Silke 1998: Gender, Class and the Interaction Between Social Movements. *Gender and Society*, 12, 626–48.

Ferree, Myra Marx and McClurg Mueller, Carol 2004: Feminism and the Women's Movement: A Global Perspective. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 576–607.

Ferree, Myra Marx, Gamson, William, Gerhards, Juergen, and Rucht, Dieter 2002: *Shaping Abortion Discourse: Democracy and the Public Sphere in Germany and the United States*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.

Fillieule, Olivier (ed.) 1993a: Sociologie de la protestation. Les formes de l'action collective dans la France contemporaine. Paris: L'Harmattan.

Fillieule, Olivier 1993b: Conscience politique, persuasion et mobilisation des engagements. L'exemple du syndicat des chômeurs, 1983–1989. In Olivier Fillieule (ed.), *Sociologie de la protestation. Les formes de l'action collective dans la France contemporaine*. Paris: L'Harmattan, 123–55.

Fillieule, Olivier 2003: Local Environmental Politics in France: Case of the Louron Valley, 1984–1996. *French Politics*, 1, 305–30.

Fillieule, Olivier and Jobard, Fabien 1998: The Policing of Protest in France: Towards a Model of Protest Policing. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis: The University of Minnesota Press, 70–90.

Finnegan, William 2003: Affinity Groups and the Movement Against Corporate Globalization. In J. Goodwin and J. M. Jasper (eds.), *The Social Movements Reader*. Oxford: Blackwell, 210–18.

Fireman, Bruce and Gamson, William A. 1979: Utilitarian Logic in the Resource Mobilization Perspective. In J. D. McCarthy and M. N. Zald (eds.), *The Dynamics of Social Movements*. Cambridge, MA: Winthrop, 8–44.

Flam, Helena 1990: Emotional "Man": I. The Emotional "Man" and the Problem of Collective Action. *International Sociology*, 5, 39–56.

Fischer, Frank 1993: Citizen Participation and the Democratization of Policy Expertise: From Theoretical Inquiry to Practical Cases. *Policy Sciences*, 26, 165–87.

Flam, Helena 1994a: A Theoretical Framework for the Study of Encounters between States and Anti-Nuclear Movements. In H. Flam (ed.), *States and Antinuclear Movements*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 9–26.

Flam, Helena 1994b: Political Responses to the Anti-Nuclear Challenge: I. Standard Deliberative and Decision-Making Settings. In H. Flam (ed.), *States and Antinuclear Movements.* Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 299–328.

Flam, Helena 1994c: Political Responses to the Anti-Nuclear Challenge: II. Democratic Experiences and the Use of Force. In H. Flam (ed.), *States and Antinuclear Movements*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 329–54.

Flam, Helena (ed.) 1994d: *States and Anti-Nuclear Movements*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Flam, Helena 2001: *Pink, Purple, Green: Women's, Religious, Environmental and Gay/Lesbian Movements in Central Europe Today*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Follesdal, Andreas 2004: Political Consumerism as Chance and Challenge. In Michele Micheletti, Andreas Follesdal, and Dietlind Stolle (eds.), *Politics, Products and Markets: Exploring Political Consumerism Past and Present*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 3–20.

Foot, John M. 1996: The "Left Opposition" and the Crisis: Rifondazione Comunista and La Rete. In S. Gundle and S. Parker (eds.), *The New Italian Republic*. London/New York: Routledge, 173–88.

Forbes, James D. 1985: Organizational and Political Dimensions of Consumer Pressure Groups. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 8, 133–41.

Forno, Francesca 2004: *Protest in Italy from 1988 to 1997*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Government, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow.

Forno, Francesca and Ceccarini, Luigi forthcoming: Ethical Consumerism in Italy. *South European Society and Politics*.

Foucault, Michel 1977: *Discipline and Punish*. New York: Pantheon.

Foweraker, Joe 1995: *Theorizing Social Movements*. London: Pluto.

Fox, Jonathan and Brown, David L. (eds.) 1998: *The Struggle for Accountability*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Frank, Andre Gunder and Fuentes, Maria 1994: On Studying Cycles in Social Movements. *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, 17, 173–96.

Franklin, Mark, Mackie, Tom, and Valen, Henry (eds.) 1992: *Electoral Change*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Franzosi, Roberto 1995: *The Puzzle of Strikes: Class and State Strategies in Postwar Italy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Franzosi, Roberto 2004: *From Words to Numbers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Freeman, Jo 1979: Resource Mobilization and Strategy: A Model for Analyzing Social Movement Organizations. In M. N. Zald and J. D. McCarthy (eds.), *The Dynamics of Social Movements: Resource Mobilization, Social Control, and Tactics*. Cambridge, MA: Winthrop Publishing, 167–89.

Freeman, Jo 1983a: A Model for Analyzing the Strategic Options of Social Movement Organizations. In J. Freeman (ed.), *Social Movements of the Sixties and Seventies*. London: Longman, 193–210.

Freeman, Jo 1983b: On the Origins of Social Movements. In J. Freeman (ed.), *Social Movements of the Sixties and the Seventies*. New York: Longman, 8–30.

Freeman, Linton C. 1979: Centrality in Social Networks. I. Conceptual Clarifications. *Social Networks*, 1, 215–39.

Freschi, Anna Carola 2000: Comunità virtuali e partecipazione. Dall'antagonismo ai nuovi diritti. *Quaderni di Sociologia*, 23, 85–109.

Freschi, Anna Carola 2003: Dalla rete delle reti al movimento dei movimenti. Gli hacker e l'altra comunicazione. In D. della Porta and L. Mosca (eds.), *Globalizzazione e movimenti sociali*, 49–75. Roma: Manifestolibri.

Friberg, Mats and Hettne, Bjorn 1998: Local Mobilization and World System Politics. *International Social Science Journal*, 117, 341–60.

Friedman, Debra and McAdam, Doug 1992: Collective Identity and Activism. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 156–73.

Fuchs, Dieter and Rucht, Dieter 1994: Support for New Social Movements in Five Western European Countries. In C. Rootes and H. Davis (eds.), *A New Europe? Social Change and Political Transformation*. London: UCL Press, 86–111.

Fung, Archon and Wright, Erik Olin 2001: Deepening Democracy: Innovations in Empowered Participatory Governance. *Politics and Society*, 29, 5–41.

Galaskiewicz, Joseph 1979: *Exchange Networks and Community Politics*. Beverly Hills/London: Sage.

Galaskiewicz, Joseph 1985: Interorganizational Relations. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 11, 281–304.

Gale, Richard P. 1986: Social Movements and the State: The Environmental Movement, Counter-movement, and Governmental Agencies. *Sociological Perspectives*, 29, 202–40.

Gallagher, John and Bull, Chris 1996: *Perfect Enemies: The Religious Right, the Gay Movement, and the Politics of the 1990s*. New York: Crown Publishers.

Gallie, Duncan 1989: Social Inequalities and Class Radicalism in France and Britain. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gallino, Luciano 1978a: Comportamento collettivo. In *Dizionario di sociologia*. Torino: UTET, 128–31.

Gallino, Luciano 1978b: Conflitto. In *Dizionario di Sociologia*. Torino: UTET, 156–61.

Gamson, Josh 1989: Silence, Death, and the Invisible Enemy: AIDS Activism and Social Movement "Newness." *Social Problems*, 36, 351–67.

Gamson, Josh 1995: Must Identity Movements Self-Destruct? A Queer Dilemma. *Social Problems*, 42, 390–407.

Gamson, William 1988: Political Discourse and Collective Action. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 219–46.

Gamson, William 1990: *The Strategy of Social Protest* (2nd edition). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth (original edition 1975).

Gamson, William 1992a: The Social Psychology of Collective Action. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 53–76.

Gamson, William 1992b: *Talking Politics*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Gamson, William A. 2004: Bystanders, Public opinion and the Media. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 242–61.

Gamson, William A., Croteau, David, Hoynes, William, and Sasson, Theodore 1992: Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 18, 373–93.

Gamson, William and Meyer, David S. 1996: Framing Political Opportunity. In D. McAdam, J. D. McCarthy, and M. N. Zald (eds.), *Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Framing*. New York/Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 275–90.

Gamson, William and Modigliani, André 1989: Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power. *American Journal of Sociology*, 95, 1–37.

Gamson, William and Wolfsfeld, Gadi 1993: Movements and Media as Interacting Systems. *The Annals of the AAPSS*, 528, 114–25.

Gamson, William, Fireman, Bruce, and Rytina, Steve 1982: *Encounters with Unjust Authority*. Homewood, IL: Dorsey Press.

Gans, Herbert 1979: Symbolic Ethnicity: The Future of Ethnic Groups and Cultures in America. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 2, 1–20.

Garofalo, Reebee (ed.) 1992: *Rockin' the Boat: Mass Music and Mass Movements*. Boston: South End Press.

Gaventa, John 1982: *Power and Powerlessness: Quiescence and Rebellion in an Appalachian Valley*. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Geary, Dick 1981: *European Labour Protest 1848–1939*. New York: St Martin's Press.

Geary, Dick 1989: Introduction. In D. Geary (ed.), *Labour and Socialist Movements in Europe Before 1914*. Oxford/New York: Berg.

Gelb, Joyce 1989: *Feminism and Politics: A Comparative Perspective*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Gelb, Joyce and Palley, Marian Lief (eds.) 1982: *Women and Public Policies*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Gellner, Ernest 1993: *Ragione e religione*. Milano: il Saggiatore (original edition *Postmodernism, Reason and Religion*, London: Routledge, 1992).

Gerhards, Jürgen 1991: Die Mobilisierung gegen die IWF- und Welt-banktagung in Berlin: Gruppen, Veranstaltungen, Diskurse. In Roland Roth and Dieter Rucht (eds.), *Neue soziale Bewegungen in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland*. Bonn: Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung, 213–34.

Gerhards, Jürgen 1993: *Neue Konfliktlinie in der Mobilisierung öffentlicher Meinung. Warum die IWF Tagung in Berlin 1988 zu einem öffentlichen Streitthema würde*. Berlin: Sigma.

Gerhards, Jürgen 1995: Framing-Dimensions and Framing-Strategies: Contrasting Ideal- and Real-type Frames. *Social Science Information*, 34, 225–48.

Gerhards, Jürgen and Rucht, Dieter 1992: Mesomobilization Contexts: Organizing and Framing in Two Protest Campaigns in West Germany. *American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 555–96.

Gerlach, Luther 1971: Movements of Revolutionary Change: Some Structural Characteristics. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43, 813–36.

Gerlach, Luther 2001: The Structure of Social Movements: Environmental Activism and Its Opponents. In J. Arquilla and D. Ronfeldt (eds.), *Networks and Netwars: The Future of Terror, Crime, and Militancy*. Santa Monica, CA: Rand, 289–310.

Gerlach, Luther and Hine, Virginia 1970: *People, Power and Change*. Indianapolis: The Bobbs-Merrill Company.

Giddens, Anthony 1983: La società europea negli anni ottanta: Divisioni di classe, conflitto di classe e diritti di cittadinanza. In G. Pasquino (ed.), *Le società complesse*. Bologna: il Mulino, 153–200.

Giddens, Anthony 1990: *The Consequences of Modernity*. Cambridge / Stanford, CA: Polity Press / Stanford University Press.

Gill, Stephen 2000: Toward a Postmodern Prince? The Battle of Seattle as a Moment in the New Politics of Globalisation. *Millennium*, 29 (1), 131–40.

Ginsborg, Paul 1990: *Italy Since 1943*. London: Penguin.

Girling, John 2004: *Social Movements and Symbolic Power: Radicalism, Reform and the Trial of Democracy in France*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan Press.

Gitlin, Todd 1980: *The Whole World is Watching: Mass Media in the Making and Unmaking of the New Left*. Berkeley / Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press.

Giugni, Marco 1996: Federalismo e movimenti sociali. In *Rivista italiana di scienza politica*, 26, 147–71.

Giugni, Marco 1998: The Other Side of the Coin: Crossnational Similarities between Social Movements. *Mobilization*, 3, 89–105.

Giugni, Marco 2004: *Social Protest and Policy Change*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Giugni, Marco and Passy, Florence (eds.) 2001. *Political Altruism? Solidarity Movements in International Perspective*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Giugni, Marco and Passy, Florence 1998: Contentious Politics in Complex Societies: New Social Movements between Conflict and Cooperation. In Marco Giugni, Doug McAdam, and Charles Tilly (eds.), *From Contention to Democracy*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 81–107.

Giugni, Marco, McAdam, Doug, and Tilly, Charles (eds.) 1999: *How Movements Matter*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Goffman, Erving 1974: *Frame Analysis*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Goldstein, Robert J. 1983: *Political Repression in 19th Century Europe*. London: Croom Helm.

Goldstone, Jack A. 1991: *Revolution and Rebellion in the Early Modern World*. Berkeley / Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Goldstone, Jack A. 2003: Introduction: Bridging Institutionalized and Noninstitutionalized Politics. In Jack A. Goldstone (ed.), *States, Parties and Social Movements*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1–25.

Goldthorpe, John H. 1982: On the Service Class, Its Formation and Future. In A. Giddens and G. Mackenzie (eds.), *Social Class and the Division of Labour*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Goodwin, Jeff and Pfaff, Steven 2001: Emotion Work in High-Risk Social Movements: Managing Fear in the U.S. and East German Civil Rights Movements. In J. Goodwin, J. Jasper, and F. Polletta (eds.), *Passionate Politics: Emotions and Social Movements*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 282–302.

Goodwin, Jeff and Jasper, James J. 2004a: Caught in a Winding. Snarling Vine: The Structural Bias of Political Process Theory. In Jeff Goodwin and James J. Jasper (eds.), *Rethinking Social Movements. Structure, Meaning and Emotions*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 3–30.

Goodwin, Jeff and Jasper, James J. 2004b, Trouble in Paradigms. In Jeff Goodwin and James J. Jasper (eds.), *Rethinking Social Movements: Structure, Meaning and Emotions*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 75–93.

Goodwin, Jeff, Jasper, James M., and Polletta, Francesca (eds.) 2001: *Passionate Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Goodwin, Jeff, Jasper, James M., and Polletta, Francesca 2004: Emotional Dimensions of Social Movements. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 413–32.

Gould, Deborah 2002: Strategic Framing, Emotions, and Superbarrio – Mexico City's Masked Crusader. *Mobilization*, 7 (2), 201–16.

Gould, Roger V. 1991: Multiple Networks and Mobilization in the Paris Commune 1871. *American Sociological Review*, 56, 716–29.

Gould, Roger V. 1993a: Trade Cohesion, Class Unity, and Urban Insurrection: Artisanal Activism in the French Commune. *American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 721–54.

Gould, Roger V. 1993b: Collective Action and Network Structure. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 182–96.

Gould, Roger V. 1995: *Insurgent Identities: Class, Community, and Protest in Paris from 1848 to the Commune*. Chicago/London: University of Chicago Press.

Gould, Roger V. 2003: Why do Networks Matter? Rationalist and Structuralist Interpretations. In M. Diani and D. McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 233–57.

Gouldner, Alvin 1979: *The Future of Intellectuals and the Rise of the New Class*. New York: Continuum.

Grand, Steve and Kull, Steven 2002: *Worldviews 2002: American and European Public Opinion & Foreign Policy: Final Report*. www.worldviews.org/detailreports/compreport.pdf.

Granovetter, Mark 1973: The Strength of Weak Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78, 1360–80.

Granovetter, Mark 1978: Threshold Models of Collective Behavior. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83, 1420–43.

Granovetter, Mark 1985: Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91, 481–510.

Grant, W., Perl, A., and Knoepfel P. (eds.) 1999: *The Politics of Improving Urban Air Quality*. Aldershot, UK: Edward Elgar.

Grazioli, Marco and Lodi, Giovanni 1984: La mobilitazione collettiva negli anni ottanta: Tra condizione e convinzione. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici*, Bologna: il Mulino, 267–313.

Gret, Marion and Sintomer, Yves 2002: *Porto Alegre. L'éspoir d'une autre démocratie*. Paris: La Découverte.

Gronmo, Sigmund 1987: The Strategic Position of Consumers in the Information Society. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 10, 43–67.

Gulati, Ranjay and Gargiulo, Martin 1999: Where Do Interorganizational Networks Come From? *American Journal of Sociology*, 104, 1439–93.

Gundle, Stephen and Parker, Simon (eds.) 1996: *The New Italian Republic: From the Fall of the Berlin Wall to Berlusconi*. London/New York: Routledge.

Gurak, Laura J. and Logie, John 2003: Internet Protests, from Text to Web. In M. McCaughey and M. D. Ayers (eds.), *Cyberactivism. Online Activism in Theory and Practice*. London: Routledge, 25–46.

Gurr, Ted R. 1970: *Why Men Rebel*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Gurr, Ted R. and Harff, Barbara 1994: *Ethnic Conflict in World Politics*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Gusfield, Joseph 1962: Mass Society and Extremist Politics. *American Sociological Review*, 27, 19–30.

Gusfield, Joseph 1963: *Symbolic Crusade*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Gusfield, Joseph 1968: The Study of Social Movements. In D. L. Sills (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences*. New York: Collier & Macmillan, 445–52.

Gusfield, Joseph 1981: Social Movements and Social Change: Perspectives of Linearity and Fluidity. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, Vol. 4. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 317–39.

Gusfield, Joseph 1989: Constructing Ownership of Social Problems: Fun and Profit in the Welfare State. *Social Problems*, 36, 431–41.

Gusfield, Joseph 1994: The Reflexivity of Social Movements: Collective Behavior and Mass Society Theory Revisited. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, and J. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 58–78.

Haas, Ernst B. 1964: *Beyond the Nation State: Functionalism and International Organization*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Haas, Peter M. 1992: Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. *International Organization*, 46, 1–37.

Habermas, Jürgen 1976: *Legitimation Crisis*. London: Heinemann.

Habermas, Jürgen 1978: *Knowledge and Human Interests*. London: Heinemann.

Habermas, Jürgen 1981: *Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Habermas, Jürgen 1987: *The Theory of Communicative Action*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Habermas, Jürgen 1989: *The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Habermas, Jürgen 1996: *Between Facts and Norms: Contribution to a Discursive Theory of Law and Democracy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Hägerstrand, Torsten 1967: *Innovation Diffusion as a Spatial Process*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Haines, Herbert H. 1988: *Black Radicals and the Civil Rights Mainstream, 1954–1970*. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press.

Hainsworth, Paul (ed.) 1992: *The Extreme Right in Europe and the USA*. London: Pinter.

Hajer, Maarten and Kesselring, Sven 1999: Democracy in the Risk Society? *Environmental Politics*, 8 (3), 1–23.

Hall, Richard 1982: *Organizations: Structure and Process*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Hampton, Keith and Wellmann, Barry 2001: Long Distance Community in the Network Society. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 45, 477–96.

Hanagan, Michael 1998a: Social Movements. Incorporation, Disengagement, and Opportunities – A Long View. In Marco Giugni, Doug McAdam, and Charles Tilly (eds.), *From Contention to Democracy*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 3–31.

Hanagan, Michael 1998b: Irish Transnational Movements, Deterritorialized Migrants, and the State. *Mobilization*, 3, 107–26.

Hannigan, John 1995: *Environmental Sociology*. London/New York: Routledge.

Hargreaves Heap, Shaun, Hollis, Martin, Lyons, Bruce, Sugden, Robert, and Weale, Albert 1992: *The Theory of Choice: A Critical Guide*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Hathaway, Will and Meyer, David S. 1993–4: Competition and Cooperation in Social Movement Coalitions: Lobbying for Peace in the 1980s. *Berkeley Journal of Sociology*, 38, 157–83.

Haunss, Sebastian and Leach, Darcy K. 2004: Scenes and Social Movements. Paper for the ECPR Annual Sessions of Workshops, Uppsala, 13–18 April.

Haydu, Jeffrey 1999: Counter Action Frames: Employer Repertoires and the Union Menace in the Late Nineteenth Century. *Social Problems*, 313–31.

Heath Anthony, Jowell, Roger, Curtice, John, Evans, Geoffrey, Field, John, and Whiterspoon, S. 1991: *Understanding Political Change: The British Voter 1946–1987*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Hechter, Michael 1975: *Internal Colonialism: The Celtic Fringe in British National Development, 1536–1966*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Heckathorn, Douglas D. 1989a: Collective Action and the Second-Order Free-Rider Problem. *Rationality and Society*, 1, 78–100.

Heckathorn, Douglas D. 1989b: Collective Sanctions and the Creation of Prisoner's Dilemma Norms. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, 535–562.

Heckathorn, Douglas D. 1990: Collective Sanctions and Compliance Norms: A Formal Theory of Group-Mediated Social Control. *American Sociological Review*, 55, 366–84.

Heckathorn, Douglas D. 1991: Extensions of the Prisoner's Dilemma Paradigm: The Altruist's Dilemma and Group Solidarity. *Sociological Theory*, 9, 34–52.

Heckathorn, Douglas D. 1993: Collective Action and Group Heterogeneity: Voluntary Provision versus Selective Incentives. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 329–50.

Heckathorn, Douglas D. 1996: The Dynamics and Dilemmas of Collective Action. *American Sociological Review*, 61, 250–77.

Hedström, Peter 1994: Contagious Collectivities: On the Spatial Diffusion of Swedish Trade Unions, 1890–1940. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99, 1157–79.

Hedström, Peter and Swedberg, Richard (eds.) 1998: *Social Mechanisms*: *An Analytical Approach to Social Theory*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Hedström, Peter, Sandell, Rickard, and Stern, Charlotta 2000: Mesolevel Networks and the Diffusion of Social Movements: The Case of the Swedish Social Democratic Party. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106, 145–72.

Heiberg, Marianne 1989: *The Making of the Basque Nation*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Heirich, Max 1971: *The Spiral of Conflict: Berkeley 1964*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Held, David, and McGrew, Anthony 2000: *The Global Transformation Reader: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Held, David, McGrew, Anthony, Goldblatt, David, and Perraton, Jonathan 1999: *Global Transformations*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Hellman, Judith 1987: *Journeys among Women: Feminism in Five Italian Cities*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Herman, Didi 1997: *The Antigay Agenda: Orthodox Vision and the Christian Right*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997.

Hertz, Noreena 2001: *The Silent Takeover: Global Capitalism and the Death of Democracy*. London: Heinemann.

Hewitt, Lyndi and McCammon, Holly 2004: Explaining Suffrage Mobilization: Balance, Neutralization, and Range in Collective Action Frames. *Mobilization*, 9, 149–66.

Hick, Steven, and McNutt, John 2002: Communities and Advocacy on the Internet: A Conceptual Framework. In S. Hick and J. McNutt (eds.), *Advocacy, Activism and the Internet*. Chicago: Lyceum Books, 3–18.

Hilgartner, Stephen and Bosk, Charles L. 1988: The Rise and Fall of Social Problems: A Public Arenas Model. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, 53–78.

Hinckley, Barbara 1981: *Coalitions and Politics*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Hipsher, Patricia L. 1998: Democratic Transitions as Protest Cycles: Social Movement Dynamics in Democratizing Latin America. In David S. Meyer and Sidney Tarrow (eds.), *The Social Movement Society*. New York: Rowman and Littlefield, 153–72.

Hirsch, Eric L. 1990: Sacrifice for the Cause: The Impact of Group Processes on Recruitment and Commitment in Protest Movements. *American Sociological Review*, 55, 243–54.

Hirsch, Joachim 1988: The Crisis of Fordism, Transformations of The "Keynesian" Security State, and New Social Movements. *Research in Social Movements, Conflicts and Change*, 10, 43–55.

Hirschman, Albert O. 1982: *Shifting Involvements: Private Interests and Public Action*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Hirst, Paul 1994: *Associative Democracy: New Forms of Economic and Social Governance*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Hobsbawm, Eric, 1952, The Machine Breakers. *Past and Present*, 1, 57–70.

Hobsbawm, Eric 1991: *Nazioni e nazionalismo dal 1780: Programma, mito, realtà*. Turin: Einaudi. (Original edition *Nations and Nationalism since 1780*, Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993.)

Hobsbawm, Eric 1994: *Age of Extremes: The Short Twentieth Century, 1914–1991*. London: Penguin.

Hobsbawm, Eric and Ranger, Terence (eds.) 1983: *The Invention of Tradition*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Hoffman, Lily M. 1989: *The Politics of Knowledge: Activist Movements in Medicine and Planning*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.

Horton, Lynne 2004: Constructing Conservative Identity: Peasant Mobilization Against Revolution in Nicaragua. *Mobilization*, 9, 167–80.

Hourigan, Niahm 2003: *Escaping the Global Village: Media, Language and Protest*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

Howard, Judith A. 2000: Social Psychology of Identities. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26, 367–93.

Hunt, Lynn 1984: *Politics, Culture, and Class in the French Revolution*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Hunt, Scott A. 1992: Critical Dramaturgy and Collective Rhetoric: Cognitive and Moral Order in the Communist Manifesto. *Perspectives on Social Problems*, 3, 1–18.

Hunt, Scott A. and Benford, Robert D. 1994: Identity Talk in the Peace and Justice Movement. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 22, 488–517.

Hunt, Scott A. and Benford, Robert D. 2004: Collective Identity, Solidarity, and Commitment. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 694–715.

Hunt, Scott A., Benford, Robert D. and Snow, David A. 1994: Identity Fields: Framing Processes and the Social Construction of Movement Identities. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, and J. R. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 185–208.

Hunter, Floyd 1953: *Community Power Structure: A Study of Decision Makers*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Huntington, Samuel 1993: The Clash of Civilizations? *Foreign Affairs*, 72, 22–49.

Huntington, Samuel 1996: *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Ibarra, Pedro 1995: Nuevas Formas de Comportamiento Politico: Los Nuevos Movimientos Sociales. *Inuruak: Revista Vasca de Sociologia y Ciencias Politica*, 13, 39–60.

Ignazi, Piero 1994: *L'estrema destra in europa*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Ignazi, Piero and Ysmal, Colette 1992: New and Old Extreme Right-wing Parties: The French Front National and the Italian Movimento Sociale. *European Journal of Political Research*, 22, 101–20.

Imig, Doug and Tarrow, Sidney (eds.) 2001a: *Contentious Europeans: Protest and Politics in an Emerging Polity*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Imig, Doug, and Tarrow, Sidney 2001b: La contestation politique dans l'Europe en formation. In R. Balme, D. Chabanet, and V. Wright (eds.), *L'action collective en Europe*. Paris: Presses De Science Po, 195–223.

Inglehart, Ronald 1977: *The Silent Revolution: Changing Values and Political Styles among Western Publics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Inglehart, Ronald 1985: New Perspectives on Value Change. *Comparative Political Studies*, 17, 485–532.

Inglehart, Ronald 1990a: *Culture Shift in Advanced Industrial Society*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Inglehart, Ronald 1990b: Values, Ideology, and Cognitive Mobilization in New Social Movements. In R. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 43–66.

Inglehart, Ronald 1997: *Modernization and Postmodernization: Cultural, Economic, and Political Change in 43 Societies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Inglehart, Ronald 1999: Globalization and Postmodern Values. *The Washington Quarterly*, 23, 215–28.

Inglehart, Ronald and Abramson, Paul R. 1994: Economic Security and Value Change. *American Political Science Review*, 88, 336–54.

Inglehart, Ronald and Baker, Wayne 2000: Modernization, Globalization, and the Persistence of Tradition: Empirical Evidence from 65 Countries. *American Sociological Review*, 65, 19–55.

Inglehart, Ronald and Catterberg, Gabriela 2002: Trends in Political Action: The Developmental Trend and the Post-Honeymoon Decline, *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 43, 300–16.

Inglehart, Ronald and Norris, Pippa 2003: *Rising Tide: Gender Equality and Cultural Change Around the World*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Inglehart, Ronald and Norris, Pippa 2005: *Secular and Sacred*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Isaac, Larry and Christiansen, Lars 2002: How the Civil Rights Movement Revitalized Labor Militancy. *American Sociological Review*, 67, 722–46.

Jackson, John Harold and Morgan, C. P. 1978: *Organizational Theory: A Macroperspective for Management*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Jamison, Andrew and Eyerman, Ron 1994: *Seeds of the Sixties*. Berkeley/Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Jamison, Andrew, Eyerman, Ron, and Cramer, Jacqueline 1990: *The Making of the New Environmental Consciousness: A Comparative Study of the Environmental Movements in Sweden, Denmark and the Netherlands*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Janda, Kenneth 1970: *A Conceptual Framework for the Comparative Analysis of Political Parties*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Jansen, Robert 2003: Resurrection and Reappropriation: Political Uses of Historical Figures in Comparative Perspective. Unpublished paper. Los Angeles: UCLA.

Jasper, James 1997: *The Art of Moral Protest*: *Culture, Biography, and Creativity in Social Movements*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Jasper, James M. and Nelkin, Dorothy 1992: *The Animal Rights Crusade: The Growth of a Moral Protest*. New York: Free Press.

Jasper, James M. and Poulsen, Jane 1995: Recruiting Strangers and Friends: Moral Shocks and Social Networks in Animal Right and Anti-Nuclear Protests. *Social Problems*, 42, 493–512.

Jenkins, J. Craig 1983: Resource Mobilization Theory and the Study of Social Movements. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 9, 527–53.

Jenkins, J. Craig 1985: *The Politics of Insurgency: The Farm Worker Movement in the 1960s*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Jenkins, J. Craig and Klandermans, Bert 1995: The Politics of Social Protest. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest: Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 3–13.

Jenkins, J. Craig and Leicht, Kevin 1997: *Class Analysis and Social Movements: A Critique and Reformulation*. In John R. Hall (ed.), *Reworking Class*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 369–97.

Jenkins, J. Craig and Perrow, Charles 1977: Insurgency of the Powerless: The Farm Worker Movements 1946–1972. *American Sociological Review*, 42, 249–68.

Jennings, M. Kent, van Deth, Jan, Barnes, Samuel, Fuchs, Dieter, Heunks, Felix, Inglehart, Ronald, Kaase, Max, Klingemann, Hans-Dieter, and Thomassen, Jacques 1990: *Continuities in Political Action*. Berlin/New York: Walter de Gruyter.

Jenson, Jane 1995: What's in a Name? Nationalist Movements and Public Discourse. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota/UCL Press, 107–26.

Johnson, Erik and McCarthy, John 2005: The Sequencing of Transnational and National Social Movement Mobilization: The Organizational Mobilization of the Global and U.S. Environmental Movements. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 71–93.

Johnston, Hank 1980: The Marketed Social Movement: The Case of TM. *Pacific Sociological Review*, 23, 333–54.

Johnston, Hank 1991a: Antecedents of Coalition: Frame Alignment and Utilitarian Unity in the Catalan Anti-Francoist Opposition. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 13. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 241–59.

Johnston, Hank 1991b: *Tales of Nationalism: Catalonia, 1939–1979*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Johnston, Hank 1994: New Social Movements and Old Regional Nationalisms. In E. Larana, H. Johnston and J. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 267–86.

Johnston, Hank 1995a: A Methodology for Frame Analysis: From Discourse to Cognitive Schemata. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 217–46.

Johnston, Hank 1995b: The Trajectory of Nationalist Movements: Catalan and Basque Comparisons. *Journal of Political and Military Sociology*, 23, 231–49.

Johnston, Hank 2002: Verification and Proof in Frame and Discourse Analysis. In B. Klandermans and S. Staggenborg (eds.), *Methods of Social Movement Research*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 62–91.

Johnston, Hank and Aarelaid-Tart, Aili 2000: Generations and Collective Action in Authoritarian Regimes: the Estonian National Opposition, 1940–1990. *Sociological Perspectives*, 43, 671–98.

Johnston, Hank and Klandermans, Bert (eds.) 1995: *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press.

Jones, Andrew, Hutchinson, Richard, van Dyke, Nella, and Gates, Leslie 2001: Coalition Form and Mobilization Effectiveness in Local Social Movements. *Sociological Spectrum*, 21, 207–31.

Joppke, Christian 1993: *Mobilizing against Nuclear Energy: A Comparison of Germany and the United States*. Berkeley/Los Angeles: University of California Press.

Joppke, Christian 1994: Revisionisms, Dissidence, Nationalism: Opposition in Leninist Regimes. *British Journal of Sociology*, 45, 543–61.

Jordan, Grant and Maloney, William 1997: *The Protest Business*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Jordan, John 2002: The Art of Necessity: The Subversive Imagination of Anti-Road Protests and Reclaim the Streets. In S. Duncombe (ed.), *The Cultural Resistance Reader*. London: Verso.

Jordan, Tim 1994: *Reinventing Revolution: Value and Difference in New Social Movements and the Left*. Aldershot: Avebury.

Jordan, Tim 2002: *Activism! Direct Action, Hacktivism and the Future of Society*. London: Reaktion Books.

Jünschke, Klaus 1988: *Spätlese: Texte zu Raf und Knast*. Frankfurt am Main: Neue Kritik.

Kaase, Max 1990: Social Movements and Political Innovation. In R. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social and Political Movements in Western Democracies*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Kaldor, Mary 2000: Civilizing Globalization? The Implication of the "Battle in Seattle." *Millennium*, 29, 100–14.

Kanter, Rosabeth M. 1968: Commitment and Social Organization: A Study of Commitment Mechanisms in Utopian Communities. *American Sociological Review*, 33, 499–517.

Kanter, Rosabeth M. 1972: Commitment and the Internal Organization of Millennial Movements. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 16, 219–43.

Kaplan, Jeffrey and Lööw, Heléne (eds.) 2002: *The Cultic Milieu: Oppositional Subcultures in an Age of Globalization*. Walnut Creek, CA: Alta Mira Press.

Kaplan, Laura 1995: *The Story of Jane: The Legendary Underground Feminist Abortion Service*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Karstedt-Henke, Suzanne 1980: Theorien zur Erklärung terroristischer Bewegungen. In Erhard Blankenberg (ed.), *Politik der inneren Sicherheit*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 198–234.

Katz, Daniel and Lazarsfeld, Paul 1955: *Personal Influence*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

Katzenstein, Mary 1987: Comparing the Feminist Movements of the United States and Western Europe: An Overview. In Mary Katzenstein and Carol Mueller (eds.), *The Women's Movements of the United States and Western Europe*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 3–20.

Katzenstein, Mary Fainsod 1998: *Faithful and Fearless: Moving Feminist Protest inside the Church and Military*. Princeton, Princeton University Press.

Katzenstein, Peter J. 1985: *Small States in the World Market*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Keane, John (ed.) 1988: *Democracy and Civil Society*. London: Verso.

Keating, Michael 1988: *State and Regional Nationalism: Territorial Politics and the European State*. London: Harvester-Wheatsheaf.

Keck, Margeret, and Sikkink, Kathryn 1998: *Activists Beyond Borders*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Kertzer, David 1988: *Rituals, Politics, and Power*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Kertzer, David 1996: *Politics and Symbols: The Italian Communist Party and the Fall of Communism*, New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

Khagram, Sanjeev, V. Riker, Jamev, and Sikkink, Kathryn (eds.) 2002: *Reconstructing World Politics: Transnational Social Movements, Networks and Norms*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Khawaja, Marwan 1994: Resource Mobilization, Hardship, and Popular Collective Action in the West Bank. *Social Forces*, 73, 191–220.

Kielbowicz, Richard B. and Scherer, Clifford 1986: The Role of the Press in the Dynamics of Social Movements. *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, 9, 71–96.

Killian, Lewis 1964: Social Movements. In Robert E. Farris (ed.), *Handbook of Modern Sociology*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 426–45.

Killian, Lewis 1984: Organization, Rationality and Spontaneity in the Civil Rights Movement. *American Sociological Review*, 49, 770–83.

Kim, Hyojoung 2002: Shame, Anger, and Love in Collective Action: Emotional Consequences of Suicide Protest in South Korea, 1991. *Mobilization*, 7, 159–76.

Kim, Hyojoung and Bearman, Peter S. 1997: The Structure and Dynamics of Movement Participation. *American Sociological Review*, 62, 70–93.

Kimeldorf, Howard and Stepan-Norris, Judith 1992: Historical Studies of Labor Movements in the United States. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 18, 495–517.

Kinnear, Ralph 1990: Visions of Europe: An Eco-Dynamic Approach to Ethno-Linguistic Conflict, Self-Organisation and the Role of the State. Unpublished paper. London School of Economics, London.

Kitschelt, Herbert 1985: New Social Movements in West Germany and the United States. *Political Power and Social Theory*, 5, 273–342.

Kitschelt, Herbert 1986: Political Opportunity Structures and Political Protest: Anti-Nuclear Movements in Four Democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*, 16, 57–85.

Kitschelt, Herbert 1989: *The Logics of Party Formation: Ecological Politics in Belgium and West Germany*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Kitschelt, Herbert 1990: New Social Movements and the Decline of Party Organization. In R. J. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 179–208.

Kitschelt, Herbert 1993: Social Movements, Political Parties, and Democratic Theory. *The Annals of the AAPSS*, 528, 13–29.

Kitschelt, Herbert 1995: *The Radical Right in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis* (in collaboration with Anthony J. McGann). Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Kitschelt, Herbert and Hellemans, Staff 1990: *Beyond the European Left: Ideology and Political Action in the Belgian Ecology Parties*. Durham NC/London: Duke University Press.

Kitts, James 2000: Mobilizing in Black Boxes: Social Networks and SMO Participation. *Mobilization*, 5, 241–57.

Klandermans, Bert (ed.) 1989: *Organizing For Change: Social Movement Organizations Across Cultures*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Klandermans, Bert 1984: Mobilization and Participation: Social-Psychological Expansions of Resource Mobilization Theory. *American Sociological Review*, 49, 583–600.

Klandermans, Bert 1988: The Formation and Mobilization of Consensus. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 173–96.

Klandermans, Bert 1989a: Grievance Interpretation and Success Expectations: The Social Construction of Protest. *Social Behavior*, 4, 113–25.

Klandermans, Bert 1989b: Introduction: Social Movement Organizations and the Study of Social Movements. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *Organizing for Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–17.

Klandermans, Bert 1990: Linking the "Old" and "New": Movement Networks in the Netherlands. In R. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social and Political Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 122–36.

Klandermans, Bert 1997: *The Social Psychology of Protest*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Klandermans, Bert, Kriesi, Hanspeter and Tarrow, Sidney (eds.) 1988: *From Structure to Action: Comparing Social Movement Research across Cultures*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Klandermans, Bert, Roefs, Marlene, and Olivier, Johan 1998: A Movement Takes Office. In David S. Meyer and Sidney Tarrow (eds.), *The Social Movement Society*. New York, Rowman and Littlefield, 173–195.

Klandermans, Bert, and Staggenborg, Suzanne (eds.) 2002: *Methods of Social Movement Research*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Klandermans, Bert and Tarrow, Sidney 1988: Mobilization into Social Movements: Synthesizing European and American Approaches. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–40.

Kleidman, Robert 1993: *Organizing for Peace: Neutrality, the Test Ban, and the Freeze*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

Klein, Ethel 1984: *Gender Politics: From Consciousness to Mass Politics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Klein, Naomi 1999: *No Logo*. New York: HarperCollins.

Klein, Naomi 2002: *Fences and Windows: Dispatches From the Front Lines of the Globalization Debate*. London: Flamingo.

Klotz, Audie 1995: *Norms in International Relations: The Struggle against Apartheid*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Kniss, Fred and Burns, Gene 2004: Religious Movements. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 413–32.

Knoke, David 1983: Organization Sponsorship and Influence Reputation of Social Influence Associations. *Social Forces*, 61, 1065–87.

Knoke, David 1990a: *Organizing for Collective Action: The Political Economies of Associations*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

Knoke, David 1990b: *Political Networks*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Knoke, David 1990c: Networks of Political Action: Toward Theory Construction. *Social Forces*, 68, 1041–63.

Knoke, David and Kuklinski, James H. 1982: *Network Analysis*. London/Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Knoke, David and Wisely, Nancy 1990: Social Movements. In D. Knoke, *Political Networks*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 57–84.

Knoke, David and Wood, James R. 1981: *Organized for Action: Commitment in Voluntary Associations*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Koelble, Thomas A. 1991: *The Left Unraveled: Social Democracy and the New Left Challenge in Britain*. Durham: Duke University Press.

Koestler, Arthur 1969: *The Invisible Writing*. New York: Stein and Day.

Kolb, Felix 2005: The Impact of Transnational Protest on Social Movement Organizations: Mass Media and the Making of ATTAC. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 95–120.

Koopmans, Ruud 1990: Bridging the Gap: The Missing Link between Political Opportunity Structure and Movement Action. Paper presented at the Twelfth World Congress of the International Sociological Association, Madrid.

Koopmans, Ruud 1993: The Dynamics of Protest Waves: West Germany, 1965 to 1989. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 637–58.

Koopmans, Ruud 1995: *Democracy from Below: New Social Movements and the Political System in West Germany*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Koopmans, Ruud 1996a: Explaining the Rise of Racist and Extreme Right Violence in Western Europe: Grievances or Opportunities? *European Journal of Political Research*, 30, 185–216.

Koopmans, Ruud 1996b: New Social Movements and Changes in Political Participation in Western Europe. *West European Politics*, 19, 28–50.

Koopmans, Ruud 1997: Dynamics of Repression and Mobilization: The German Extreme Right in the 1990s. *Mobilization*, 2, 149–65.

Koopmans, Ruud 2004: Political Opportunity Structure: Some Splitting to Balance the Lumping. In Goodwin, Jeff and James J. Jasper (eds.), *Rethinking Social Movements: Structure, Meaning and Emotions*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 61–74.

Koopmans, Ruud and Duyvendak, Jan-Willem 1995: The Political Construction of the Nuclear Energy Issue and Its Impact on the Mobilization of Anti-Nuclear Movements in Western Europe. *Social Problems*, 42, 201–18.

Koopmans, Ruud and Rucht, Dieter 1995: *Social Movement Mobilization under Right and Left Governments: A Look at Four West European Countries*, Discussion Paper FS III: 95–106, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin.

Koopmans, Ruud and Statham, Paul 1999: Ethnic and Civic Conceptions of Nationhood and the Differential Success of the Extreme Right in Germany and Italy. In M. Giugni, D. McAdam and C. Tilly (eds.), *How Movements Matter*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 225–51.

Kornhauser, A. 1959: *The Politics of Mass Society*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

Kousis, Maria and Tilly, Charles 2004: Introduction: Economic and Political Contention in Comparative Perspective. In Maria Kousis and Charles Tilly (eds.), *Economic and Political Contention in Comparative Perspective*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers, 1–11.

Krackhardt, David and Porter, Lyman W. 1985: When Friends Leave: A Structural Analysis of the Relationship between Turnover and Stayer's Attitudes. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 30, 242–61.

Krasniewicz, Louise 1992: *Nuclear Summer: The Clash of Communities at the Seneca Women's Peace Encampment*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1984: *Die Zürcher Bewegung: Bilder, Interaktionen, Zusammenhänge*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1988a: The Interdependence of Structure and Action: Some Reflections on the State of the Art. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 349–68.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1988b: Local Mobilization for the People's Petition of the Dutch Peace Movement. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 41–82.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1989a: The Political Opportunity Structure of the Dutch Peace Movement. *West European Politics*, 12, 295–312.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1989b: New Social Movements and the New Class in the Netherlands. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, 1078–1116.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1991: *The Political Opportunity Structure of New Social Movements*, Discussion Paper FS III: 91–103. Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1992: Support and Mobilisation Potential for New Social Movements. In M. Diani and R. Eyerman (eds.), *Studying Collective Action*. Newbury Park/London: Sage, 22–54.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1993: *Political Mobilization and Social Change: The Dutch Case in Comparative Perspective*. Aldershot: Avebury.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1995: The Political Opportunity Structure of New Social Movements: Its Impact on Their Mobilization. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 167–98.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 1996: The Organizational Structure of New Social Movements in a Political Context. In D. McAdam, J. McCarthy, and M. N. Zald (eds.), *Comparative Perspective on Social Movements: Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 152–84.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 2003: The Transformation of the National Political Space in a Globalizing World. In P. Ibarra (ed.), *Social Movements and Democracy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 195–210.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 2004: Political Context and Opportunity. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 67–90.

Kriesi, Hanspeter, Koopmans, Ruud, Duyvendak, Jan-Willem, and Giugni, Marco 1995: *New Social Movements in Western Europe*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press.

Kriesi, Hanspeter and van Praag, Philip 1987: Old and New Politics: The Dutch Peace Movement and the Traditional Political Organizations. *European Journal of Political Research*, 15, 319–46.

Kumar, Krishan 1995: *From Post-industrial to Post-modern Society*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Kumar, Krishan 2005: *From Post-Industrial to Post-Modern Society* (2nd edition). Oxford: Blackwell.

Kurzman, Charles 2004: The Poststructuralist Consensus in Social Movement Theory. In Goodwin, Jeff and James J. Jasper (eds.), *Rethinking Social Movements: Structure, Meaning and Emotions*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 111–20.

Kuumba, M. Bahati and Ajanaku, Femi 1998: Dreadlocks: Hair Aesthetics and Cultural Resistance. *Mobilization*, 3, 227–43.

Lacey, Nicola, Wells, Celia, and Meure, Dirk 1990: *Reconstructing Criminal Law: Critical Perspectives on Crime and the Criminal Process*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

Lahusen, Christian 2004: Joining the Cocktail Circuit: Social Movement Organizations at the European Union, *Mobilization*, 1, 55–71.

Lalli, Pina 1995: *L'ecologia del pensatore dilettante*. Bologna: Clueb.

Lang, Kurt and Lang, Gladys 1961: *Collective Dynamics*. New York: Thomas & Crowell.

Langman, Lauren 2004: Hegemony Lost: Understanding Islamic Fundamentalism. In T. E. Reifer (ed.), *Globalization, Hegemony and Power*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm, 181–206.

Larana, Enrique, Johnston, Hank, and Gusfield, Joe (eds.) 1994: *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Lash, Scott and Urry, John 1987: *The End of Organized Capitalism*. Cambridge: Polity.

Lash, Scott, Szerszynski, Bron, and Wynne, Brian (eds.) 1996: *Risk, Environment, and Modernity*. Thousand Oaks/London: Sage.

Latouche, Serge 1989: *L'occidentalisation du monde: Essai sur la signification, la portée et les limites de l'uniformisation planétaire*. Paris: La Découverte.

Laumann, Edward O. and Knoke, David 1987: The *Organizational State: Social Choice in National Policy Domains*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.

Lavalette, Michael and Mooney, Gerry (eds.) 2000: *Class Struggle and Social Welfare*. London: Routledge.

Lawson, Robert 1983: A Decentralized but Moving Pyramid: The Evolution and Consequences of the Structure of the Tenant Movement. In J. Freeman (ed.), *Social Movements of the Sixties and Seventies*. London: Longman, 119–32.

Lehmbruch, Gerhard 1977: Liberal Corporatism and Party Government. *Comparative Political Studies*, 10, 91–126.

Lemert, Charles 1994: Dark Thoughts About the Self. In C. Calhoun (ed.), *Social Theory and the Politics of Identity*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 100–29.

Lémieux, Vincent 1997: Reseaux et coalitions. *L'année sociologique*, 47, 55–72.

Lémieux, Vincent 1998: *Les coalitions: Liens, transactions et contrôles*. Paris: PUF.

Lenart, Silvo 1993: *Shaping Political Attitudes: The Impact of Interpersonal Communication and Mass Media*. Thousand Oaks/London, Sage.

Lenin, Vladimir Ilich 1961 [1902]: What Is to Be Done? In *Collected Works*. Moscow: Foreign Languages Publishing House, 347–530.

Levi, Margaret, and Olson, David 2000: The Battles in Seattle. *Politics & Society*, 28 (3), 309–29.

Lewis, Tammy L. 2000: Transnational Conservation Movement Organizations. *Mobilization*, 5, 105–23.

Lichterman, Paul 1995a: *The Search for Political Community: American Activists Reinventing Commitment*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Lichterman, Paul 1995b: Piecing Together Multicultural Community: Cultural Differences in Community Building among Grass-Roots Environmentalists. *Social Problems*, 42, 513–34.

Lidskog, Rolf 1996: In Science We Trust? On the Relation between Scientific Knowledge, Risk Consciousness and Public Trust. *Acta Sociologica*, 39, 31–56.

Lijphart, Arendt 1984: *Democracies*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Lindgren, Elaine H. 1987: The Informal-Intermittent Organization: A Vehicle for Successful Citizen Protest. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Research*, 23, 397–412.

Lipset, Seymour M. and Rokkan, Stein (eds.) 1967: *Party Systems and Voter Alignments*. New York: Free Press.

Lipset, Seymour Martin 1960: *Political Man*. New York: Anchor Books.

Lipsky, Michael 1965: *Protest and City Politics*. Chicago: Rand McNally & Co.

Lipsky, Michael 1970: Introduction. In M. Lipsky (ed.), *Law and Order: Police Encounters*. New York: Aldine Publishing Company, 1–7.

Livesay, Jeff 2003: The Duality of Systems: Networks as Media and Outcomes of Movement Mobilization. *Current Perspectives in Social Theory*, 22, 185–224.

Lo, Clarence Y. H. 1982: Countermovements and Conservative Movements in the Contemporary US. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 8, 107–34.

Lo, Clarence Y. H. 1990: *Small Property, Big Government: Social Origins of the Property Tax Revolt*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Lodhi, A. Q. and Tilly, Charles 1973: Urbanization, Crime and Collective Violence in Nineteenth-Century France. *American Journal of Sociology*, 79, 296–318.

Lodi, Giovanni 1984: *Uniti e diversi: Le mobilitazioni per la pace nell'Italia degli anni ottanta*. Milano: Unicopli.

Lodi, Giovanni and Grazioli, Marco 1984: Giovani sul territorio urbano: l'Integrazione minimale. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici*. Bologna: il Mulino, 63–126.

Lofland, John 1985a: Becoming a World-Saver Revisited. In John Lofland, *Protest: Studies of Collective Behavior and Social Movements*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books, 147–57.

Lofland, John 1985b: Social Movement Culture. In J. Lofland, *Protest: Studies of Collective Behavior and Social Movements*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books, 219–39.

Lofland, John 1989: Consensus Movements: City Twinnings and Derailed Dissent in the American Eighties. *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, 11, 163–96.

Lofland, John 1995: Charting Degrees of Movement Culture: Tasks of the Cultural Cartographer. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 188–216.

Lofland, John 1996: *Social Movement Organizations*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

Lofland, John and Skonovd, Norman 1985: Conversion Motifs. In J. Lofland, *Protest: Studies of Collective Behavior and Social Movements*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books, 158–71.

Lovenduski, Joni and Randall, Vicky 1993: *Contemporary Feminist Politics*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Lovendusky, Joni 1986: *Women and European Politics: Contemporary Feminism and Public Policy*. Amherst, MA: University of Massachussets Press.

Lowe, Philip D. and Goyder, Jane M. 1983: *Environmental Groups in Politics*. London: Allen & Unwin.

Lowe, Stuart 1986: *Urban Social Movements: The City after Castells*. London: Macmillan.

Lowi, Theodor 1971: *The Politics of Disorder*. New York: Norton.

Lubeck, Paul M. and Reifer, Thomas E. 2004: The Politics of Global Islam. In T.E. Reifer (ed.), *Globalization, Hegemony and Power*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm, 162–80.

Luker, Kristin 1984: *Abortion and the Politics of Motherhood*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Lumley, Robert 1990: *States of Emergency*. London: Verso.

Lyons, Matthew Nemiroff 1988: The "Grassroots" Network: Radical Nonviolence in the Federal Republic of Germany 1972–1985. *Cornell Studies In International Affairs – Western Societies Papers 20*, Ithaca: Cornell University.

Mach, Zdzislaw 1993: *Symbols, Conflict, and Identity*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.

Macy, Michael W. 1990: Learning-Theory and the Logic of Critical Mass. *American Sociological Review*, 55, 809–26.

Macy, Michael W. 1991: Chains of Cooperation: Threshold Effects in Collective Action. *American Sociological Review*, 56, 730–47.

Macy, Michael W. 1993: Backward-Looking Social-Control. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 819–36.

Maffesoli, Michel 1995: *The Time of Tribes: The Decline of Individualism in Mass Society*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Maguire, Diarmuid 1993: Protesters, Counterprotesters, and the Authorities. *The Annals of the AAPSS*, 528, 101–13.

Maguire, Diarmuid 1995: Opposition Movements and Opposition Parties: Equal Partners or Dependent Relations in the Struggle for Power and Reform? In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest: Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Maheu, Louis (ed.) 1995: *Social Movements and Social Classes*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Manconi, Luigi 1990: *Solidarietà, egoismo: Movimenti, buone azioni, nuovi conflitti*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Mannheim, Karl 1946: *Ideology and Utopia*. New York: Harcourt, Brace.

Mannheimer, Renato and Sani, Giacomo 1987: *Il mercato elettorale: Identikit dell'elettore italiano*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Mansbridge, Jane 1996: Using Power/Fighting Power: The Polity. In Seyla Benhabib (ed.), *Democracy and Difference: Contesting the Boundaries of the Political*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 46–66.

Mansbridge, Jane J. 1986: *Why We Lost the ERA*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Manza, Jeff and Brooks, Clem 1996: Does Class Analysis Still Have Anything to Contribute to the Study of Politics? – Comments. *Theory and Society*, 25, 717–24.

Maraffi, Marco (ed.) 1981: *La società neo-corporativa*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Markoff, John 1996: *Waves of Democracy: Social Movements and Political Change*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage/Pine Forge Press.

Marks, Gary 1989: *Union in Politics: Britain, Germany and the United States in the Nineteenth and Early Twentieth Century*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Marks, Gary and McAdam, Doug 1998: Social Movements and the Changing Political Opportunity in the European Community. In D. della Porta, H. Kriesi, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Social Movements in a Globalizing World*. New York/London: Longman.

Marks, Gary and McAdam, Doug 1999: On the Relationship of the Political Opportunities to the Form of Collective Action. In D. della Porta, H. Kriesi, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Social Movements in a Globalizing World*. New York/London: Longman, 97–111.

Marsden, Peter V. and Lin, Nan (eds.) 1982: *Social Structure and Network Analysis*. Beverly Hills/London: Sage.

Marshall, T. H. 1976: *Cittadinanza e classe sociale*. Turin: Utet. (Original edition Citizenship and Social Class. In T. H. Marshall and T. Bottomore, *Citizenship and Social Class*, London: Pluto Press, 1992 [1950], 3–51.)

Marwell, Gerald and Oliver, Pamela 1993: *The Critical Mass in Collective Action: A Micro-Social Theory*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Marwell, Gerard and Ames, Ruth E. 1979: Experiments on the Provision of Public Goods, I. Resources, Interest, Group Size, and the Free Rider Problem. *American Journal of Sociology*, 84, 1335–60.

Marx Gary T. and Wood, James 1975: Strands of Theory and Research in Collective Behaviour. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 1, 363–428.

Marx, Gary T. 1979: External Efforts to Damage or Facilitate Social Movements: Some Patterns, Explanations, Outcomes and Complications. In J. McCarthy and M. N. Zald (eds.), *The Dynamics of Social Movements*. Cambridge, MA: Winthrop Publishing, 94–125.

Maurer, Sophie 2001: *Les chômeurs en action (décembre 1997–mars 1998): Mobilisation collective et ressources compensatoire*. Paris: L'Harmattan.

Maurer, Sophie et Pierru, Emmanuel 2001. Le mouvement des chômeurs de l'hiver 1997–1998: Retour sur un "miracle social." *Revue Française de Science Politique*, 512, 317–407.

Mayer, Robert N. 1989: *The Consumer Movement: Guardians of the Marketplace*. Boston: Twayne.

Mazey, Sonia and Richardson, Jeremy 1993: *Lobbying in the European Union*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

McAdam, Doug 1982: *Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency: 1930–1970*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

McAdam, Doug 1983: Tactical Innovation and the Pace of Insurgency. *American Sociological Review*, 48, 735–54.

McAdam, Doug 1986: Recruitment to High-Risk Activism: The Case of Freedom Summer. *American Journal of Sociology*, 92, 64–90.

McAdam, Doug 1988a: Micromobilization Contexts and Recruitment to Activism. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 125–54.

McAdam, Doug 1988b: *Freedom Summer*. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press.

McAdam, Doug 1994: Culture and Social Movements. In E. Larana, H. Hohnston, and J. R. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 36–57.

McAdam, Doug 1995: "Initiator" and "Spinoff" Movements: Diffusion Processes in Protest Cycles. In M. Traugott (ed.), *Repertoires and Cycles of Collective Action*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 217–39.

McAdam, Doug 1996: Conceptual Origins, current Problems, Future Dimensions. In D. McAdam, J. McCarthy, and M. N. Zald (eds.), *Comparative Perspectives on Social Movements: Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 23–40.

McAdam, Doug 2003: Beyond Structural Analysis: Toward a More Dynamic Understanding of Social Movements. In M. Diani and D. McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 281–98.

McAdam, Doug and Fernandez, Roberto 1990: Microstructural Bases of Recruitment to Social Movements. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research In Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 12. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–33.

McAdam, Doug, McCarthy, John, and Zald, Mayer N. (eds.) 1996: *Comparative Perspective on Social Movements: Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

McAdam, Doug, McCarthy, John D., and Zald, Mayer N. 1988: Social Movements. In N. J. Smelser (ed.), *Handbook of Sociology*. Beverly Hills/London: Sage, 695–739.

McAdam, Doug and Paulsen, Ronnelle 1993: Specifying the Relationship between Social Ties and Activism. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99, 640–67.

McAdam, Doug and Rucht, Dieter 1993: The Cross-national Diffusion of Movement Ideas. *The Annals of the AAPSS*, 528, 56–74.

McAdam, Doug and Snow, David (eds.) 1996: *Social Movements: Readings on Their Emergence, Mobilization, and Dynamics*. Los Angeles: Roxbury.

McAdam, Doug and Su, Yang 2002: The War at Home: Antiwar Protests and Congressional Voting, 1965 to 1973. *American Sociological Review*, 67, 696–721.

McAdam, Doug, Tarrow, Sidney and Tilly, Charles 1996: To Map Contentious Politics. *Mobilization*, 1, 17–34.

McAdam, Doug, Tarrow, Sidney, and Tilly, Charles 2001: *Dynamics of Contention*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

McAllister, Ian 1983: Social Contacts and Political Behavior in Northern Ireland, 1968–78. *Social Networks*, 5, 303–13.

McCaffrey, Dawn and Keys, Jennifer 2000: Competitive Framing Processes in the Abortion Debate: Polarization-Vilification, Frame Saving, and Frame Debunking. *Sociological Quarterly*, 41, 41–61.

McCammon, Holly 2001: Stirring Up Suffrage Sentiment: The Formation of the State Woman Suffrage Organizations, 1866–1914. *Social Forces*, 80, 449–80.

McCarthy, John D. 1994: Activists, Authorities, and Media Framing of Drunk Driving. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, and J. R. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 133–67.

McCarthy, John D. 1996: Constraints and Opportunities in Adopting, Adapting, and Inventing. In D. McAdam, J. McCarthy and M. N. Zald (eds.), *Comparative Perspective on Social Movements: Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 141–51.

McCarthy, John D., Britt, David W., and Wolfson, Mark 1991: The Institutional Channeling of Social Movements by the State in the United States. *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, 13, 45–76.

McCarthy, John, McPhail, Clark, and Crist, John 1998: The Emergence and Diffusion of Public Order Management System: Protest Cycles and Police Response. In D. della Porta, H. Kriesi, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Social Movements in a Globalizing World*, forthcoming, New York/London: Longman.

McCarthy, John, McPhail, Clark, and Smith, Jackie 1996: Images of Protest: Dimensions of Selection Bias in Media Coverage of Washington Demonstrations, 1982 and 1991. *American Sociological Review*, 61, 478–99.

McCarthy, John D. and Wolfson, Mark 1992: Consensus Movements, Conflict Movements, and the Cooptation of Civic and State Infrastructures. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 273–98.

McCarthy, John D. and Zald, Mayer N. 1977: Resource Mobilization and Social Movements: A Partial Theory. *American Journal of Sociology*, 82, 1212–41.

McCarthy, John D. and Zald, Mayer N. 1987a: The Trend of Social Movements in America: Professionalization and Resource Mobilization. In M. N. Zald and J. D. McCarthy, *Social Movements in an Organizational Society*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction, 1987, 337–91 (originally published as *The Trend of Social Movements in America*. Morristown: General Learning Press, 1973).

McCarthy, John D. and Zald, Mayer N. 1987b: Resource Mobilization and Social Movements: A Partial Theory. In M. N. Zald and J. D. McCarthy, *Social Movements in an Organizational Society*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction (originally published in *American Journal of Sociology*, 82 (1977), 1212–41).

McCrea, Frances B. and Markle, Gerald E. 1989: Atomic Scientists and Protest: The Bulletin as a Social Movement Organization. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 11. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

McDonald, Kevin 2002: From Solidarity to Fluidarity: Social Movements Beyond "Collective Identity" – the Case of Globalization Conflicts. *Social Movement Studies*, 1, 109–28.

McFarland, Andrew 1984: *Common Cause: Lobbying in the Public Interest*. Chatham, NJ: Chatham House.

McGarry, John (ed.) 2001: *Northern Ireland and the Divided World Post-Agreement Northern Ireland in Comparative Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

McKay, George 1996: *Senseless Acts of Beauty: Cultures of Resistance since the 1960s*. London: Verso.

McPhail, Clark 1991: *The Myth of the Madding Crowd*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

McPhail, Clark, Schweingruber, David, and McCarthy, John D. 1998: Policing Protest in the United States: From the 1960s to the 1990s. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.),

Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 49–69.

McPherson, Miller 1983: An Ecology of Affiliation. *American Sociological Review*, 48, 519–32.

McPherson, Miller and Rotolo, Thomas 1996: Testing a Dynamic Model of Social Composition: Diversity and Change in Voluntary Groups. *American Sociological* Review, 61, 179–202.

McPherson, Miller, Popielarz, Pamela, and Drobnic, Sonja 1992: Social Networks and Organizational Dynamics. *American Sociological Review*, 57, 153–70.

McVeigh, Rory, Welch, Michael R., and Bjarnason, Thoroddur 2003: Hate crime Reporting as a Successful Social Movement. *American Sociological Review*, 68, 843–67.

Meadows, Donella H., Randers, Jorgen, and Behrens, Williams W. 1972: *The Limits to Growth.* London: Earth Island.

Melucci, Alberto 1982: *L'invenzione del presente: Movimenti, identità, bisogni individuali.* Bologna: il Mulino.

Melucci, Alberto (ed.) 1984a: *Altri codici: Aree di movimento nella metropoli.* Bologna: il Mulino.

Melucci, Alberto 1984b: Movimenti in un mondo di segni. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici.* Bologna: il Mulino, 417–48.

Melucci, Alberto 1985: The Symbolic Challenge of Contemporary Movements. *Social Research*, 52, 789–816.

Melucci, Alberto 1987: *Libertà che cambia.* Milano: Unicopli.

Melucci, Alberto 1988: Getting Involved: Identity and Mobilization in Social Movements. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action.* Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 329–48.

Melucci, Alberto 1989: *Nomads of the Present.* London: Hutchinson Radius.

Melucci, Alberto 1990: Challenging Codes. Framing and Ambivalence. Paper presented at the workshop, Social Movements: Framing Processes and Opportunity Structure, Berlin, July.

Melucci, Alberto 1991: *L'invenzione del presente* (2nd edition). Bologna: il Mulino.

Melucci, Alberto 1994: A Strange Kind of Newness: What's "New" in New Social Movements? In E. Larana, H. Johnston, and J. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity.* Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 101–30.

Melucci, Alberto 1995: The Process of Collective Identity. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture.* Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 41–63.

Melucci, Alberto 1996: *Challenging Codes.* Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Melucci, Alberto and Diani, Mario 1992: *Nazioni senza stato: I Movimenti etnico-nazionali in occidente* (2nd edition). Milano: Feltrinelli.

Mennell, Stephen 1994: The Formation of We-Images: A Process Theory. In C. Calhoun (ed.), *Social Theory and the Politics of Identity.* Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 175–97.

Merelman, R. 1984: *Making Something of Ourselves: On Culture and Politics in the United States.* Berkeley: University of California Press.

Meyer, David S. 1990: *A Winter of Discontent.* New York: Praeger.

Meyer, David S. 2004: Protest and Political Opportunities, *Annual Review of Sociology*, 30, 125–145.

Meyer, David S. and Marullo, Sam 1992: Grassroots Mobilization and International Politics: Peace Protest and the End of the Cold War. *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, 14, 99–140.

Meyer, David S. and Staggenborg, Suzanne 1996: Movements, Countermovements and the Structure of Political Opportunities. *American Journal of Sociology*, 101, 1, 628–60.

Meyer, David S. and Tarrow, Sidney (eds.) 1998b: *The Social Movement Society*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littledfield.

Meyer, David S. and Tarrow, Sidney 1998a: A Movement Society: Contentious Politics for the New Cantury. In David S. Meyer and Sidney Tarrow (eds.), *The Social Movement Society*. Lahman, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 1–28.

Meyer, David S. and Whittier, Nancy 1994: Social Movements Spillover. *Social Problems*, 41, 277–98.

Meyer, John W. and Rowan, Brian 1983: Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony. In J. Mayer and W. R. Scott (eds.), *Organizational Environments: Ritual and Rationality*. Beverly Hills: Sage, 21–44.

Micheletti, Michele 2003: *Political Virtue and Shopping: Individuals, Consumerism, and Collective Action*. Palgrave: Macmillan.

Micheletti, Michele, Follesdal, Andreas, and Stolle, Dietlind 2003: *Politics, Products, and Markets: Exploring Political Consumerism Past and Present*. Rutgers, NJ: Transaction Publishers.

Michels, Robert 1915: *Political Parties: A Sociological Study of the Oligarchical Tendencies of Modern Democracy*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

Middendorp, C. 1992: Left–right Self-identification and (Post)materialism in the Ideological Space. *Electoral Studies*, 11, 249–60.

Mies, Maria 2002: *Globalisierung von unten: Der Kampf gegen die Herrschaft der Konzerne*. Hamburg: Europäische Verlagsanstalt.

Miliband, Ralph 1989: *Divided Societies: Class Struggle in Contemporary Capitalism*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Miller, David 1993: *Deliberative Democracy and Social Choice*. In D. Held (ed.), *Prospects for Democracy*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 74–92.

Minkoff, Debra C. 1993: The Organization of Survival: Women's and Racial-ethnic Voluntarist and Activist Organizations, 1955–1985. *Social Forces*, 71, 887–908.

Minkoff, Debra C. 1995: *Organizing for Equality: The Evolution of Women's and Racial-ethnic Organizations in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Minkoff, Debra C. 1999: Bending with the Wind: Strategic Change and Adaptation by Women's and Racial Minority Organizations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 101, 1592–1627.

Mische, Ann 2003: Cross-talk in Movements: Reconceiving the Culture-Network Link. In M. Diani and D. McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 258–80.

Mittdun, Atle and Rucht, Dieter 1994: Comparing Policy Outcomes of Conflicts over Nuclear Power: Description and Explanation. In H. Flam (ed.), *States and Antinuclear Movements*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 383–415.

Mizruchi, Mark S. and Schwartz, Michael (eds.) 1987: *Intercorporate Relations: The Structural Analysis of Business*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Moaddel, Mansoor 1992: Ideology as Episodic Discourse: The Case of the Iranian Revolution. *American Sociological Review*, 57, 353–79.

Moaddel, Mansoor 2002: The Study of Islamic Culture and Politics: An Overview and Assessment. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 28, 359–86.

Moody, Kim 1997: *Workers in a Lean World*. London: Verso.

Moore, Barrington, Jr. 1966: *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Moore, Kelly 1995: Organizing Integrity: American Science and the Creation of Public Interest Organizations, 1955–1975. *American Journal of Sociology*, 101, 1592–1627.

Moore, Kelly 1999: Political Protest and Institutional Change: The Anti-Vietnam War Movement and American Science. In M. Giugni, D. McAdam, and C. Tilly (eds.), *How Movements Matter*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press.

Morgan, Jane 1987: *Conflict and Order: The Police and Labour Disputes in England and Wales: 1900–1939*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Morris, Aldon 1984: *The Origins of the Civil Rights Movement: Black Communities Organizing for Change*. New York: Free Press.

Morris, Aldon and Herring, Cedric 1987: Theory and Research in Social Movements: A Critical Review. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 2, 137–98.

Morris, Aldon and Mueller, Carol (eds.) 1992: *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Morris, Aldon and Staggenborg, Suzanne 2004: Leadership in Social Movements. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 171–96.

Morse, David 2001: Beyond the Myths of Seattle. *Dissent*, 48 (3), 39–43.

Moscovici, Serge 1979: *Psychologie des Minorités Actives*. Paris: PUF.

Moscovici, Serge 1981: On Social Representations. In J. P. Forgas (ed.), *Social Cognition*. London: Academic Press, 181–209.

Mouffe, Chantal 1996: Radical Democracy or Liberal Democracy? In D. Trend (ed.), *Radical Democracy*. London: Routledge, 19–26.

Mudu, Pierpaolo 2004: Resisting and Challenging Neoliberalism: The Development of Italian Social Centers. *Antipode*, 36 (5), 917–41.

Mueller, Carol 1994: Conflict Networks and the Origins of Women's Liberation. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, and J. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 234–63.

Müller-Rommel, Ferdinand 1985: Social Movements and the Greens: New Internal Politics in Germany. *European Journal of Political Research*, 13, 53–67.

Müller-Rommel, Ferdinand (ed.) 1989: *New Politics in Western Europe: The Rise and the Success of Green Parties and Alternative Lists*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Müller-Rommel, Ferdinand 1990: New Political Movements and "New Politics" Parties in Western Europe. In R. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social and Political Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 209–31.

Müller-Rommel, Ferdinand 1993: *Grüne Partein in Westeuropa: Entwicklungsphasen und Erfolgsbedingungen*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Mullins, Patrick 1987: Community and Urban Movements. *Sociological Review*, 35, 347–69.

Mushaben, Joyce Marie. 1989. The Struggle Within: Conflict, Consensus and Decision Making Among National Coordinators and Grass-Roots Organizers in the West German Peace Movement. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *Organizing for Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Myers, Daniel J and Caniglia, Beth Schaefer 2004: All the Rioting That's Fit to Print: Selection Effects in National Newspaper Coverage of Civil Disorders, 1968–1969. *American Sociological Review*, 69, 519–43.

Naples, Nancy and Desai, Manisha (eds.) 2002: *Women's Activism and Globalization: Linking Local Struggles and Transnational Politics*. New York: Routledge.

Nas, Masja 1993: Women and Classes: Gender and the Class Base of New Social Movements in the Netherlands. *European Journal of Political Research*, 23, 343–55.

Nash, Kate 2000: *Contemporary Political Sociology*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Nash, Kate 2001: Political Sociology in the Information Age. In F. Webster (ed.), *Culture and Politics in the Information Age*. London: Routledge, 81–94.

Nederveen Pieterse, Jan 2000: Globalization North and South. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 17, 129–37.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm 1981: Über Zufall, Eigendynamik und Institutionalisierbarkeit absurder Prozesse. Notizen am Beispiel der Entstehung und Einrichtung einer terroristischen Gruppe. In H. von Alemann and H. P. Thurn (eds.), *Soziologie in weltbürgerlicher Absicht*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 243–57.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm 1989: Gewalt und Gegengewalt. Steigt die Bereitschaft zu Gewaltaktionen mit zunehmender staatlicher Kontrolle und Repression? In W. Heitmeyer, K. Möller, and H. Sünker (eds.), *Jugend-Staat-Gewalt*. Weinheim and Munich: Juventa, 233–43.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm and Rucht, Dieter 1991: The Analysis of Social Movements: The State of the Art and Some Perspectives for Further Research. In D. Rucht (ed.), *Research on Social Movements: The State of the Art in Western Europe and the USA*. Frankfurt/M and Boulder, CO: Campus and Westview Press, 421–64.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm and Rucht, Dieter 1993: Auf dem Weg in die Bewegungsgesellschaft? Ueber die Stabilisierbarkeit sozialer Bewegungen. *Soziale Welt*, 44, 305–26.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm and Rucht, Dieter 2002: Towards a "Movement Society"? On the Possibilities of Institutionalizing Social Movements. *Social Movement Studies*, 1, 7–30.

Nelkin, Dorothy and Pollack, Michael 1981: *The Atom Besieged: Extraparliamentary Dissent in France and Germany*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Nepstad, Sharon E. 2001: Creating Transnational Solidarity: The Use of Narrative in the US–Central American Peace Movement. *Mobilization*, 6, 21–36.

Nepstad, Sharon E. 2004: *Convictions of the Soul: Religion, Culture, and Agency in the Central America Solidarity Movement*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Nepstad, Sharon E. and Smith, Christian 1999: Rethinking Recruitment to High-Risk/Cost Activism: The Case of Nicaragua Exchange. *Mobilization*, 4, 25–40.

Neveau, Eric 1999: Media, mouvements sociaux, espace public. *Reseaux*, 98, 17–85.

Nevola, Gaspare 1994: *Conflitto e coercizione: Modelli di analisi e studio di casi*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Nicholson, Michael 1998: *International Relations: A Concise Introduction*. New York: New York University Press.

Nip, Joyce Y. M. 2004: The Queer Sisters and Its Electronic Bulletin Board. A Study of the Internet for Social Movement Mobilization. In W. van de Donk, B. Loader, P. Nixon, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest: New Media, Citizens and Social Movements*. London: Routledge, 233–58.

Noelle-Neumann, Elisabeth 1984: *The Spiral of Silence*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Nolan, Mary 1981: *Social Democracy and Society: Working-class Radicalism in Dusseldorf, 1890–1920*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Noland, Marcus 2004: Popular Attitudes, Globalization, and Risk. Institute for International Economics Working Paper 04–02, Washington DC.

Nollert, Michael 1995: Neocorporatism and Political Protest in the Western Democracies: A Cross-National Analysis. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest: Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 138–64.

Noonan, Rita 1995: Women Against the State: Political Opportunities and Collective Action Frames in Chile's Transition to Democracy. *Sociological Forum*, 19, 81–111.

Norris, Pippa (ed.) 1999: *Critical Citizens*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Norris, Pippa 1987: *Politics and Sexual Equality: The Comparative Position of Women in Western Democracy*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner.

Norris, Pippa 2002: *Democratic Phoenix: Reinventing Political Activism*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Norris, Pippa and Inglehart, Ronald 2002: Islam and the West: Testing the "Clash of Civilizations" Thesis. Unpublished paper, Harvard University.

Notarbartolo, N. (ed.) 2001: *I giorni di Genova*. Rome: Internazionale.

Oberschall, Anthony 1973: *Social Conflict and Social Movements*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Oberschall, Anthony 1980: Loosely Structured Collective Conflict: A Theory and an Application. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, Vol. 3. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 45–54.

Oberschall, Anthony 1993: *Social Movements: Ideologies, Interests, and Identities*. New Brunswick, NJ/London: Transaction.

Oberschall, Anthony and Kim, Hyojoung 1996: Identity and Action. *Mobilization*, 1, 63–85.

O'Brien, Robert, Goetz, Anne Marie, Scholte, Jaan Aart, and Williams, Marc 2000: *Contesting Global Governance: Multilateral Economic Institutions and Global Social Movements*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Offe, Claus 1985: New Social Movements: Changing Boundaries of the Political. *Social Research*, 52, 817–68.

Offe, Claus 1990: Reflections on the Institutional Self-transformation of Movement Politics: A Tentative Stage Model. In R. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social and Political Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 232–50.

Offe, Claus 1997: *Microaspects of Democratic Theory: What Makes for the Deliberative Competence of Citizens?* In A. Hadenius (ed.), *Democracy's Victory and Crisis*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 81–104.

Ohlemacher, Thomas 1996: Bridging People and Protest: Social Relays of Protest Groups against Low-flying Military Jets in West Germany. *Social Problems*, 43, 197–218.

Okamoto, Dina 2003: Toward a Theory of Panethnicity: Explaining Asian American Collective Action, *American Sociological Review*, 68, 811–42.

Olesen, Thomas 2004: The Transnational Zapatista Solidarity Network: An Infrastructure Analysis. *Global Networks*, 4, 89–107.

Oliver, Mike and Campbell, Jane 1996: *Disability Politics: Understanding Our Past, Changing Our Future*. London: Routledge.

Oliver, Pamela 1984: "If You Don't Do It, Nobody Else Will": Active and Token Contributors to Local Collective Action. *American Sociological Review*, 49, 601–10.

Oliver, Pamela 1989: Bringing the Crowd Back In: The Nonorganizational Elements of Social Movements. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 11. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–30.

Oliver, Pamela and Johnston, Hank 2000: What a Good Idea! Ideologies and Frames in Social Movement Research. *Mobilization*, 5, 37–54.

Oliver, Pamela and Marwell, Gerald 1992: Mobilizing Technologies for Collective Action. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 251–72.

Oliver, Pamela and Marwell, Gerald 2001: Whatever Happened to Critical Mass Theory? A Retrospective and Assessment. *Sociological Theory*, 19, 292–311.

Olson, Mancur 1963: *The Logics of Collective Action*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Olzak, Susan 1992: *The Dynamics of Ethnic Competition and Conflict*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Omi, Michael and Winant, Howard 1994: *Racial Formation in the United States: From 1960s to 1990s*. New York: Routledge.

Omvedt, Gail 1993: *Reinventing Revolution: New Social Movements and the Socialist Tradition in India*. New York: M. E. Sharpe.

Opp, Karl-Dieter 1988: Community Integration and Incentives for Political Protest. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 83–101.

Opp, Karl-Dieter 1989: *The Rationality of Political Protest*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Opp, Karl-Dieter 1990: Postmaterialism, Collective Action, and Political Protest. *American Journal of Political Science*, 34, 212–35.

Opp, Karl-Dieter and Gern, Christiane 1993: Dissident Groups, Personal Networks, and the East German Revolution of 1989. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 659–80.

Opp, Karl-Dieter, Finkel, Steve, Muller, Edward N., Wolfsfeld, Gadi, Dietz, Henty A., and Green, Jerrold D. 1995: Left–Right Ideology and Collective Political Action: A Comparative Analysis of Germany, Israel, and Peru. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest: Comparative Perspectives on States and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 63–95.

Orfali, Brigitte 1990: *L'adhésion au Front Nationale*. Paris: Editions Kime.

Ortoleva, Peppino 1988: *Saggio sui movimenti del 68 in Europa e in America*. Rome: Editori Riuniti.

Osa, Maryjane 2003a: *Solidarity and Contention: Networks of Polish Opposition*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Osa, Maryjane 2003b: Networks in Opposition. In M. Diani and D. McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 77–104.

O'Sullivan See, Katherine 1986: *First World Nationalisms: Class and Ethnic Politics in Northern Ireland and Quebec*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Otto, Karl O. 1989: *APO: Die ausserparlamentarische Opposition in Quellen und Dokumenten 1960–1970*. Köln: Pahl-Rugenstein.

Padgett, John F. and Ansell, Christopher K. 1993: Robust Action and the Rise of the Medici, 1400–1434. *American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 1259–1319.

Pagnucco, Ron 1996: Social Movement Dynamics during Democratic Transition and Consolidation: A Synthesis of Political Process and Political Interactionist Theories. *Research on Democracy and Society*, 3, 3–38.

Pakulski, Jan 1988: Social Movements in Comparative Perspective. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflicts and Change*, vol. 10. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 247–67.

Pakulski, Jan 1990: *Social Movements: The Politics of Moral Protest*. London/Melbourne: Longman.

Pakulski, Jan 1995: Social Movements and Class: The Decline of the Marxist Paradigm. In L. Maheu (ed.), *Social Movements and Social Classes*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage, 55–86.

Pakulski, Jan and Waters, Malcolm 1996: Misreading Status as Class: A Reply to Our Critics. *Theory and Society*, 25, 731–6.

Panebianco, Angelo 1988: *Political Parties: Organization and Power*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Papadakis, Elim and Taylor-Gooby, Peter 1987: Consumer Attitudes and Participation in State Welfare. *Political Studies*, 35, 467–81.

Parkin, Frank 1968: *Middle Class Radicalism*. New York: Praeger.

Passerini, Luisa 1988: *Autobiografia di gruppo*. Florence: Giunti.

Passy, Florence 1998: *L'action altruiste*. Geneve/Paris: Droz.

Passy, Florence 1999: Supranational Political Opportunities. A Channel of Globalization of Political Conflicts. The Case of the Conflict around the Rights of the Indigenous People. In D. della Porta, H. Kriesi, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Social Movements in a Globalizing World*. New York/London: Macmillan, 148–69.

Passy, Florence 2001: Socializing, Connecting, and the Structural Agency/Gap. A Specification of the Impact of Networks on Participation in Social Movements. *Mobilization*, 6, 173–92.

Passy, Florence 2003: Social Networks Matter. But How? In Mario Diani and Doug McAdam (eds.), *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 21–48.

Passy, Florence and Giugni, Marco 2000: Life-spheres, Networks, and Sustained Participation in Social Movements. A Phenomenological Approach to Political Commitment. *Sociological Forum*, 15, 117–44.

Pearce, Jone L. 1993: *Volunteers*. London/New York: Routledge.

Pearce, Jone. 1980. Apathy or Self-Interest? The Volunteers' Avoidance of Leadership Roles. *Journal of Voluntary Action Research*, 9, 85–94.

Peretti, Jonah (with Micheletti, Michele) 2004: The Nike Sweatshop Email: Poliical Consummerism, Internet, and Culture Jamming. In Michele Micheletti, Andreas Follesdal, and Dietlind Stolle (eds.), *Politics, Products and Markets: Exploring Political Consumerism Past and Present*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 127–42.

Perrow, Charles 1961: The Analysis of Goals in Complex Organizations. *American Sociological Review*, 26, 854–66.

Perrucci, Robert and Pilisuk, Marc 1970: Leaders and Ruling Elites: The Interorganizational Bases of Community Power. *American Sociological Review*, 35, 1040–57.

Petts, J. 1997: The Public–Expert Interface in Local Waste Management Decisions: Expertise, Credibility and Process. *Public Understanding of Science*, 6, 359–381.

Philips, Susan 1991: Meaning and Structure in Social Movements: Mapping the Network of National Canadian Women's Organizations. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*, 24, 755–82.

Pianta, Mario 2001a: Parallel Summits of Global Civil Society. In H. Anheier, M. Glasius, and M. Kaldor (eds.), *Global Civil Society 2001*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 169–95.

Pianta, Mario 2001b: *Globalizzazione dal basso: Economia mondiale e movimenti sociali*. Roma: Manifestolibri.

Pianta, Mario 2002: Parallel Summits: an Update. In H. K. Anheier, M. Glasius, and M. Kaldor (eds.), *Global Civil Society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 371–7.

Pichardo, Nelson 1997: New Social Movements: A Critical Review. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 23, 411–30.

Pickerill, Jenny 2000: Environmentalism and the Net. In R. Gibson and S. Ward (eds.), *Reinvigorating Government? British Politics and the Internet*. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Pickvance, Chris 1975: On the Study of Urban Social Movements. *Sociological Review*, 23, 29–49.

Pickvance, Chris 1995: Social Movements in the Transition From State Socialism: Convergence or Divergence? In L. Maheu (ed.), *Social Movements and Social Classes*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage, 123–150.

Pickvance, Chris G. 1977: From "Social Base" to "Social Force": Some Analytical Issues in the Study of Urban Protest. In M. Harloe (ed.), *Captive Cities*. Wiley: Chichester, 175–86.

Pickvance, Chris G. 1985: The Rise and Fall of Urban Movements and the Role of Comparative Analysis. *Society And Space*, 3, 31–53.

Pickvance, Chris G. 1986: Concepts, Contexts and Comparison in the Study of Urban Movements: A Reply to M. Castells. *Society and Space*, 4, 221–31.

Pinard, Maurice 1968: Mass Society and Political Movements: A New Formulation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 73, 682–90.

Pini, Barbara, Brown, Kerry, and Previte, Josephine 2004: Politics and Identity in Cyberspace. A Case Study of Australian Women in Agriculture Online. In W. van de Donk, B. Loader, P. Nixon, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest: New Media, Citizens and Social Movements*. London: Routledge, 259–75.

Pinto, Louis 1990: Le consommateur: Agent economique et acteur politique. *Revue Française de Sociologie*, 31, 179–98.

Piore, Michael and Sabel, Charles 1984: *The Second Industrial Divide: Possibilities for Prosperity*. New York: Basic Books.

Piven, Frances F. and Cloward, Richard 1977: *Poor People's Movements*. New York: Pantheon.

Piven, Frances F. and Cloward, Richard 1992: Normalizing Collective Protest. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 301–25.

Piven, Francis F. and Cloward, Richard A. 2000: Power Repertoires and Globalization. *Politics and Society*, 28, 413–430.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1978: Political Exchange and Collective Identity in Industrial Conflict. In C. Crouch, and A. Pizzorno (eds.), *The Resurgence of Class Conflict in Western Europe*. New York: Holmes & Meier, 277–98.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1981: Interests and Parties in Pluralism. In S. Berger (ed.), *Organizing Interests in Western Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 3–46.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1983: Sulla razionalità della scelta democratica. *Stato e mercato*, n. 7.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1986: Sul confronto intertemporale delle utilità. *Stato e mercato*, 16, 3–25.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1987: Considerazioni sulle teorie dei movimenti sociali. *Problemi del socialismo*, 12, 11–27.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1993: *Le radici della politica assoluta*. Milano: Feltrinelli.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1996: Decisioni o interazioni? La micro-descrizione del cambiamento sociale. *Rassegna italiana di sociologia*, 37, 107–32.

Pizzorno, Alessandro 1996: Mutamenti istituzioni e sviluppo dei partiti. In P. Bairoch and E. J. Hobsbawm (eds.), *La Storia dell'Europa Contemporanea*. Torino: Einaudi, 961–1031.

Pizzorno, Alessandro, Regalia, Ida, Regini, Marino and Reyneri, Emilio 1978: *Lotte operaie e sindacato: Il ciclo di lotte 1968–1972 in Italia*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Platt, Gerald M., and Williams, Rhys 2002: Ideological Language and Social Movement Mobilization: A Sociolinguistics Analysis of Segregationists' Ideologies. *Sociological Theory*, 20, 328–59.

Plumb, Lawrence D. 1993: *A Critique of the Human Potential Movement*. New York: Garland.

Podobnik, Bruce 2004: Resistance to Globalization: Cycles and Evolutions in the Globalization Protest Movement. Paper for the ASA Annual Meeting, San Francisco, August 14–17.

Podolny, Joel and Page, Karen 1998: Network Forms of Organization. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24, 57–76.

Poggi, Gianfranco (ed.) 1968: *L'organizzazione partitica del PCI e della DC*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Poguntke, Thomas 1993: *Alternative Politics: The German Green Party*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Polletta, Francesca 2002: *Freedom is an Endless Meeting: Democracy in American Social Movements*. Chicago, The University of Chicago Press.

Polletta, Francesca 1998: "It Was Like a Fever": Narrative and Identity in Social Protest. *Social Problems*, 45, 137–59.

Polletta, Francesca 1999: "Free Spaces" in Collective Action. *Theory and Society*, 28, 1–38.

Polletta, Francesca 2004: Culture Is Not Just in Your Head. In Jeff Goodwin and James J. Jasper (eds.), *Rethinking Social Movements: Structure, Meaning and Emotions*. Lanham, Rowman and Littlefield, 97–110.

Polletta, Francesca and Jasper, James M. 2001: Collective Identity and Social Movements. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27, 283–305.

Porter, Gareth and Brown, Janet Welsh 1991: *Global Environmental Politics*. Boulder, CO/London: Westview Press.

Powell, Walter 1990: Neither Market Nor Hierarchy: Network Forms of Organization. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 12, 295–336.

Prakash, Sanjeev and Selle, Per (eds.) 2004: *Investigating Social Capital*. New Dehli/London: Sage.

Princen, Thomas and Finger, Matthias 1994: Introduction. In T. Princen and M. Finger (eds.), *Environmental NGOs in World Politics: Linking the Local and the Global*. London: Routledge, 1–25.

Purdue, Derrik D. 2000: *Anti-GenetiX: The Emergence of the Anti-GM Movement*. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Purdue, Derrick, Diani, Mario, and Lindsay, Isobel 2004: Civic Networks in Bristol and Glasgow. *Community Development Journal*, 39, 277–88.

Rabehl, Bernd 1998: *Am Ende der Utopie: Die politische Geschichte der Freien, Universität Berlin*, Berlin: Argon Verlag.

Ranci, Costanzo 1992: La mobilitazione dell'altruismo. Condizioni e processi di diffusione dell'azione volontaria in Italia. *Polis*, 6, 467–505.

Randall, Vicky 1982: *Women and Politics*. London: Macmillan.

Rao, Hayagreeva, Morrill, Calvin, and Zald, Mayer N. 2000: Power Plays: How Social Movements and Collective Action Create New Organizational Forms. *Research in Organizational Behaviour*, 22, 239–82.

Rapoport, Anatol 1960: *Fights, Games, and Debates*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Raschke, Joachim 1988: *Soziale Bewegungen: Ein historisch-systematischer Grundriss*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus.

Rauch J. 2003: Rooted in Nations, Blossoming in Globalization? A Cultural Perspective on the Content of a "Northern" Mainstream and a "Southern" Alternative News Agency. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 27, 87–103.

Ray, Kathryn, Savage, Mike, Tampubolon, Gindo, Longhurst, Brian, Tomlison, Mark, and Warde, Alan 2000: An Exclusive Political Field? Membership Patterns and Networks in Social Movement Organizations. *Social Movement Studies*, 2, 37–60.

Ray, Raka 1999: *Fields of Protest: Women's Movements in India*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Redhead, Steve (ed.) 1993: *Rave Off: Politics and Deviance in Contemporary Culture*. Aldershot: Avebury.

Regalia, Ida, Regini, Marino, and Reyneri, Emilio 1978: Labor Conflicts and Industrial Relations in Italy. In C. Crouch and A. Pizzorno (eds.), *The Resurgence of Class Conflict in Western Europe since 1968*. London: Macmillan, 101–58.

Reger, Jo 2002: Organizational Dynamics and Construction of Multiple Feminist Identities in the National Organization for Women. *Gender & Society*, 16, 710–27.

Regini, Marino 1992: *Confini mobili*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Reifer, Thomas E. (ed.) 2004: *Globalization, Hegemony and Power: Antisystemic Movements and the Global System*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Press.

Reimann, Kim D. 2001: Japanese NGO's and the Kyoto Climate Change Conference. *Mobilization*, 6, 83–100.

Reimon, Michel 2002: *Days of Action: Die neoliberale Globalisierung und ihre Gegner*. Wien: überreuter.

Reiner, Robert 1998: Policing, Protest, and Disorder in Britain. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 35–48.

Reiter, Herbert 1998: Police and Public Order in Italy, 1944–1948. The Case of Florence. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 143–65.

Renn, O., Webler, T. and Kastenholz, H. 1996: Procedural and Substantive Fairness in Landfill Siting: A Swiss Case Study. *Risk: Health, Safety and Environment*, 145 (Spring). (Reprinted in R. Löfstedt and L. Frewer, (eds.) (1998) *The Earthscan Reader in Risk and Modern Society*, 253–270.)

Rheingold, Howard 2002: *Smart Mobs: The Next Social Revolution*. New York: Perseus.

Richardson, Dick and Rootes, Chris (eds.) 1994: *The Green Challenge: The Development of Green Parties in Europe*. London/New York: Routledge.

Riddel-Dixon, Elizabeth 1995: Social Movements and the United Nations. *International Social Science Journal*, 144, 289–303.

Rihoux, Benoit and Walgrave, Stefaan 1997: *L'Année Blanche*. Bruxelles: EVO.

Risse, Thomas, and Sikkink, Kathryn 1999: The Socialization of International Human Rights Norms into Domestic Practices: Introduction. In T. Risse, S. Rapp, and K. Sikkink (eds.), *The Power of Human Rights International Norms and Domestic Change*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1–38.

Ritzer, George 1996: *The McDonaldization of Society: An Investigation into the Changing Character of Contemporary Social Life*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Pine Forge Press.

Robbins, Thomas 1988: *Cults, Converts and Charisma: The Sociology of New Religious Movements*. London/Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Robertson, Roland. 1992. *Globalization: Social Theory and Global Culture*. London: Sage Publications.

Robnett, Belinda 2002: External Political Events and Collective Identity. In D.S. Meyer, N. Whittier, and B. Robnett (eds.), *Social Movements: Identity, Culture, and the State*. New York: Oxford University Press, 287–301.

Rochford, E. Burke 1985: *Hare Krishna in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Rochon, Thomas R. 1988: *Between Society and State: Mobilizing for Peace in Western Europe*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Rochon, Thomas R. 1998: *Culture Moves: Ideas, Activism, and Changing Values*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Rochon, Thomas R. and Mazmanian, Daniel A. 1993: Social Movements and the Policy Process. *The Annals of the AAPSS*, 528, 75–87.

Rochon, Thomas R. and Meyer, David S. (eds.) 1997: *Coalitions and Political Movements: The Lessons of the Nuclear Freeze*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner.

Rohrschneider, Robert 1988: Citizens' Attitudes towards Environmental Issues: Selfish or Selfless? *Comparative Political Studies*, 21, 347–67.

Rohrschneider, Robert 1990: The Roots of Public Opinion toward New Social Movements. *American Journal of Political Science*, 34, 1–30.

Rohrschneider, Robert 1993a: Impact of Social Movements on the European Party System. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences*, 528 (July), 157–70.

Rohrschneider, Robert 1993b: Environmental Belief Systems in Western Europe. *Comparative Political Studies*, 26, 3–29.

Rohrschneider, Robert and Dalton, Russell 2002: A Global Network? Transnational Cooperation among Environmental Groups. *Journal of Politics*, 64, 510–33.

Rokkan, Stein 1970: *Citizens, Elections, and Parties*. Oslo: Oslo University Press.

Rolke, Lothar 1987: *Protestbewegungen in der Bundesrepublik*. Opladen: West-deutscher Verlag.

Rootes, Christopher 1992: The New Politics and the New Social Movements: Accounting for British Exceptionalism. *European Journal of Political Research*, 22, 171–91.

Rootes, Christopher 1994: Parties and Movements as Alternative Modes of Collective Action: Green Parties and Environmental Movements in Europe. Paper presented at the Thirteenth World Congress of Sociology, Bielefeld, July.

Rootes, Christopher 1995: A New Class? The Higher Educated and the New Politics. In L. Maheu (ed.), *Social Movements and Social Classes*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage, 220–35.

Rootes, Christopher 1997: Shaping Collective Action: Structure, Contingency and Knowledge. In R. Edmonson (ed.), *The Political Context of Collective Action*. London/New York: Routledge.

Rootes, Christopher A. 2000: Environmental Protest in Britain 1988–1997. In B. Seel, M. Paterson, and B. Doherty (eds.), *Direct Action in British Environmentalism*. London: Routledge, 26–61.

Rootes, Christopher 2003: Britain. In C. Rootes (ed.), *Environmental Protest in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 20–58.

Rootes, Christopher (ed.) 2003: *Environmental Protest in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rootes, Christopher 2005: A Limited Transnationalization? The British Environmental Movement. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 21–43.

Rose, Fred 2000: *Coalitions Across the Class Divide: Lessons from the Labor, Peace and Environmental Movements*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Rose, Richard 1988: *L'espansione della sfera pubblica*. Bologna: il Mulino. (original edition *Understanding Big Government*, London, Sage, 1984).

Roseneil, Sasha 1995: *Disarming Patriarchy*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Rosenkrands, Jacob 2004: Politicising Homo Economicus: Analysis of Anticorporate Websites. In W. van de Donk, B. Loader, P. Nixon and D. Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest: New Media, Citizens and Social Movements*. London: Routledge, 57–76.

Rosenthal, Naomi and Schwartz, Michael 1989: Spontaneity and Democracy in Social Movements. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *Organizing For Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 33–60.

Rosenthal, Naomi, Fingrutd, Meryl, Ethier, Michele, Karant, Roberta, and McDonald, David 1985: Social Movements and Network Analysis: A Case Study of Nineteenth-century Women's Reform in New York State. *American Journal of Sociology*, 90, 1022–54.

Rosenthal, Naomi, McDonald, David, Ethier, Michele, Fingrutd, Meryl, and Karant, Roberta 1997: Structural Tensions in the Nineteenth Century Women's Movement. *Mobilization*, 2, 21–46.

Roszak, Theodor 1969: *The Making of a Counterculture*. New York: Anchor Books.

Roth, Roland 1994: *Demokratie von unten: Neue soziale Bewegungen auf dem Wege zur politischen Institution*. Köln: Bund Verlag.

Rothenberg, Lawrence S. 1992: *Linking Citizens to Government: Interest Group Politics at Common Cause*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Rothman, Franklin D. and Oliver, Pamela 1999: From Local to Global: The Anti-Dam Movement in Southern Brazil, 1979–1992. *Mobilization*, 4, 41–58.

Routledge, Paul 2003: Convergence Space: Process Geographies of Grassroots Globalization Networks. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 28, 333–49.

Royall, Frédéric 1998: Le mouvement des chômeurs en France de l'hiver 1997–1998. *Modern and Contemporary France*, 6, 351–65.

Rubington, Earl and Weinberg, Martin S. (eds.) 2003: *The Study of Social Problems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press (6th edition).

Rucht, Dieter 1984: Zur Organisation der neuen sozialen Bewegungen. In Jürgen Falter et al., *Politische Willensbildung und Interessenvermittlung*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Rucht, Dieter 1989: Environmental Movement Organizations in West Germany and France: Structure and Interorganizational Relations. In B. Klandermans (ed.), *International Social Movement Research*, vol. 2, *Organizing for Change*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 61–94.

Rucht, Dieter 1990a: The Strategies and Action Repertoire of New Movements. In R. J. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 156–75.

Rucht, Dieter 1990b: Campaigns, Skirmishes and Battles: Anti-nuclear Movements in the USA, France and West Germany. *Industrial Crisis Quarterly*, 4, 193–222.

Rucht, Dieter (ed.) 1991a: *Research in Social Movements: The State of the Art*. Frankfurt/Boulder, CO: Campus Verlag/Westview Press.

Rucht, Dieter 1991b: A Critique of Alain Touraine's *Intervention Sociologique*. In D. Rucht (ed.), *Research in Social Movements: The State of the Art*. Frankfurt/Boulder, CO: Campus Verlag/Westview Press.

Rucht, Dieter 1991c: Das Kräftefeld soziale Bewegungen, Gegenbewegungen und Staat. *Forschungsjournal Neue Soziale Bewegungen*, 2 (4), 31–42.

Rucht, Dieter 1992: *Studying the Effects of Social Movements: Conceptualization and Problems*. Paper presented at the Joint Sessions of the European Consortium for Political Research, Limerick, March 30–April 4.

Rucht, Dieter 1993: Think Globally, Act Locally? Needs, Forms and Problems of Cross-national Cooperation Among Environmental Groups. In J. D. Liefferink, P. Lowe, and A. P. J. Mol (eds.), *European Integration and Environmental Policy*. London/New York: Belhaven Press/Halsted Press, 75–95.

Rucht, Dieter 1994: *Modernisierung und Soziale Bewegungen*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus.

Rucht, Dieter 1995: The Impact of Anti-nuclear Power Movements in International Comparison. In M. Bauer (ed.), *Resistance to New Technology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rucht, Dieter 1996: The Impact of National Contexts on Social Movements Structure. In D. McAdam, J. McCarthy, and M. N. Zald (eds.), *Comparative Perspective on Social Movements: Political Opportunities, Mobilizing Structures, and Cultural Framing*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 185–204.

Rucht, Dieter 2003a: Media Strategies and Media Resonance in Transnational Protest Campaigns. Paper presented at the conference Transnational Processes and Social Movements. Bellagio, Italy.

Rucht, Dieter (ed.) 2003b: *Berlin, 1. Mai 2002: Politische Demonstrationsrituale*. Opladen: Leske + Budrich.

Rucht, Dieter 2004: The Quadruple "A": Media Strategies of Protest Movements since the 1960s. In Wim van de Donk, Brian Loader, Paul Nixon, and Dieter Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest: New Media, Citizens and Social Movements*. London: Routledge, 29–56.

Rüdig, Wolfgang 1990: *Anti-nuclear Movements: A World Survey*. London: Longman.

Ruggiero, Vincenzo 2000: New Social Movements and the "centri sociali" in Milan. *Sociological Review*, 48, 167–85.

Rule, James R. 1988: *Theories of Civil Violence*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Rupp, Leila and Taylor, Verta 1987: *Survival in the Doldrums: The American Women's Rights Movement, 1945 to the 1960s*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press.

Rupp, Leila and Taylor, Verta 2003: *Drag Queens at the 801 Cabaret*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Rusconi, Gian Enrico 1992: Etnia: Un costrutto polemico. *Polis*, 6, 571–87.

Rusconi, Gian Enrico 1993: *Se cessiamo di essere una nazione*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Russett, Bruce and Starr, Harvey 1996: *World Politics: The Menu for Choice*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Co.

Ruzza, Carlo 2004: *Europe and Civil Society: Movement Coalitions and European Governance*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Ryan, Barbara 1992: *Feminism and the Women's Movement: Dynamics of Change in Social Movements' Ideology and Activism*. New York: Routledge.

Safran, William 1989: The French State and Ethnic Minority Cultures: Policy Dimensions and Problems. In J. Rudolph and R. J. Thompson (eds.), *Ethnoterritorial Politics, Policy, and the Western World*. Boulder, CO/London: Lynne Rienner, 115–58.

Salamon, Lester M. and Anheier, Helmut (eds.) 1997: *Defining the Nonprofit Sector: A Cross National Analysis*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Salmon, Jean Marc 1998: *Le désir de societé: Des restaurants du coer au mouvement des chomeurs*. Paris: La découverte.

Sampson, S. 1969: Crisis in a Cloister. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Cornell University.

Samuelson, Paul 1954: The Pure Theory of Public Expenditure. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 36, 387–89.

Sanchez Jankowski, Martin 1991: *Islands in the Street: Gangs and American Urban Society*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Sandell, Rickard 1999: Organizational Life aboard the Moving Bandwagons: A Network Analysis of Dropouts from a Swedish Temperance Organization, 1896–1937. *Acta Sociologica*, 42, 3–15.

Sandell, Rickard 2001: Organizational Growth and Ecological Constraints: The Growth of Social Movements in Sweden, 1881 to 1940. *American Sociological Review*, 66, 672–93.

Sandell, Rickard and Charlotta Stern 1998: Group Size and the Logic of Collective Action: A Network Analysis of a Swedish Temperance Movement 1896–1937. *Rationality and Society*, 10, 327–45.

Sandoval, Salvador A. M. 1998: Social Movements and Democratization. The Case of Brasil and the Latin Countries. In in Marco Giugni, Doug McAdam and Charles Tilly (eds.), *From Contention to Democracy*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 169–201.

Sartori, Giovanni 1970: Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics. *American Political Science Review*, 56, 1033–53.

Sartori, Giovanni 1987: Ideologia. In *Elementi di teoria politica*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Sartori, Giovanni 1990: Comparazione e metodo comparato. *Rivista italiana di scienza politica*, 20, 397–416.

Sassen, Saskia 1998: *Globalization and Its Discontents*. New York: Norton.

Sassen, Saskia 2000: *Cities in a World Economy*. Thousand Oaks: Pine Forge Press, 117–38.

Sassoon, Joseph 1984a: Ideologia, azione simbolica e ritualità: Nuovi percorsi dei movimenti. In A. Melucci (ed.), *Altri codici*. Bologna: il Mulino, 385–415.

Sassoon, Joseph 1984b: Ideology, Symbolic Action and Rituality in Social Movements: The Effects of Organizational Forms. *Social Science Information*, 23, 861–73.

Saunders, P. 1987: Social Theory and the Urban Question. London: Unwin Hyman.

Sawer, Marian and Groves, Abigail 1994: The Women's Lobby': Networks, Coalition Building and the Women of Middle Australia. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 29, 435–59.

Scharpf, Fritz 1999: *Governing in Europe: Effective and Democratic?* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Scharpf, Fritz W. 1984: Economic and Institutional Constraints of Full-Employment Strategies: Sweden, Austria, and West Germany. In J. H. Goldthorpe (ed.), *Order and Conflict in Contemporary Capitalism*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 257–90.

Scheff, Thomas 1994b: Emotions and Identity: A Theory of Ethnic Nationalism. In C. Calhoun (ed.), *Social Theory and the Politics of Identity*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 277–303.

Scheff, Thomas J. 1994a: *Bloody Revenge: Emotions, Nationalism, and War*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Schlesinger, Paul 1992: *Putting "Reality" Together* (2nd edition). London: Routledge.

Schlosberg, David 2002: *Environmental Justice and the New Pluralism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schmidt, Hilmar and Take, Ingo 1997: Demokratiscer und besser? Der Beitrag von Nichtregierungsorganisationen zur Demokratisierung internationaler Politik und zu Loesung globaler Probleme. *Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte*, 43, 12–20.

Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger 1989: Organizational Interlocks between New Social Movements and Traditional Elites: The Case of the West German Peace Movement. *European Journal of Political Research*, 17, 583–98.

Schmitter, Philippe 1974: Still a Century of Corporatism? *Review of Politics*, 36, 85–131.

Schmitter, Philippe 1981: Interest Intermediation and Regime Governability in Contemporary Western Europe and North America. In Suzanne Berger (ed.), *Organized Interests in Western Europe: Pluralism, Corporatism, and the Transformation of Politics*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 287–327.

Schmitter, Philippe and Lehmbruch, Gerard (eds.) 1979: *Trends towards Corporatist Intermediation*. London/Beverly Hills: Sage.

Schneider, Volker 2000: The Global Social Capital of Human Rights Movements: A Case Study on Amnesty International. In K. Ronit and V. Schneider (eds.), *Private Organizations in Global Politics*. London: Routledge, 146–64.

Schnittker, Jason, Freese, Jeremy, and Powell, Brian 2003: Who Are Feminists and What Do They Believe? The Role of Generations, *American Sociological Review*, 68, 607–22.

Scholsberg, David 2002: *Environmental Justice and the New Pluralism: The Challenge of Difference for Environmentalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schönleitner, Gunther 2003: World Social Forum: Making Another World Possible? In J. Clark (ed.), *Globalizing Civic Engagement: Civil Society and Transnational Action*. London: Earthscan Publications Ltd., 109–26.

Schou, Arild 1997: Elite Identification in the Palestinian Intifada. *Mobilization*, 2, 71–86.

Schudson, Michael 1989: How Culture Works: Perspectives from Media Studies on the Efficacy of Symbols. *Theory and Society*, 18, 153–80.

Schumaker, Paul D. 1975: Policy Responsiveness to Protest Group Demands. *The Journal of Politics*, 37, 488–521.

Scotch, Richard K. 1988: Disability as the Basis for a Social Movement: Advocacy and the Politics of Definition. *Journal of Social Issues*, 44, 159–72.

Scott, Alan 1990: *Ideology and the New Social Movements*. London: Unwin Hyman.

Scott, Alan (ed.) 1997: *The Limits of Globalization*. London: Routledge.

Scott, John 1992: *Social Network Analysis: A Handbook*. London/Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Scott, W. Richard 1981: *Organizations: Rational, Natural and Open System*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Seel, Bejamin, Patterson, Matthew, and Doherty, Brian (eds.) 2000: *Direct Action in British Environmentalism*. London: Routledge.

Seligman, Adam 1992: *The Idea of Civil Society*. New York: Free Press.

Sen, Amartya 2004: *La democrazia degli altri*. Milano: Mondadori.

Sewell, William H. Jr 1992: A Theory of Structure: Duality, Agency, and Transformation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 1–29.

Shah, Ghanshyam 1990: *Social Movements in India: A Review of the Literature*. New Delhi/Newbury Park: Sage.

Sharpe, L. Jim 1988: The Growth and Decentralisation of the Modern Democratic State. *European Journal of Political Research*, 16, 365–80.

Shemtov, Ronit 1999: Taking Ownership of Environmental Problems. *Mobilization*, 4, 91–106.

Showstack Sassoon, A. 1987: *Women and the State: Shifting Boundaries of Public and Private*. London: Hutchinson.

Sikkink, Kathryn and Smith, Jackie 2002: Infrastructures for Change: Transnational Organizations 1953–1993. In S. Khagram, J. V. Riker, and K. Sikkink (eds.), *Reconstructing World Politics: Transnational Social Movements, Networks and Norms*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. 24–44

Sikkink, Kathryn. 2002. Reconstructing World Politics: The Limits and Asymmetries of Soft Power. In S. Khagram, J. V. Riker, and K. Sikkink (eds.), *Reconstructing World Politics: Transnational Social Movements, Networks and Norms*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 301–17.

Siltanen, Janet and Stanworth, Michelle 1984: *Women and the Public Sphere*. London: Hutchinson.

Silver, Beverly 2003: *Forces of Labor: Workers' Movements and Globalization Since 1870*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Silver, Beverly and Slater, Eric 1999: The Social Origins of World Hegemonies. In G. Arrighi and B. Silver (eds.), *Chaos and Governance in the Modern World System*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 175–250.

Simeant, Johanna 1998: *La cause des sans-papiers*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.

Simmel, Georg [1908] 1950: The Triad. In *The Sociology of Georg Simmel*, translated by K. Wolff. New York: Free Press, 145–69.

Simmel, Georg [1908] 1955: Conflict. In *Conflict and the Web of Group Affiliations*, translated by K. Wolff. New York: Free Press, 11–123. (Original edition *Die Streit in Soziologie*, München: Duncker und Humblot, 1908.)

Sklair, Laskie 1995: Social Movements and Global Capitalism. *Sociology*, 29, 495–512.

Skocpol, Theda 1979: *States and Social Revolutions*. Cambridge / New York: Cambridge University Press.

Skocpol, Theda 2003: *Diminished Democracy: From Membership to Management in American Civic Life*. Norman: Oklahoma University Press.

Smelser, Neil J. 1962: *Theory of Collective Behavior*. New York: The Free Press.

Smelser, Neil J. 1992: Culture: Coherent or Incoherent. In N. J. Smelser and R. Muench (eds.), *Theory of Culture*. Berkeley / Los Angeles: University of California Press, 3–28.

Smith, Anthony D. 1981: *The Ethnic Revival*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, Anthony D. 1986: *The Ethnic Origins of Nations*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Smith, Christian (ed.) 1996: *Disruptive Religion: The Force of Faith in Social Movement Activism*. New York and London: Routledge.

Smith, Jackie 1995: Transnational Political Processes and the Human Rights Movement. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 17. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 185–219.

Smith, Jackie 1997: Characteristics of the Modern Transnational Social Movement Sector. In J. Smith, C. Chatfield, and R. Pagnucco (eds.), *Transnational Social Movements and Global Politics*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press, 42–58.

Smith, Jackie 1998: Global Strategies of Social Protest: Transnational Social Movement Organizations in World Politics. In D. della Porta, H. Kriesi, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Social Movements in a Globalizing World*. New York / London: Macmillan, 170–88.

Smith, Jackie 1999: Transnational Organizations. In *Encyclopedia of Violence, Peace, and Conflict*, vol. 3. San Diego: Academic Publishers, 591–602.

Smith, Jackie 2001: Globalizing Resistance: The Battle of Seattle and the Future of Social Movements. *Mobilization*, 6, 1–19.

Smith, Jackie 2004a: Exploring Connections Between Global Integration and Political Mobilization. *Journal of World Systems Research*, 10, 11–34.

Smith, Jackie 2004b: Transnational Processes and Movements. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 311–35.

Smith, Jackie and Johnston, Hank (eds.) 2002: *Globalization and Resistance: Transnational Dimensions of Social Movements*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Smith, Jackie, Pagnucco, Ron and Romeril, Winnie 1994: Transnational Social Movement Organisations in the Global Political Arena. *Voluntas*, 5, 121–54.

Snow, David 2004: Framing Processes, Ideology, and Discursive Fieds. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 380–412.

Snow, David 2005: Social Movements as Challenges to Authority: Resistance to an Emerging Conceptual Hegemony? In D. Myers and D. Cress (eds.), *Authority in Contention*. New York: Elsevier.

Snow, David A. and Benford, Robert D. 1988: Ideology, Frame Resonance, and Participant Mobilization. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, and S. Tarrow (eds.), *From Structure to Action*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 197–218.

Snow, David A. and Benford, Robert D. 1992: Master Frames and Cycles of Protest. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers In Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 133–55.

Snow, David A. and Oliver, Pamela 1995: Social Movements and Collective Behavior: Social Psychological Dimensions and Considerations. In K. S. Cook, G. A. Fine, and J. House (eds.), *Sociological Perspectives on Social Psychology*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 571–99.

Snow, David A., Rochford, Burke E., Worden, Steven, and Benford, Robert 1986: Frame Alignment Processes, Micromobilization, and Movement Participation. *American Sociological Review*, 51, 464–81.

Snow, David A., Zurcher, Louis A., and Ekland-Olson, Sheldon 1980: Social Networks and Social Movements: A Microstructural Approach to Differential Recruitment. *American Sociological Review*, 45, 787–801.

Snow, David, Soule, Sarah, and Kriesi, Hanspeter (eds.) 2004a: *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Snow, David, Soule, Sarah, and Kriesi, Hanspeter 2004b: Mapping the Terrain. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Snyder, David and Tilly, Charles 1972: Hardship and Collective Violence in France, 1830–1960. *American Sociological Review*, 37, 520–32.

Somers, Margaret R. 1992: Narrativity, Narrative Identity, and Social Action: Rethinking English Working-Class Formation. *Social Science History*, 16, 591–630.

Somers, Margaret R. 1993: Citizenship and the Place of the Public Sphere: Law, Community, and Political Culture in the Transition to Democracy. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 587–620.

Somers, Margaret R. 1994: The Narrative Constitution of Identity: A Relational and Network Approach. *Theory and Society*, 23, 605–49.

Sommier, Isabelle 2003: *Le renoveau des mouvements contestataires à l'heure de la mondialisation*. Paris: Flammarion.

Soule, Sarah 2004: Diffusion Process Within and Across Movements. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 294–310.

Souza, Celina 2000: Participatory Budgeting in Brazilian Cities: Limits and Possibilities in Building Democratic Institutions. *Environment and Urbanization*, 13, 159–84.

Soysal, Yasemine N. 1994: *Limits of Citizenship: Migrants and Postnational Membership in Europe*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

Staggenborg, Suzanne 1986: Coalition Work in the Pro-Choice Movement: Organizational and Environmental Opportunities and Constraints. *Social Problems*, 33, 623–41.

Staggenborg, Suzanne 1991: *The Pro-Choice Movement: Organization and Activism in the Abortion Conflict*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Staggenborg, Suzanne. 1988. The Consequences of Professionalization and Formalization in the Pro-Choice Movement. *American Sociological Review*, 53, 585–606.

Stamatov, Peter 2002: Interpretive Activism and the Political Uses of Verdi's Operas in the 1840s. *American Sociological Review*, 67, 345–66.

Stark, Rodney and Bainbridge, William S. 1980: Networks of Faith: Interpersonal Bonds and Recruitment to Cults and Sects. *American Journal of Sociology*, 85, 1376–95.

Steel, Brent S., Warner, Rebecca L., Stieber, Blair, and Lovrich, Nicholas P. 1992: Postmaterialist Values and Support for Feminism among Canadian and American Women and Men. *Western Political Quarterly*, 45, 339–53.

Stefancic, Jean and Delgado, Richard 1996: *No Mercy: How Conservative Think Tanks and Foundations Changed America's Social Agenda*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Steinberg, Marc 1999: The Talk and Back Talk of Collective Action: A Dialogic Analysis of Repertoires of Discourse among Nineteenth Century English Cotton Spinners. *American Journal of Sociology*, 105, 736–80.

Stepan-Norris, Judith and Zeitlin, Maurice 2003: *Left Out: Reds and America's Industrial Unions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Stoecker, Randy 1995: Community, Movement, Organization: The Problem of Identity Convergence in Collective Action. *Sociological Quarterly*, 36, 111–30.

Stokman, Frans N., Ziegler, Rolf and Scott, John (eds.) 1985: *Networks of Corporate Power: A Comparative Analysis of Ten Countries*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Stolle, Dietlind and Hooghe, Marc 2004: Consumers as Political Participants? Shifts in Political Action Repertoires in Western Societies. In M. Micheletti, A. Follesdal, and D. Stolle (eds.), *Politics, Products and Markets: Exploring Political Consumerism Past and Present*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 265–88.

Strand, David and Meyer, John W. 1993: Institutional Conditions for Diffusion. *Theory and Society*, 22, 487–511.

Strauss, Anselm L. 1947: Research in Collective Behavior: Neglect and Need. *American Sociological Review*, 12, 352–4.

Streeck, Wolfgang 1992: *Social Institutions and Economic Performance*. Thousand Oaks/London: Sage.

Stryker, Sheldon, Owens, Timothy J., and White, Robert W. (eds.) 2000: *Self, Identity, and Social Movements*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Subramaniam, Mangala, Gupte, Manjusha, and Mitre, Debarashmi 2003: Local to Global: Transnational Networks and Indian Women's Grassroots Organizing. *Mobilization*, 8, 335–52.

Suh, Doowon 2004: Outcome Framing and Movement Dynamics: Korean White-Collar Unions' Political Mobilization and Interunion Solidarity, 1987–1995. *Mobilization*, 9, 17–38.

Swidler, Ann 1986: Culture in Action: Symbols and Strategies. *American Sociological Review*, 51, 273–86.

Swidler, Ann and Arditi, Jorge 1994: The New Sociology of Knowledge. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 20, 305–29.

Szasz, Andrew 1994: *EcoPopulism: Toxic Waste and the Movement for Environmental Justice*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press.

Szelenyi, Sonia and Olvera, Jacqueline 1996: The Declining Significance of Class: Does Gender Complicate the Story? – Comments. *Theory and Society*, 25, 725–30.

Szerszinski, Bron 1995: Entering the Stage: Strategies of Environmental Communication in the UK. In K. Eder (ed.), *Framing and Communicating Environmental Issues*, Research Report, Commission of the European Communities, DGXII, Florence/Lancaster: European University Institute/CSEC, University of Lancaster.

Sztompka, Piotr 1993: *The Sociology of Social Change*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Taggart, Paul A. 1996: *The New Populism and the New Politics: New Protest Parties in Sweden in a Comparative Perspective*. New York: St Martin's Press.

Tarrow, Sidney 1983: Struggling to Reform: Social Movements and Policy Change during Cycles of Protest. *Western Societies Paper 15*. Ithaca: Cornell University.

Tarrow, Sidney 1989: *Democracy and Disorder: Protest and Politics in Italy, 1965–1975*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Tarrow, Sidney 1989b. Mutamenti nella cultura di opposizione in Italia, 1965–1975. *Polis*, 3, 41–63.

Tarrow, Sidney 1990: The Phantom at the Opera: Political Parties and Social Movements of the 1960s and the 1970s in Italy. In R. J. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 251–73.

Tarrow, Sidney 1994: *Power in Movement: Social Movements, Collective Action and Politics*. New York/Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tarrow, Sidney 1995: The Europeanization of Conflict: Reflections from a Social Movement Perspective. *West European Politics*, 18, 223–51.

Tarrow, Sidney 1998 [1994]: *Power in Movement: Social Movements, Collective Action and Politics*. New York/Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tarrow, Sidney 2005: *The New Transnational Contention*. New York/Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tarrow, Sidney and McAdam, Doug 2005: Scale Shift in Transnational Contention. In D. della Porta and S. Tarrow (eds.), *Transnational Protest and Global Activism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 121–49.

Taylor, Bron (ed.) 1995: *Ecological Resistance Movements*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.

Taylor, Charles 1993: *Multiculturalismo: La politica del riconoscimento*. Milano: Anabasi (original edition *Multiculturalism and the Politics of Recognition*, Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 1992).

Taylor, Ian 1996: Fear of Crime, Urban Fortunes and Suburban Social Movements: Some Reflections from Manchester. *Sociology*, 30, 317–37.

Taylor, Verta 1989: Social Movement Continuity: The Women's Movement in Abeyance. *American Sociological Review*, 54, 761–75.

Taylor, Verta 1996: *Rock-a-by Baby: Feminism, Identity, and the Post-Partum Depression*. New York: Routledge.

Taylor, Verta and Van Willigen, Marieke 1996: Women's Self-Help and the Reconstruction of Gender. *Mobilization*, 1, 123–42.

Taylor, Verta and Whittier, Nancy 1992: Collective Identity in Social Movement Communities: Lesbian Feminist Mobilization. In A. Morris and C. McClurg Mueller (eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 104–32.

Taylor, Verta and Whittier, Nancy 1995: Analytical Approaches to Social Movement Culture: The Culture of the Women's Movement. In H. Johnston and B. Klandermans (eds.), *Social Movements and Culture*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 163–87.

Taylor-Gooby, Peter 1986: Consumpion Cleavages and Welfare Politics. *Political Studies*, 34, 592–606.

Thayer, Millie 2001: Transnational Feminism: Reading Joan Scott in the Brazilian Sertão. *Ethnography*, 2, 243–71.

Thomas, Daniel C. 2001: *The Helsinki Effect: International Norms, Human Rights, and the Demise of Communism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Thompson, Edward H. 1963: *The Making of the English Working Class*. London: Penguin.

Thompson, J. D. 1967: *Organizations in Action*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Thompson, John B. 1995: *The Media and Modernity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tillock, Harriet M. and Morrison, Denton E. 1979: Group Size and Contribution to Collective Action: An Examination of Olson Theory Using Data from Zero Population Growth. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflicts and Change*, vol. 2. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 131–52.

Tilly, Charles 1978: *From Mobilization to Revolution*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Tilly, Charles 1984a: *Big Structures, Large Processes, Huge Comparisons*. New York: Russell Sage.

Tilly, Charles 1984b: Social Movements and National Politics. In C. Bright and S. Harding (eds.), *State-Making and Social Movements: Essays in History and Theory*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 297–317.

Tilly, Charles 1986: *The Contentious French*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

Tilly, Charles 1987: Social Conflict. *CSSC Working Paper Series 43*. New York: New School for Social Research.

Tilly, Charles 1988: Social Movements, Old and New. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 10. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–18.

Tilly, Charles 1993: *European Revolutions 1492–1992*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

Tilly, Charles 1994: Social Movements as Historically Specific Clusters of Political Performances. *Berkeley Journal of Sociology*, 38, 1–30.

Tilly, Charles 2002: *Stories, Identities, and Political Change*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Tilly, Charles 2003: *The Politics of Collective Violence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tilly, Charles 2004a: *Social Movements 1768–2004*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm.

Tilly, Charles 2004b: *Contention and Democracy in Europe 1650–2000*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tilly, Charles, Tilly, Louise and Tilly, Richard 1975: *The Rebellious Century 1830–1930*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Tilly, Chris 2004: Living Wage Laws in the United States: The Dynamic of a Growing Movement. In Maria Kousis and Charles Tilly (eds.), *Economic and Political Contention in Comparative Perspective*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers, 143–57.

Tindall, David 2004: Social Movement Participation Over Time: An Ego-Network Approach to Micro-Mobilization. *Sociological Focus*, 37, 163–84.

Titarenko, Larissa, McCarthy, John D., McPhail, Clark, and Augustyn, Boguslaw 2001: The Interaction of State Repression, Protest Form and Protest Sponsor Strength During the Transition From Communism in Belarus, 1990–1995. *Mobilization*, 6, 129–50.

Tondeur, Alain 1997: *La crise blanche*. Brussels: Editions Luc Pire.

Touraine, Alain 1977: *The Self-Production of Society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Touraine, Alain 1981: *The Voice and the Eye: An Analysis of Social Movements*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Touraine, Alain 1984: *Le retour de l'acteur*. Paris: Fayard.

Touraine, Alain 1985: An Introduction to the Study of Social Movements. *Social Research*, 52, 749–88.

Touraine, Alain 1987: *The Workers' Movement*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Touraine, Alain 1991: Commentary on Dieter Rucht's Critique. In D. Rucht (ed.), *Research in Social Movements: The State of the Art*. Frankfurt/Boulder, CO: Campus Verlag/Westview Press, 385–91.

Touraine, Alain 1992: *Critique de la modernité*. Paris: Fayard.

Touraine, Alain, Dubet, François, Hegedus, Zsuzsa, and Wieviorka, Michel 1981: *Le pays contre l'etat: Luttes occitanes*. Paris: Seuil.

Touraine, Alain, Dubet, François, Wieviorka, Michel, and Strzelecki, Jan 1983: *Solidarity: The Analysis of a Social Movement: Poland 1980–1981*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Touraine, Alain, Hegedus, Zsusza, Dubet, François, and Wieviorka, Michel 1983: *Anti-nuclear Protest: The Opposition to Nuclear Power in France*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tranvik, Tommy 2004: Surfing for Online Connectedness: Is the Internet Helping to End Civic Engagement? In S. Prakash and P. Selle (eds.) *Investigating Social Capital*. London: Sage, 281–304.

Traugott, Mark 1995: Barricades as Repertoire: Continuities and Discontinuities in the History of French Contention. In Mark Traugott (ed.), *Repertoires and Cycles of Collective Action*. Durham: Duke University Press, 43–56.

Trigilia, Carlo 1984: *Grandi partiti e piccole imprese: Comunisti e democristiani nelle regioni a economia diffusa*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Trump, Thomas M. 1991: Value Formation and Postmaterialism: Inglehart's Theory of Value Change Reconsidered. *Comparative Political Studies*, 24, 365–90.

Turk, Herman 1977: *Organizations in Modern Life*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Turnaturi, Gabriella 1991: *Associati per amore*. Milan: Feltrinelli.

Turner, Bryan 1988: *Status*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Turner, Ralph 1969: The Theme of Contemporary Social Movements. *British Journal of Sociology*, 20, 390–405.

Turner, Ralph 1994: Ideology and Utopia After Socialism. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, and J. Gusfield (eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 79–100.

Turner, Ralph and Killian, Lewis 1987 [1957]: *Collective Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Urry, John 1995: Rethinking Class. In L. Maheu (ed.), *Social Movements and Social Classes*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage, 169–81.

Useem, Bert 1980: Solidarity Model, Breakdown Model and the Boston Anti-busing Movement. *American Sociological Review*, 45, 357–69.

Valiente, Celia 2003: The Feminist Movement and the Reconfigured State in Spain (1970–2000). In Lee Ann Banaszak, Karen Beckwith, and Dieter Rucht (eds.), *Women's Movements Facing the Reconfigured State*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 30–47.

Valocchi, Steve 1999: Collective Action Frames in the Gay Liberation Movement. *Mobilization*, 4, 59–74.

Van Aelst, Peter and Walgraave, Stefan 2004: New Media, New Movements? The Role of the Internet in Shaping the "Anti-globalization" Movement. In W. van de Donk, B. Loader, P. Nixon, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest: New Media, Citizens and Social Movements*. London: Routledge, 97–122.

van de Donk, Wim, Loader, Brian, Nixon, Paul, and Rucht, Dieter (eds.) 2004: *Cyberspace Protest*. London: Routledge.

van de Hoonaard, Will C. 1991: Numbers and "Social Forms": The Contribution of Simmel to Social Movements Theory. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research In Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 13. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 31–43.

van der Heijden, Hein-Anton, Koopmans, Ruud, and Giugni, Marco 1992: The West European Environmental Movement. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change. Supplement 2*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–40.

Van Dyke, Nella, 2003: *Protest Cycles and Party Politics: The Effects of Elite Allies and Antagonists on Student Protest in the United States, 1930–1990*. In Jack A. Goldstone (ed.), *States, Parties and Social Movements*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 226–45.

van Gennep, A. 1983: *I riti di passaggio*. Torino: Boringhieri (original edition *Les rites de passage*, Paris, Nourry, 1908).

Van Zoonen, Liesbet 1996: A Dance of Death: New Social Movements and Mass Media. In D. Paletz (ed.), *Political Communication in Action*. Cress Hill, NJ: Hampton Press, 201–22.

Vegh, Sandor 2003: Classifying Forms of Online Activism. In M. McCaughey and M. D. Ayers (eds.), *Cyberactivism: Online Activism in Theory and Practice*. London: Routledge, 71–95.

Verba, Sydney, Nie, Norman H., and Kim, Jae-on 1978: *Participation and Political Equality*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Vertovec, Steven and Cohen, Robin (eds.) 2003: *Conceiving Cosmopolitanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Virnoche, Mary and Marx, Gary 1997: "Only Connect": E. M. Forster in an Age of Electronic Communication: Computer-Mediated Association and Community Networks. *Sociological Inquiry*, 67, 85–100.

von Beyme, Klaus (ed.) 1988: *Right-wing Extremism in Western Europe*. London: Cass.

von Dirke, Sabine 1997: *All the Power to the Imagination! The West German Counterculture from the Student Movement to the Greens*. Lincoln/London: University of Nebraska Press.

Voss, Kim 1993: *The Making of American Exceptionalism: The Knights of Labor and Class Formation in the Nineteenth Century*. Cornell: University Press.

Wacquant, Loic J. D. 1994: The New Urban Color Line: The State and Fate of the Ghetto in Postfordist America. In C. Calhoun (ed.), *Social Theory and the Politics of Identity*. Oxford/Cambridge, MA: Blackwell, 231–76.

Waddell, Steve 2003: The Climate Action Network: Civil Society Tackling Global Negotiations, Boston, unpublished paper (www.gan-net.net/pdfs/can.pdf).

Waddington, P. A. J. 1994: *Liberty and Order: Policing Public Order in a Capital City*. London: UCL Press.

Waddington, P. A. J. 1998: Controlling Protest in Contemporary Historical and Comparative Perspectives. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 117–40.

Walby, Sylvia 1997: *Gender Transformations*. London: Routledge.

Walgrave, Stefaan and Rucht, Dieter (eds.), forthcoming, *Protest Politics: Antiwar Mobilization in Advanced Industrial Democracies*, in preparation.

Walgrave, Stefaan and Massens, Jan 2000: The Making of the White March: The Mass Media as Mobilizing Alternative to Movement Organizations. *Mobilization*, 5, 217–39.

Walker, Jack L. 1991: *Mobilizing Interest Groups in America: Patrons, Professions, and Social Movements*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Wall, Derek 1999: *Earth First! and the Anti-Road Movement*. London: Routledge.

Wallace, Michael and Jenkins, J. Craig 1995: The New Class, Postindustrialism, and Neocorporatism: Three Images of Social Protest in Western Democracies. In J. C. Jenkins and B. Klandermans (eds.), *The Politics of Social Protest*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 96–137.

Wallerstein, Immanuel 1974: *The Modern World System: Capitalist Agriculture and the Origins of the European World Economy in the Sixteenth Century*. New York: Academic Press.

Wallerstein, Immanuel 1979: *The Capitalist World Economy*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Wallerstein, Immanuel 2004: *World Systems Analysis: An Introduction*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Wallis, Roy 1977: *The Road to Total Freedom*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Wallis, Roy and Bruce, Steve 1986: *Sociological Theory, Religion and Collective Action*. Belfast: Queen's University Press.

Walsh, Edward 1988: *Democracy in the Shadows: Citizens' Mobilization in the Wake of the Accident at Three Mile Island*. New York: Greenwood Press.

Walsh, Edward and Warland, Rex 1983: Social Movement Involvement in the Wake of A Nuclear Accident: Activists and Free Riders in the TMI Area. *American Sociological Review*, 48, 764–80.

Walton, John, and Seddon, David 1994: *Free Markets and Food Riots: The Politics of Global Adjustement*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Warren, Mark 2001: Power and Conflict in Social Capital: Community Organizing and Urban Policy. In Bob Edwards, Michael Foley, and Mario Diani (eds.) *Beyond Tocqueville*. Hanover: University Press of New England, 169–82.

Washbourne, Neil 2001: Information Technology and New Forms of Organising? Translocalism and Networks in Friends of the Earth. In F. Webster (ed.), *Culture and Politics in the Information Age*. London: Routledge, 129–41.

Wasko, Janet and Mosco, Vincent (eds.) 1992: *Democratic Communications in the Information Age*. Toronto/Norwood, NJ: Garamond Press/Ablex.

Wasserman, Stanley and Katherine Faust: 1995: *Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.

Waters, Malcolm 1995: *Globalization*. London: Routledge.

Watts, Meredith W. 1997: *Xenophobia in United Germany: Generations, Modernization, and Ideology*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Wellman, Barry 1988: Structural Analysis: From Method and Metaphor to Theory and Substance. In B. Wellman, and S. D. Berkowitz (eds.), *Social Structures: A Network Approach*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 19–61.

Wellman, Barry and Berkowitz, Steve D. (eds.) 1988: *Social Structures: A Network Approach*. Cambridge/New York, Cambridge University Press.

Wellman, Barry and Haythornwhyte, Carolin (eds.) 2002: *Internet and Everyday Life*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wellman, Barry, Carrington, Peter J., and Hall, Alan 1988: Networks as Personal Communities. In B. Wellman and S. D. Berkowitz (eds.), *Social Structures: A Network Approach*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press, 130–84.

Westby, David L. 2002: Strategic Imperative, Ideology, and Frame. *Mobilization*, 7, 287–304.

Whalen, Jack and Richard Flacks 1989: *Beyond the Barricades: The Sixties Generation Grows Up*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

White, Harrison 1988: Varieties in Markets. In B. Wellman and S. D. Berkowitz (eds.), *Social Structures: A Network Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 226–60.

White, Paul E., Levine, Sol, and Vasak, George 1975: Exchange as a Conceptual Framework for Understanding Interorganizational Relationships. In R. A. R. Negandhi (ed.), *Interorganizational Theory*. Kent: Kent State University Press, 182–95.

Whittier, Nancy 1995: *Feminist Generations: The Persistence of the Radical Women's Movement*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Whittier, Nancy 1997: Political Generation, Micro-Cohorts, and the Transformation of Social Movements. *American Sociological Review*, 62, 760–78.

Whittier, Nancy 2004: The Consequences of Social Movements for Each Other. In Davis A. Snow, Sarah H. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 531–51.

Whutnow, Robert 1987: *Meaning and Moral Order: Explanations in Cultural Analysis*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Wieviorka, Michel (ed.) 2003: *Une autre monde . . . Contestations, dérives et surprise dans l'antimondialisation*. Paris: Balland.

Wieviorka, Michel 1995: *The Arena of Racism*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Wilcox, Clyde 1996: *Onward Christian Soldiers? The Religious Right in American Politics*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Willelms, Helmut, Wolf, Marianne, and Eckert, Roland 1993: *Unruhen und Politikberatung: Funktion, Arbeitweise, Ergebnisse und Auswirkung von Untersuchungskommissionen in der USA, Grossbritannien und der Bundesrepublik Deutschlands*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Williams, Rhys H. 1999: Visions of the Good Society and the Religious Roots of American Political Culture. *Sociology of Religion*, 60, 1–34.

Williams, Rhys H. 2002: From the "Beloved Community" to "Family Values": Religious Language, Symbolic Repertoires, and Democratic Culture. In D. S. Meyer, B. Robnett,

and N. Whittier (eds.), *Social Movements: Identity, Culture, and the State*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Williams, Rhys H. 2004: The Cultural Contexts of Collective Action. In D. Snow, S. Soule, and H. Kriesi (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. Oxford: Blackwell, 91–115.

Williams, Rhys H. and Kubal, Thimoty J. 1999: Movement Frames and Cultural Environment: Resonance, Failure and Boundaries of the Legitimate. *Research in Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, 21, 225–48.

Wilson, Bryan 1982: *Religion in Sociological Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wilson, Frank L. 1990: Neo-corporatism and the Rise of New Social Movements. In R. J. Dalton and M. Kuechler (eds.), *Challenging the Political Order: New Social Movements in Western Democracies*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 67–83.

Wilson, Graham K. 1990: *Interest Groups*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wilson, James Q. 1973: *Political Organizations*. New York: Basic Books.

Wilson, John 1973: *Introduction to Social Movements*. New York: Basic Books.

Wilson, John 1976: Social Protest and Social Control. *Social Problems*, 24, 469–81.

Wilson, John 2000: Volunteering. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26, 215–40.

Winter, Martin 1998: Protest Policing in Germany. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis/London: The University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 188–212.

Wisler, Dominique and Kriesi, Hanspeter 1998: Decisionmaking and Style in Protest Policing. The Cases of Geneva and Zurich. In D. della Porta and H. Reiter (eds.), *Policing Protest: The Control of Mass Demonstrations in Western Democracies*. Minneapolis/London: University of Minnesota Press/UCL Press, 91–116.

Woliver, Laura R. 1993: *From Outrage to Action: The Politics of Grass-roots Dissent*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Wood, Elizabeth 2003: *Insurgent Collective Action and Civil War in El Salvador*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Wood, Lesley 2004: Breaking the Bank and Taking to the Streets: How Protesters Target Neoliberalism. *Journal of World-Systems Research*, 10, 69–89.

Wood, Michael and Hughes, Michael 1984: The Moral Basis of Moral Reform: Status Discontent vs. Culture and Socialization as Explanations of Anti-Pornography Social Movement Adherence. *American Sociological Review*, 49, 86–99.

Woodberry, Robert D. and Smith, Christian 1998: Fundamentalism et al.: Conservative Protestants in America. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24, 25–56.

Worster, Donald 1994: *Storia delle idee ecologiche*. Bologna: il Mulino (original edition *Nature's Economy*, Cambridge/New York, Cambridge University Press, 1985).

Wrench, John and Solomos, John (eds.) 1993: *Racism and Migration in Western Europe*. Oxford/New York: Berg.

Wright, Erik O. 1985: *Classes*. London: Verso.

Wright, Erik O. 1996: The Continuing Relevance of Class Analysis – Comments. *Theory and Society*, 25, 693–716.

Wright, Steve 2004: Informing, Communicating and ICTs in Contemporary Anti-capitalist Movements. In W. van de Donk, B. Loader, P. Nixon, and D. Rucht (eds.), *Cyberprotest: New Media, Citizens and Social Movements*. London: Routledge, 77–94.

Yashar, Deborah 1996: Contesting Citizenship: Indigenous Movements and Democracy in Latin America. *Comparative Politics*, 31, 23–42.

Yearley, Steven 1988: *Science, Technology and Social Change*. London: Unwin Hyman.

Yearley, Steven 1991: *The Green Case*. London: Routledge.

Yearley, Steven 1996: *Sociology, Environmentalism, Globalization*. London/Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Yinger, J. Milton 1982: *Countercultures*. New York: Free Press.

Young, Iris Marion 1996: Communication and The Other: Beyond Deliberative Democracy. In Seyla Benhabib (ed.), *Democracy and Difference: Contesting the Boundaries of the Political*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 120–35.

Young, Iris Marion 2003: Activist Challenges to Deliberative Democracy. In James S. Fishkin, and Peter Laslett, eds., *Debating Deliberative Democracy*. Oxford: Blackwell, 102–20.

Young, Michael P. 2002: Confessional Protest: The Religious Birth of U.S. National Social Movements. *American Sociological Review*, 67, 660–88.

Zald, Mayer N. 1970: *Organizational Change: The Political Economy of the YMCA*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Zald, Mayer N. 2000: Ideologically Structured Action: An Enlarged Agenda for Social Movement Research. *Mobilization*, 5, 1–16.

Zald, Mayer N. and Ash, Roberta 1966: Social Movement Organizations: Growth, Decay and Change. *Social Forces*, 44, 327–40.

Zald, Mayer N. and Jacobs, David 1978: Compliance/Incentive Classifications of Organizations. Underlying Dimensions. *Administration and Society*, 9, 403–24.

Zald, Mayer N. and McCarthy, John 1980: Social Movement Industries: Competition and Cooperation Among Movement Organizations. In L. Kriesberg (ed.), *Research In Social Movements, Conflict and Change*, vol. 3. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1–20.

Zald, Mayer N. and McCarthy, John 1987: *Social Movements in an Organizational Society*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.

Zald, Mayer N. and Useem, Bert 1987: Movement and Countermovement Interaction: Mobilization, Tactics, and State Involvement. In M. N. Zald and J. D. McCarthy (eds.), *Social Movements in an Organizational Society*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books, 247–72.

Zincone, Giovanna 1992: *Da sudditi a cittadini*. Bologna: il Mulino.

Zirakzadeh, Cyrus E. 1991: *A Rebellious People*. Reno: University of Nevada Press.

Zirakzadeh, Cyrus E. 1997: *Social Movements in Politics: A Comparative Study*. London/New York: Longman.

Zuo, Jiping and Benford, Robert D. 1994: Mobilization Processes and the 1989 Chinese Democracy Movement. *Sociological Quarterly*, 36, 801–28.

Zurcher, Louis A. and Curtis, Russel L. 1973: A Comparative Analysis of Propositions Describing Social Movement Organizations. *Sociological Quarterly*, 14, 175–88.